

| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

| DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE SAR RF EXPOSURE EVALUATION | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Test LabCELLTECH LABS INC.Testing and Engineering Services1955 Moss CourtKelowna, B.C.Canada V1Y 9L3Phone:250-448-7047Fax:250-448-7046e-mail:info@celltechlabs.comweb site:www.celltechlabs.com | Applicant Information COBRA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION 6500 Cortland Street Chicago, IL 60707 | | | | |
| Rule Part(s):FCCTest Procedure(s):FCCFCC ID:BBOModel(s):HH30Device Type:PortaModulation:FMTx Frequency Range:156.0Max. RF Output Power Tested:4.95Antenna Type(s):StubBattery Type(s):1.5 VBody-Worn Accessories:Belt-Max. SAR Measured:0.640 | 47 CFR §2.1093; IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) MRHH400 00, HH400 able VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver 025 - 157.425 MHz Watts (Conducted) ^{by} ′ AAA Alkaline (x6), 1.2 V AAA NiMH (x6) ·Clip (body-worn operation not applicable) 0 W/kg - Face-held (50% Duty Cycle) | | | | |

Celltech Labs Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that this wireless portable device was compliant with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 and Health Canada's Safety Code 6. The device was tested in accordance with the measurement standards and procedures specified in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and Industry Canada RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. All measurements were performed in accordance with the SAR system manufacturer recommendations.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

This test report shall not be reproduced partially, or in full, without the prior written approval of Celltech Labs Inc. The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

1 D. Pupe

Russell W. Pipe Senior Compliance Technologist Celltech Labs Inc.





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------|--|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 3 | |
| | | | |
| 2.0 | DESCRIPTION OF DUT | 3 | |
| | | | |
| 3.0 | SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM | 4 | |
| | | | |
| 4.0 | MEASUREMENT SUMMARY | 5 | |
| | | | |
| 5.0 | DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION | 6 | |
| | | | |
| 6.0 | EVALUATION PROCEDURES | 6 | |
| | | | |
| 7.0 | SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK | 7 | |
| | | | |
| 8.0 | SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES | 8 | |
| | | • | |
| 9.0 | SAR LIMITS | 8 | |
| 10.0 | SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS | • | |
| 10.0 | STSTEM SPECIFICATIONS | 9 | |
| 44.0 | | 40 | |
| 11.0 | PRODE SPECIFICATION | 10 | |
| 12.0 | | 10 | |
| 12.0 | | 10 | |
| 13.0 | | 10 | |
| 10.0 | | 10 | |
| 14 0 | DEVICE HOLDER | 10 | |
| 14.0 | BETTOE HOEBERK | | |
| 15.0 | TEST EQUIPMENT LIST | 11 | |
| | | | |
| 16.0 | MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES | 12-13 | |
| | | _ | |
| 17.0 | REFERENCES | 14 | |
| | | | |
| APPEND | DIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA | 15 | |
| APPEND | DIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA | 16 | |
| APPEND | DIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION PROCEDURES | 17 | |
| APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION | | | |
| APPEND | DIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS | 19 | |
| APPEND | DIX F - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS | 20 | |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report demonstrates compliance of the Cobra Electronics Corporation Models: HH300, HH400 Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver FCC ID: BBOMRHH400 with the SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 (see reference [1]) and Health Canada Safety Code 6 (see reference [2]) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) (see reference [3]) and IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) (see reference [4]), were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

| FCC Rule Part(s) | FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| IC Rule Part(s) | RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) | | | |
| Test Procedure(s) | FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) | | | |
| Device Type | Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver | | | |
| FCC ID | BBOMRHH400 | | | |
| Model No.(s) | HH300 / HH400 | | | |
| Serial No.(s) | 000000003 (HH300) 000000002 (HH400) | | | |
| Modulation | FM | | | |
| Tx Frequency Range | 156.025 - 157.425 MHz | | | |
| Max. RF Output Power Tested | 4.95 Watts (Conducted) | | | |
| Battery Type(s) | 1.5 V AAA Alkaline (x6) 1.2 V AAA NiMH (x6) | | | |
| Antenna Type(s) | Stubby | | | |
| Body-worn Accessories | Belt-Clip (body-worn operation not applicable) | | | |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Labs Inc. SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electrooptical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE4 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with validation phantom

DASY4 SAR Measurement System with Plexiglas planar phantom



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

The measurement results were obtained with the DUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the DUT are reported in Appendix A.

| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| SAR EVALUATION RESULTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Model Freq. Chan. Test Battery | Separ. Dist | Cond. Power | Cond. Power | Power Drift | Measured SAR 1g (W/kg) | | Max. Power | Scaled SAR 1g (W/kg) | | | | | |
| Tested | (MHz) | | Туре | Туре | (cm) | Before (W) | After (W) | (dB) | 100% Duty Cycle | 50% Duty Cycle | Drift (dB) | 100% Duty Cycle | 50% Duty Cycle |
| HH400 | 156.800 | 16 | Face | NiMH | 2.5 | 4.95 | 4.52 | -0.40 | 1.05 | 0.525 | -0.86 | 1.28 | 0.640 |
| HH300 | 156.800 | 16 | Face | NiMH | 2.5 | 4.90 | 4.39 | -0.49 | 1.03 | 0.515 | -0.86 | 1.26 | 0.630 |
| HH400 | 156.800 | 16 | Face | Alkaline | 2.5 | 4.92 | 4.10 | -0.79 | 0.951 | 0.476 | -0.86 | 1.16 | 0.580 |
| HH300 | 156.800 | 16 | Face | Alkaline | 2.5 | 4.93 | 4.05 | -0.86 | 0.921 | 0.461 | -0.86 | 1.12 | 0.560 |
| ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT BRAIN: 8.0 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak - Controlled Exposure / Occupational

| Measured Mixture Type | 150 MHz Brain | | Ambient Temperature | 21.6 °C |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|
| Dielectric Constant | IEEE Target Measured | | Fluid Temperature | 22.4 °C |
| ε _r | 52.3 (<u>+</u> 5%) 54.2 | | Fluid Depth | ≥ 15 cm |
| Conductivity | IEEE Target | Measured | Phantom Type | Plexiglas Planar |
| σ (mho/m) | 0.76 (<u>+</u> 5%) | 0.78 | Relative Humidity | 52 % |
| ρ (Kg/m³) | 1000 | | Atmospheric Pressure | 101.9 kPa |

Note(s):

- 1. The transmission band of the DUT is less than 10 MHz, therefore mid channel data only is reported (per FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 see reference [3]).
- 2. The power drift measured by the SAR measurement system was > 5%. The maximum power drift was added to the measured SAR levels to show scaled SAR results as shown in the table above.
- 3. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures listed were consistent for all measurement periods.
- 4. The dielectric properties of the simulated tissue fluid were measured prior to the evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).
- 5. The DUT does not have provision for external plug-in source, therefore body-worn SAR was not applicable.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The Cobra Electronics Corporation Models: HH300 / HH400 Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver FCC ID: BBOMRHH400 was found to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (Occupational / Controlled Exposure) based on the test provisions and conditions described below. The detailed test setup photographs are shown in Appendix F.

- 1. The DUT was evaluated in a face-held configuration with the front of the radio placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom. A 2.5 cm separation distance was maintained between the front side of the DUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom for the duration of the tests.
- 2. The conducted power levels were measured before and after each test using a Gigatronics 8652A Universal Power Meter according to the procedures described in FCC 47 CFR §2.1046.
- 3. The power drift measured by the SAR measurement system was > 5%. The maximum power drift was added to the measured SAR levels to show scaled SAR results as shown in the test data table (page 5).
- 4. The DUT was tested in unmodulated continuous transmit operation (Continuous Wave mode at 100% duty cycle) with the transmit key constantly depressed. For a push-to-talk device the 50% duty cycle compensation reported assumes a transmit/receive cycle of equal time base.
- 5. The DUT was tested with fully charged NiMH and alkaline batteries.
- 6. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures listed were consistent for all measurement periods.
- 7. The dielectric properties of the simulated tissue mixtures were measured prior to the evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).
- 8. Due to the dimensions of the DUT, a Plexiglas planar phantom was used in place of the SAM phantom.
- 9. A stack of low-density, low-loss dielectric foamed polystyrene was used in place of the device holder.

6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
 - (ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.

An area scan was determined as follows:

- c. Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.
- d. A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.

A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:

- Extrapolation is used to find the points between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom. This data cannot be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away form the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.4 mm (see probe calibration document in Appendix D). The extrapolation was based on trivariate quadratics computed from the previously calculated 3D interpolated points nearest the phantom surface.
- 2. Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

7.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed using a large Plexiglas planar phantom with a 300MHz dipole (see Appendix C for system validation procedure). The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue fluid were measured prior to the system check using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters). A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of <u>+</u>10% (see Appendix B for system check test plot).

| SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Test Date | 300MHz Equiv. Tissue | SAR 1g Dielectric Constant (W/kg) ϵ_r | | Conductivity σ (mho/m) | | o (Kg/m ³) | Ambient | Fluid | Fluid | | |
| | | IEEE Target | Measured | IEEE Target | Measured | IEEE Target | Measured | , | i emp. | remp. | Depth |
| 09/29/03 | Brain | 0.750 (±10%) | 0.776 | 45.3 ±5% | 44.2 | 0.87 ±5% | 0.83 | 1000 | 21.6 °C | 22.1 °C | \geq 15 cm |

Note(s):

1. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid electric parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.



Figure 1. System Performance Check Setup Diagram



300 MHz Dipole Setup



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

8.0 SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES

The simulated tissue mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The fluid was prepared according to standardized procedures and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity).

| TISSUE MIXTURES | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| INGREDIENT | 300 MHz Brain (System Performance Check) | 150 MHz Brain (DUT Evaluation) | | |
| Water | 37.56 % | 38.35 % | | |
| Sugar | 55.32 % | 55.5 % | | |
| Salt | 5.95 % | 5.15 % | | |
| HEC | 0.98 % | 0.9 % | | |
| Bactericide | 0.19 % | 0.1 % | | |

9.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

| | SAR (W/kg) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) | | |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 | | |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue) | 1.60 | 8.0 | | |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g) | 4.0 | 20.0 | | |

Notes:

- 1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
- 2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Specifications

| POSITIONER: | Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L |
|----------------|--|
| Repeatability: | 0.02 mm |
| No. of axis: | 6 |

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

| Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Cell Controller | | | | |
| Processor: | AMD Athlon XP 2400+ | | | |
| Clock Speed: | 2.0 GHz | | | |
| Operating System: | Windows XP Professional | | | |
| Data Converter | | | | |
| Features: | Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic | | | |
| Software: | DASY4 software | | | |
| Connecting Lines: | Optical downlink for data and status info. Optical uplink for commands and clock | | | |

DASY4 Measurement Server

| Function: | Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection |
|--------------|--|
| Hardware: | PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM |
| Connections: | COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface |

E-Field Probe

| Model: | ET3DV6 |
|---------------|--|
| Serial No.: | 1387 |
| Construction: | Triangular core fiber optic detection system |
| Frequency: | 10 MHz to 6 GHz |
| Linearity: | ±0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz) |

Evaluation Phantom

| Туре: | Planar Phantom |
|-------------------|--|
| Shell Material: | Plexiglas |
| Bottom Thickness: | 2.0 mm ± 0.1 mm |
| Outer Dimensions: | 75.0 cm (L) x 22.5 cm (W) x 20.5 cm (H); Back Plane: 25.7 cm (H) |

Validation Phantom (≤ 450MHz)

| Туре: | Large Planar Phantom |
|-------------------|---|
| Shell Material: | Plexiglas |
| Bottom Thickness: | 6.2 mm ± 0.1 mm |
| Outer Dimensions: | 86.0 cm (L) x 39.5 cm (W) x 21.8 cm (H) |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)

| Construction: | Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges |
|-------------------|---|
| Calibration: | PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycol) In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz |
| | In brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz |
| | and 1.8 GHz (accuracy \pm 8%) |
| Frequency: | 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB |
| | (30 MHz to 3 GHz) |
| Directivity: | \pm 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) |
| | \pm 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal to probe axis) |
| Dynamic Range: | 5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB |
| Surface Detect .: | \pm 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over |
| | diffuse reflecting surfaces |
| Dimensions: | Overall length: 330 mm |
| | Tip length: 16 mm |
| | Body diameter: 12 mm |
| | Tip diameter: 6.8 mm |
| | Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm |
| Application: | General dosimetry up to 3 GHz |
| | Compliance tests of mobile phone |



ET3DV6 E-Field Probe

12.0 PLANAR PHANTOM

The planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for face-held and body-worn SAR evaluations of handheld radio transceivers. The planar phantom is mounted on the side of the DASY4 system



13.0 VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM

The validation planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 6.0 mm shell thickness for SAR validations at 450MHz and below. The validation planar phantom is mounted in the DASY4 compact system.

14.0 DEVICE HOLDER

The DASY4 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



Validation Planar Phantom

Device Holder

© 2003 Celltech Labs Inc.





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

L

15.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

| TEST EQUIPMENT | SERIAL NO. | CALIBRATION DATE |
|--|------------|------------------|
| Schmid & Partner DASY4 System | - | - |
| DASY4 Measurement Server | 1078 | N/A |
| -Robot | 599396-01 | N/A |
| -ET3DV6 E-Field Probe | 1387 | Feb 2003 |
| -300MHz Validation Dipole | 135 | Oct 2002 |
| -450MHz Validation Dipole | 136 | Oct 2002 |
| -900MHz Validation Dipole | 054 | June 2003 |
| -1800MHz Validation Dipole | 247 | June 2003 |
| -2450MHz Validation Dipole | 150 | Sept 2003 |
| -Planar Phantom | 161 | N/A |
| -Validation Planar Phantom | 137 | N/A |
| HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit | N/A | N/A |
| Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter | 8650137 | April 2003 |
| Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter | 1835267 | April 2003 |
| Power Sensor 80701A | 1833542 | Feb 2003 |
| Power Sensor 80701A | 1833699 | April 2003 |
| HP E4408B Spectrum Analyzer | US39240170 | Dec 2002 |
| HP 8594E Spectrum Analyzer | 3543A02721 | April 2003 |
| HP 8753E Network Analyzer | US38433013 | May 2003 |
| HP 8648D Signal Generator | 3847A00611 | May 2003 |
| Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amplifier | 26235 | N/A |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

l

16.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

| UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR DEVICE EVALUATION | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Error Description | Uncertainty Value ±% | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c _i 1g | Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g) | Vi Or V _{eff} |
| Measurement System | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | ± 4.8 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 4.8 | 8 |
| Axial isotropy of the probe | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | √3 | (1-c _p) | ± 1.9 | 8 |
| Spherical isotropy of the probe | ± 9.6 | Rectangular | √3 | (C _p) | ± 3.9 | 8 |
| Spatial resolution | ± 0.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.0 | 8 |
| Boundary effects | ± 5.5 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 3.2 | 8 |
| Probe linearity | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.7 | 8 |
| Detection limit | ± 1.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.6 | 8 |
| Readout electronics | ± 1.0 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 1.0 | 8 |
| Response time | ± 0.8 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.5 | 8 |
| Integration time | ± 1.4 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.8 | 8 |
| RF ambient conditions | ± 3.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Mech. constraints of robot | ± 0.4 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.2 | 8 |
| Probe positioning | ± 2.9 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Extrapolation & integration | ± 3.9 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.3 | 8 |
| Test Sample Related | | | | | | |
| Device positioning | ± 6.0 | Normal | √3 | 1 | ± 6.7 | 12 |
| Device holder uncertainty | ± 5.0 | Normal | √3 | 1 | ± 5.9 | 8 |
| Power drift | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | | ± 2.9 | 8 |
| Phantom and Setup | | | | | | |
| Phantom uncertainty | ± 4.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.3 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Liquid permittivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Liquid permittivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | × |
| | | | | | | |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | , | | | | ± 13.3 | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) | | | | | ± 26.6 | |

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft - see reference [5])



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES (Cont.)

| UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Error Description | Uncertainty Value ±% | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c _i 1g | Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g) | Vi Or V _{eff} |
| Measurement System | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | ± 4.8 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 4.8 | 8 |
| Axial isotropy of the probe | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | √3 | (1-c _p) | ± 1.9 | 8 |
| Spherical isotropy of the probe | ± 9.6 | Rectangular | √3 | (C _p) | ± 3.9 | 8 |
| Spatial resolution | ± 0.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.0 | 8 |
| Boundary effects | ± 5.5 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 3.2 | 8 |
| Probe linearity | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.7 | 8 |
| Detection limit | ± 1.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.6 | 8 |
| Readout electronics | ± 1.0 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 1.0 | 8 |
| Response time | ± 0.8 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.5 | 8 |
| Integration time | ± 1.4 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.8 | 8 |
| RF ambient conditions | ± 3.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Mech. constraints of robot | ± 0.4 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 0.2 | 8 |
| Probe positioning | ± 2.9 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Extrapolation & integration | ± 3.9 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.3 | ∞ |
| Dipole | | | | | | |
| Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance | ± 2.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 1.2 | × |
| Input Power | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.7 | 8 |
| Phantom and Setup | | | | | | |
| Phantom uncertainty | ± 4.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 1 | ± 2.3 | × |
| Liquid conductivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| Liquid conductivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | × |
| Liquid permittivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | × |
| Liquid permittivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | √3 | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | 8 |
| | | | | | | |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | 1 | | | | ± 9.9 | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) | | | | | ± 19.8 | |

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft - see reference [5])



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

17.0 REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, "Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices", Rule Part 47 CFR §2.1093: 1999.

[2] Health Canada, "Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz", Safety Code 6.

[3] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.

[4] Industry Canada, "Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields", Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.

[5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, Std 1528-200X, "DRAFT Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques".

[6] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel: 1992.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

Test Date: 09/29/03

DUT: Cobra Electronics; Model: HH400; Type: Portable VHF Marine Radio Transceiver; Serial: 00000002

Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Fluid Temp: 22.4°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 52%

Communication System: FM VHF Frequency: 156.800 MHz; Channel 16; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL150 (σ = 0.78 mho/m, ϵ_r = 54.2, ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(9.1, 9.1, 9.1); Calibrated: 26/02/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

HH400 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - NiMH/Area Scan (7x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

HH400 – Face-held at 2.5 cm - NiMH/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.64 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.05 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.767 mW/g Reference Value = 38.5 V/m Power Drift = -0.40 dBm Conducted Power: 4.95 Watts



© 2003 Celltech Labs Inc.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT: Cobra Electronics; Model: HH300; Type: Portable VHF Marine Radio Transceiver; Serial: 000000003

Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Fluid Temp: 22.4°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 52%

Communication System: FM VHF Frequency: 156.800 MHz; Channel 16; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL150 (σ = 0.78 mho/m, ϵ_r = 54.2, ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(9.1, 9.1, 9.1); Calibrated: 26/02/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

HH300 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - NiMH/Area Scan (7x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

HH300 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - NiMH/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.6 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.03 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.752 mW/g Reference Value = 37.4 V/m Power Drift = -0.49 dB Conducted Power: 4.90 Watts



© 2003 Celltech Labs Inc.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT: Cobra Electronics; Model HH400; Type: Portable VHF Marine Radio Transceiver; Serial: 00000002

Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Fluid Temp: 22.4°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 52%

Communication System: FM VHF Frequency: 156.800 MHz; Channel 16; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL150 (σ = 0.78 mho/m, ϵ_r = 54.2, ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(9.1, 9.1, 9.1); Calibrated: 26/02/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

HH400 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - Alkaline/Area Scan (7x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

HH400 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - Alkaline/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.49 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.951 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.695 mW/g Reference Value = 40 V/m Power Drift = -0.79 dB Conducted Power: 4.92 Watts



© 2003 Celltech Labs Inc.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT: Cobra Electronics; Model HH300; Type: Portable VHF Marine Radio Transceiver; Serial: 000000003

Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Fluid Temp: 22.4°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 52%

Communication System: FM VHF Frequency: 156.800 MHz; Channel 16; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL150 (σ = 0.78 mho/m, ϵ_r = 54.2, ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(9.1, 9.1, 9.1); Calibrated: 26/02/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003

- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

HH300 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - Alkaline/Area Scan (7x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

HH300 - Face-held at 2.5 cm - Alkaline/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.45 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.921 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.676 mW/g Reference Value = 37 V/m Power Drift = -0.86 dB Conducted Power: 4.93 Watts





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

F

APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT: Dipole 300 MHz; Type: System Performance Check; Model: D300V2 - SN: 135

Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Fluid Temp: 22.1°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 52%

Communication System: CW Frequency: 300 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL300 (σ = 0.83 mho/m, ϵ_r = 44.2, ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(7.9, 7.9, 7.9); Calibrated: 26/02/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003

- Phantom: Validation Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 137

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

300 MHz Validation/Area Scan (6x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

300 MHz Validation/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.37 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.776 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.499 mW/g** Forward Conducted Power: 250 mW Reference Value = 31.5 V/m Power Drift = -0.08 dB





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

ľ





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION



300MHz SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE



Celltech Research Inc. hereby certifies that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above.

Calibrated by:

10.60

Approved by:

1. Dipole Construction & Electrical Characteristics

The validation dipole was constructed in accordance with the IEEE Std "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques". The electrical properties were measured using an HP 8753E Network Analyzer. The network analyzer was calibrated to the validation dipole N-type connector feed point using an HP85032E Type N calibration kit. The dipole was placed parallel to a planar phantom at a separation distance of 15.0mm from the simulating fluid using a loss-less dielectric spacer. The measured input impedance is:

| Feed point impedance at 300MHz | Re{Z} = 47.639Ω |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | lm{Z} = 0.5781Ω |

Return Loss at 300MHz

-32.091dB







Validation Dipole Dimensions

| Frequency (MHz) | L (mm) | h (mm) | d (mm) |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 300 | 420.0 | 250.0 | 6.2 |
| 450 | 288.0 | 167.0 | 6.2 |
| 835 | 161.0 | 89.8 | 3.6 |
| 900 | 149.0 | 83.3 | 3.6 |
| 1450 | 89.1 | 51.7 | 3.6 |
| 1800 | 72.0 | 41.7 | 3.6 |
| 1900 | 68.0 | 39.5 | 3.6 |
| 2000 | 64.5 | 37.5 | 3.6 |
| 2450 | 51.8 | 30.6 | 3.6 |
| 3000 | 41.5 | 25.0 | 3.6 |

2. Validation Phantom

The validation phantom was constructed using relatively low-loss tangent Plexiglas material. The dimensions of the phantom are as follows:

Length: 83.5 cm Width: 36.9 cm Height: 21.8 cm

The bottom of the phantom is constructed of 6.2 ± 0.1 mm Plexiglas.

Dimensions of Plexiglas Planar Phantom





300MHz System Validation Setup



300MHz System Validation Setup



3. Measurement Conditions

The planar phantom was filled with brain simulating tissue having the following electrical parameters at 300MHz:

| Relative Permittivity: | 45.3 |
|------------------------|------------|
| Conductivity: | 0.90 mho/m |
| Ambient Temperature: | 23.3°C |
| Fluid Temperature: | 23.0°C |
| Fluid Depth: | ≥ 15cm |

The 300MHz simulating tissue consists of the following ingredients:

| Ingredient | Percentage by weight |
|--|--|
| Water | 37.56% |
| Sugar | 55.32% |
| Salt | 5.95% |
| HEC | 0.98% |
| Dowicil 75 | 0.19% |
| 300MHz Target Dielectric Parameters at 22°C | $\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87$ S/m |

4. SAR Measurement

The SAR measurement was performed with the E-field probe in mechanical detection mode only. The setup and determination of the forward power into the dipole was performed using the following procedures.



First the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (X). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the attenuation of Att1) as read by power meter PM2. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2. If the signal generator does not allow adjustment in 0.01dB steps, the remaining difference at PM2 must be taken into consideration. PM3 records the reflected power from the dipole to ensure that the value is not changed from the previous value. The reflected power should be 20dB below the forward power.

Ten SAR measurements were performed in order to achieve repeatability and to establish an average target value.

| Validation Measurement | SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 1g | SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 1g | SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 10g | SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 10g | Peak SAR @ 0.25W Input |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Test 1 | 0.755 | 3.02 | 0.496 | 1.98 | 1.21 |
| Test 2 | 0.757 | 3.03 | 0.497 | 1.99 | 1.22 |
| Test 3 | 0.750 | 3.00 | 0.493 | 1.97 | 1.21 |
| Test 4 | 0.763 | 3.05 | 0.500 | 2.00 | 1.23 |
| Test 5 | 0.769 | 3.08 | 0.505 | 2.02 | 1.24 |
| Test 6 | 0.755 | 3.02 | 0.496 | 1.98 | 1.21 |
| Test 7 | 0.718 | 2.87 | 0.472 | 1.89 | 1.16 |
| Test 8 | 0.730 | 2.92 | 0.479 | 1.92 | 1.18 |
| Test 9 | 0.717 | 2.87 | 0.471 | 1.88 | 1.15 |
| Test10 | 0.726 | 2.90 | 0.477 | 1.91 | 1.17 |
| Average Value | 0.744 | 2.98 | 0.488 | 1.95 | 1.20 |

Validation Dipole SAR Test Results

The results have been normalized to 1W (forward power) into the dipole.

Averaged over 1cm (1g) of tissue:

2.98 mW/g

Averaged over 10cm (10g) of tissue:

1.95 mW/g

10/15/02

Dipole 300 MHz

Frequency: 300 MHz; Conducted Input Power: 250 [mW] Large Planar Phantom; Planar Section

Cubes (10): Peak: 1.20 mW/g \pm 0.16 dB, SAR (1g): 0.744 mW/g \pm 0.15 dB, SAR (10g): 0.488 mW/g \pm 0.15 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.3 (10.4, 14.7) [mm]; Powerdrift: 0.01 dB; Ambient Temp.: 23.3°C; Fluid Temp.: 23.0°C Calibration Date: October 15, 2002 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(8.00,8.00); Crest factor: 1.0; 300 MHz Brain: $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³



300MHz System Validation Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain) October 15, 2002

| Frequency | | e' | e'' |
|------------|-----|---------|---------|
| 200.000000 | MHz | 49.2984 | 73.0807 |
| 210.000000 | MHz | 48.7479 | 70.3637 |
| 220.000000 | MHz | 48.4051 | 67.9145 |
| 230.000000 | MHz | 47.9112 | 65.6173 |
| 240.000000 | MHz | 47.3854 | 63.6189 |
| 250.000000 | MHz | 47.0619 | 61.6629 |
| 260.000000 | MHz | 46.6549 | 60.0248 |
| 270.000000 | MHz | 46.2913 | 58.4424 |
| 280.000000 | MHz | 45.9411 | 56.9567 |
| 290.000000 | MHz | 45.6495 | 55.4516 |
| 300.000000 | MHz | 45.3231 | 54.0358 |
| 310.000000 | MHz | 44.9246 | 52.8278 |
| 320.000000 | MHz | 44.6796 | 51.6396 |
| 330.000000 | MHz | 44.3563 | 50.4677 |
| 340.000000 | MHz | 44.0723 | 49.4102 |
| 350.000000 | MHz | 43.7189 | 48.3852 |
| 360.000000 | MHz | 43.4393 | 47.4561 |
| 370.000000 | MHz | 43.2292 | 46.5343 |
| 380.000000 | MHz | 43.0035 | 45.6962 |
| 390.000000 | MHz | 42.7120 | 44.8767 |
| 400.000000 | MHz | 42.5081 | 44.1512 |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION

Client Celltech Labs

| CALIBRATION C | ERTIFICATE | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Object(s) | ET3DV6 - SN:1387 | | | | |
| Calibration procedure(s) | QA CAL-01.v2 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes | | | | |
| Calibration date: | February 26, 2003 | | | | |
| Condition of the calibrated item | In Tolerance (according | g to the specific calibration | document) | | |
| This calibration statement documen 17025 international standard. | ts traceability of M&TE used in the c | alibration procedures and conformity of t | he procedures with the ISO/IEC | | |
| All calibrations have been conducted | d in the closed laboratory facility: env | /ironment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees (| Celsius and humidity < 75%. | | |
| Calibration Equipment used (M&TE | critical for calibration) | | | | |
| Model Type | ID # | Cal Date | Scheduled Calibration | | |
| RF generator HP 8684C | US3642U01700 | 4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02) | In house check: Aug-05 | | |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41495277 | 8-Mar-02 | Mar-03 | | |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | MY41092180 | 18-Sep-02 | Sep-03 | | |
| Power meter EPM E4419B | GB41293874 | 13-Sep-02 | Sep-03 | | |
| Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702 | US38432426 SN: 6295803 | 3-May-00 3-Sep-01 | In house check: May 03 Sep-03 | | |
| | Name | Function | Signature | | |
| Calibrated by: | Nico Vetterli | Technician | N.Vellan | | |
| Approved by: | Katja Pokovic | Laboratory Director | aline Martz | | |
| | | | Date issued: February 26, 2003 | | |
| This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed. | | | | | |

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

Probe ET3DV6

S

SN:1387

Manufactured: Last calibration: Recalibrated: September 21, 1999 February 22, 2002 February 26, 2003

p e a g

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Sensitivity in Free Space

0.50

2.73

Diode Compression

Alpha

Depth

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

| | - | - | | - | | |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------|----|
| | NormX | 1.55 μ\ | //(V/m) ² | DCP X | 92 | mV |
| | NormY | 1.65 μ\ | //(V/m) ² | DCP Y | 92 | mV |
| | NormZ | 1.64 μ\ | //(V/m) ² | DCP Z | 92 | mV |
| Sensit | ivity in Tissu | e Simulat | ting Liquid | | | |
| Head | 900 N | lHz | ε _r = 41.5 ± 5% | σ = 0.97 ± 5% r | nho/m | |
| Head | 835 N | lHz | ε _r = 41.5 ± 5% | σ = 0.90 ± 5% r | nho/m | |
| | ConvF X | 6.6 ± | 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary e | ffect: | |
| | ConvF Y | 6.6 ± | 9.5% (k=2) | Alpha | 0.37 | |
| | ConvF Z | 6.6 ± | 9.5% (k=2) | Depth | 2.61 | |
| Head | 1800 N | lHz | ε _r = 40.0 ± 5% | σ = 1.40 ± 5% r | nho/m | |
| Head | 1900 N | lHz | ϵ_r = 40.0 ± 5% | σ = 1.40 ± 5% r | nho/m | |
| | ConvF X | 5.2 ± | 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary e | ffect: | |
| | | | | | | |

Boundary Effect

ConvF Y

ConvF Z

| Head | 900 | MHz Typical SAR gradie | nt: 5 % per mm | |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----|
| | Probe Tip to | Boundary | 1 mm 2 r | nm |
| | SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 10.2 5.9 | 9 |
| | SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 0.4 0.6 | 6 |
| Head | 1800 | MHz Typical SAR gradie | nt: 10 % per mm | |
| | Probe Tip to | Boundary | 1 mm 2 r | nm |
| | SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 14.6 9.8 | В |
| | SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 0.2 0.6 | 0 |
| Sensor | Offset | | | |

5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2)

5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2)

| Probe Tip to Sensor Center | 2.7 | mm |
|----------------------------|-----------|----|
| Optical Surface Detection | 1.4 ± 0.2 | mm |



Receiving Pattern (ϕ **),** θ = 0°



Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^{\circ}$



Frequency Response of E-Field



(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)







Conversion Factor Assessment

| Head | 900 MHz | ε _r = 41.5 ± 5% | σ = 0.97 ± 5% mhc | o/m |
|------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| Head | 835 MHz | ε _r = 41.5 ± 5% | σ = 0.90 ± 5% mhc | o/m |
| | ConvF X | 6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary effect | ot: |
| | ConvF Y | 6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Alpha | 0.37 |
| | ConvF Z | 6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Depth | 2.61 |

| Head | 1800 MHz | ε_r = 40.0 ± 5% | σ = 1.40 ± 5% mho/m |
|------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Head | 1900 MHz | ε _r = 40.0 ± 5% | σ = 1.40 ± 5% mho/m |
| | ConvF X | 5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Alpha 0.50 |
| | ConvF Z | 5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Depth 2.73 |



Conversion Factor Assessment

| Body | 900 MHz | $\varepsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$ | σ = 1.05 ± 5% mho/ι | m |
|------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Body | 835 MHz | ε _r = 55.2 ± 5% | σ = 0.97 ± 5% mho/ ι | m |
| | ConvF X | 6.4 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary effect: | |
| | ConvF Y | 6.4 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Alpha | 0.45 |
| | ConvF Z | 6.4 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Depth | 2.35 |

| Body | 1800 MHz | ε _r = 53.3 ± 5% | σ = 1.52 ± 5% mho/m |
|------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Body | 1900 MHz | ε _r = 53.3 ± 5% | σ = 1.52 ± 5% mho/m |
| | ConvF X | 4.9 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 4.9 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Alpha 0.60 |
| | ConvF Z | 4.9 ± 9.5% (k=2) | Depth 2.59 |



Conversion Factor Assessment

| Head | 2450 | MHz | $\varepsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$ | σ = 1.80 ± 5% mho/m | |
|------|---------|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | ConvF X | | 5.0 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Boundary effect: | |
| | ConvF Y | | 5.0 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Alpha 1.04 | |
| | ConvF Z | | 5.0 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Depth 1.85 | |
| Body | 2450 | MHz | ε _r = 52.7 ± 5% | σ = 1.95 ± 5% mho/m | |
| | ConvF X | | 4.6 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Boundary effect: | |
| | ConvF Y | | 4.6 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Alpha 1.20 | |
| | ConvF Z | | 4.6 ± 8.9% (k=2) | Depth 1.60 | |

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ,φ), f = 900 MHz



Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Additional Conversion Factors

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

| Type: | ET3DV6 |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Serial Number: | 1387 |
| Place of Assessment: | Zurich |
| Date of Assessment: | February 28, 2003 |
| Probe Calibration Date: | February 26, 2003 |

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:

Mr. - 165-

Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1387

Conversion factor (± standard deviation)

| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---------|-------|---------------|--|
| 150 MHz | ConvF | $9.1 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 52.3$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.76$ mho/m |
| | | | (head tissue) |
| | | | |
| 300 MHz | ConvF | $7.9 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 45.3$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | | | (head tissue) |
| | | | |
| 450 MHz | ConvF | $7.5 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 43.5$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | | | (head tissue) |
| | | | |
| 150 MHz | ConvF | $8.8 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 61.9$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.80 \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | | | (body tissue) |
| | | | |
| 300 MHz | ConvF | $8.0 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 58.2$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | | | (body tissue) |
| | | | koż na z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z |
| 450 MHz | ConvF | $7.7 \pm 8\%$ | $\varepsilon_r = 56.7$ |
| | | | $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | | | (body tissue) |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

300MHz System Performance Check Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain) September 29, 2003

| Frequency | e' | e" |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 200.000000 MHz | 48.2120 | 67.0274 |
| 210.000000 MHz | 47.6561 | 64.5971 |
| 220.000000 MHz | 47.3067 | 62.4088 |
| 230.000000 MHz | 46.7196 | 60.3580 |
| 240.000000 MHz | 46.2561 | 58.5032 |
| 250.000000 MHz | 45.8587 | 56.7087 |
| 260.000000 MHz | 45.4180 | 55.2471 |
| 270.000000 MHz | 45.0659 | 53.7941 |
| 280.000000 MHz | 44.7804 | 52.5273 |
| 290.000000 MHz | 44.4557 | 51.2941 |
| 300.000000 MHz | <mark>44.1603</mark> | <mark>49.9180</mark> |
| 310.000000 MHz | 43.8632 | 48.7916 |
| 320.000000 MHz | 43.5213 | 47.7275 |
| 330.000000 MHz | 43.1998 | 46.7007 |
| 340.000000 MHz | 42.8465 | 45.7015 |
| 350.000000 MHz | 42.5486 | 44.8375 |
| 360.000000 MHz | 42.2225 | 43.9204 |
| 370.000000 MHz | 41.9548 | 43.0919 |
| 380.000000 MHz | 41.6798 | 42.2970 |
| 390.000000 MHz | 41.4181 | 41.6065 |
| 400.000000 MHz | 41.2130 | 40.9437 |
| | | |

150MHz DUT Evaluation (Face) Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain) September 29, 2003

| Frequency | e' | e" |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 50.000000 MHz | 63.5367 | 251.5031 |
| 60.000000 MHz | 61.3800 | 213.4180 |
| 70.000000 MHz | 60.6192 | 184.3607 |
| 80.000000 MHz | 59.6411 | 163.5721 |
| 90.000000 MHz | 58.5067 | 146.7179 |
| 100.000000 MHz | 57.7868 | 133.5349 |
| 110.000000 MHz | 57.0160 | 122.5924 |
| 120.000000 MHz | 56.1247 | 113.7457 |
| 130.000000 MHz | 55.4807 | 105.8009 |
| 140.000000 MHz | 54.9506 | 99.2420 |
| 150.000000 MHz | <mark>54.2235</mark> | <mark>93.5368</mark> |
| 160.000000 MHz | 53.7454 | 88.5000 |
| 170.000000 MHz | 53.1588 | 84.3743 |
| 180.000000 MHz | 52.7592 | 80.3929 |
| 190.000000 MHz | 52.2353 | 76.8579 |
| 200.000000 MHz | 51.7478 | 73.6716 |
| 210.000000 MHz | 51.2459 | 70.9463 |
| 220.000000 MHz | 50.9122 | 68.5100 |
| 230.000000 MHz | 50.5165 | 66.2165 |
| 240.000000 MHz | 50.0359 | 64.1035 |
| 250.000000 MHz | 49.6010 | 62.1887 |
| | | |



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX F - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

FACE-HELD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS 2.5 cm Separation Distance from Front of Radio to Planar Phantom Radio Transceiver Model: HH300





© 2003 Celltech Labs Inc.



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

FACE-HELD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

2.5 cm Separation Distance from Front of Radio to Planar Phantom

Radio Transceiver Model: HH400





| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



Cobra Electronics Corporation FCC ID: BBOMRHH400 Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver (156.025-157.425MHz)



| Test Report S/N: | 090503-417BBO |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Date(s): | September 29, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DUT PHOTOGRAPHS

Γ







Alkaline Batteries



NiMH Batteries