



# element

**CINCH Systems**  
**RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR**

**FCC 15.231:2020**  
**Low Power Radio**

**Report: CINC0052 Rev. 1, Issue Date: June 15, 2020**



NVLAP LAB CODE: 200881-0



*This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the U.S. Government. This Report shall not be reproduced, except in full without written approval of the laboratory.*

# CERTIFICATE OF TEST

Last Date of Test: June 1, 2020

CINCH Systems

EUT: RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR

## Radio Equipment Testing

### Standards

Specification	Method
FCC 15.231:2020	ANSI C63.10:2013

### Results

Method Clause	Test Description	Applied	Results	Comments
6.2	Powerline Conducted Emissions (Transmitter)	No	N/A	Not required for a battery powered EUT.
6.5, 6.6	Field Strength of Fundamental	Yes	Pass	
6.5, 6.6	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Yes	Pass	
6.9.2	Occupied Bandwidth	Yes	Pass	
7.5	Duty Cycle	Yes	N/A	

### Deviations From Test Standards

None

### Approved By:



Eric Brandon, Department Manager

*Product compliance is the responsibility of the client; therefore, the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested. The specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test. This report reflects only those tests from the referenced standards shown in the certificate of test. It does not include inspection or verification of labels, identification, marking or user information. As indicated in the Statement of Work sent with the quotation, Element's standard process is to always use the latest published version of the test methods even when earlier versions are cited in the test specification. Issuance of a purchase order was de facto acceptance of this approach. Otherwise, the client would have advised Element in writing of the specific version of the test methods they wanted applied to the subject testing.*

# REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Description	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Page Number
01	The calculation is missing the 100 ms divisor and so incomplete/inaccurate. Also the DCCF listed as “-19.1 dB” is inaccurate and should be “-20.9 dB”.	2020-06-15	12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, and 24

# ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS



---

## United States

**FCC** - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

**A2LA** - Accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC 17065 as a product certifier. This allows Element to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

**NVLAP** - Each laboratory is accredited by NVLAP to ISO 17025

---

## Canada

**ISED** - Recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada as a Certification Body (CB) and as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## European Union

**European Commission** – Within Element, we have a EU Notified Body validated for the EMCD and RED Directives.

---

## Australia/New Zealand

**ACMA** - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Korea

**MSIT / RRA** - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Japan

**VCCI** - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

---

## Taiwan

**BSMI** – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

**NCC** - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Singapore

**IDA** – Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Israel

**MOC** – Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Hong Kong

**OFCA** – Recognized by OFCA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## Vietnam

**MIC** – Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

---

## SCOPE

For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

<https://www.nwemc.com/emc-testing-accreditations>

# FACILITIES



<b>California</b> Labs OC01-17 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918	<b>Minnesota</b> Labs MN01-10 9349 W Broadway Ave. Brooklyn Park, MN 55445 (612)-638-5136	<b>Oregon</b> Labs EV01-12 6775 NE Evergreen Pkwy #400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066	<b>Texas</b> Labs TX01-09 3801 E Plano Pkwy Plano, TX 75074 (469) 304-5255	<b>Washington</b> Labs NC01-05 19201 120 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE Bothell, WA 98011 (425)984-6600
<b>NVLAP</b>				
NVLAP Lab Code: 200676-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200630-0	NVLAP Lab Code:201049-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200629-0
<b>Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada</b>				
2834B-1, 2834B-3	2834E-1, 2834E-3	2834D-1	2834G-1	2834F-1
<b>BSMI</b>				
SL2-IN-E-1154R	SL2-IN-E-1152R	SL2-IN-E-1017	SL2-IN-E-1158R	SL2-IN-E-1153R
<b>VCCI</b>				
A-0029	A-0109	A-0108	A-0201	A-0110
<b>Recognized Phase I CAB for ISED, ACMA, BSMI, IDA, KCC/RRR, MIC, MOC, NCC, OFCA</b>				
US0158	US0175	US0017	US0191	US0157



# MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY



## Measurement Uncertainty

When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. Measurement uncertainty is a statistical expression of measurement error qualified by a probability distribution.

A measurement uncertainty estimation has been performed for each test per our internal quality document QM205.4.6. The estimation is used to compare the measured result with its "true" or theoretically correct value. The expanded measurement uncertainty (K=2) can be found included as part of the applicable test description page. Our measurement data meets or exceeds the measurement uncertainty requirements of the applicable specification; therefore, the test data can be compared directly to the specification limit to determine compliance. The calculations for estimating measurement uncertainty are based upon ETSI TR 100 028 (or CISPR 16-4-2 as applicable), and are available upon request.

The following table represents the Measurement Uncertainty (MU) budgets for each of the tests that may be contained in this report.

Test	+ MU	- MU
Frequency Accuracy	0.0007%	-0.0007%
Amplitude Accuracy (dB)	1.2 dB	-1.2 dB
Conducted Power (dB)	1.2 dB	-1.2 dB
Radiated Power via Substitution (dB)	0.7 dB	-0.7 dB
Temperature (degrees C)	0.7°C	-0.7°C
Humidity (% RH)	2.5% RH	-2.5% RH
Voltage (AC)	1.0%	-1.0%
Voltage (DC)	0.7%	-0.7%
Field Strength (dB)	5.2 dB	-5.2 dB
AC Powerline Conducted Emissions (dB)	2.6 dB	-2.6 dB

# Test Setup Block Diagrams


## Antenna Port Conducted Measurements



## Near Field Test Fixture Measurements



## Spurious Radiated Emissions





# PRODUCT DESCRIPTION



## Client and Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

<b>Company Name:</b>	CINCH Systems
<b>Address:</b>	Suite 300 12075 43rd Street NE
<b>City, State, Zip:</b>	St. Michael, MN 55376
<b>Test Requested By:</b>	Jibril Aga
<b>EUT:</b>	RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR
<b>First Date of Test:</b>	April 13, 2020
<b>Last Date of Test:</b>	June 1, 2020
<b>Receipt Date of Samples:</b>	April 13, 2020
<b>Equipment Design Stage:</b>	Production
<b>Equipment Condition:</b>	No Damage
<b>Purchase Authorization:</b>	Verified

## Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

<b>Functional Description of the EUT:</b>
Sensors containing periodic radio.
<b>Testing Objective:</b>
To demonstrate compliance to FCC 15.231 specifications.



# CONFIGURATIONS



## Configuration CINC0052- 3

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Contact Sensor	CINCH Systems	RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR	948108

## Configuration CINC0052- 7

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Contact Sensor	CINCH Systems	RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR	389237

# MODIFICATIONS



## Equipment Modifications

Item	Date	Test	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	2020-04-13	Field Strength of Fundamental	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
2	2020-04-13	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
3	2020-04-13	Occupied Bandwidth	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
4	2020-06-01	Duty Cycle	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Scheduled testing was completed.

# FIELD STRENGTH OF FUNDAMENTAL



PSA-ESCI 2020.04.03.0

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

## MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433.95 MHz modulated

## POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

Battery

## CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

CINC0052 - 7

## FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency 433 MHz Stop Frequency 435 MHz

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	2019-10-18	12 mo
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	2019-09-03	24 mo
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A	AFN	2019-12-23	12 mo

## MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

## TEST DESCRIPTION

The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was configured for modulated operation at its single transmit frequency. The field strength of the transmit frequency was maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.10:2013).

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" =  $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle =  $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$  or T, whichever is less. (Where T is the period of the pulse train.)

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 0.1003 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = 0.2007 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 48

Number of Type 2 Pulses = 21

Duty Cycle Correction Factor =  $20 \log [(48)(0.1003) + (21)(0.2007)/100] = -20.9 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of -20.9 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels.

# FIELD STRENGTH OF FUNDAMENTAL




EmiR5 2019.08.15.1

PSA-ESCI 2020.04.03.0

Work Order:	CINC0052	Date:	2020-04-13	
Project:	None	Temperature:	20.9 °C	
Job Site:	MN05	Humidity:	24.3% RH	
Serial Number:	389237	Barometric Pres.:	1017 mbar	
EUT:	RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR			Tested by: Dustin Sparks
Configuration:	7			
Customer:	CINCH Systems			
Attendees:	Jibril Aga			
EUT Power:	Battery			
Operating Mode:	Transmitting 433.95 MHz modulated			
Deviations:	None			
Comments:	None			

Test Specifications	FCC 15.231:2020	Test Method	ANSI C63.10:2013
---------------------	-----------------	-------------	------------------

Run #	3	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
-------	---	-------------------	---	-------------------	-----------	---------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
433.955	70.6	23.8	1.4	45.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	94.4	100.8	-6.4	EUT vertical
433.955	70.5	23.8	1.5	65.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	94.3	100.8	-6.5	EUT on side
433.955	70.6	23.8	1.4	45.0	-20.9	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	73.5	80.8	-7.3	EUT vertical
433.955	69.7	23.8	1.0	45.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	93.5	100.8	-7.3	EUT horizontal
433.955	70.5	23.8	1.5	65.0	-20.9	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	73.4	80.8	-7.4	EUT on side
433.955	69.7	23.8	1.0	45.0	-20.9	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	72.6	80.8	-8.2	EUT horizontal
433.955	66.3	23.8	1.0	135.0		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	90.1	100.8	-10.7	EUT on side
433.955	65.5	23.8	1.5	270.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	89.3	100.8	-11.5	EUT horizontal
433.955	66.3	23.8	1.0	135.0	-20.9	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	69.2	80.8	-11.6	EUT on side
433.955	65.5	23.8	1.5	270.0	-20.9	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	68.4	80.8	-12.4	EUT horizontal
433.955	63.8	23.8	1.0	58.1		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	87.6	100.8	-13.2	EUT vertical
433.955	63.8	23.8	1.0	58.1	-20.9	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	66.7	80.8	-14.1	EUT vertical

# SPURIOUS RADIATED EMISSIONS



PSA-ESCI 2020.04.03.0

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

## MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433.95 MHz modulated

## POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

Battery

## CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

CINC0052 - 7

## FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency 30 MHz Stop Frequency 5000 MHz

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Attenuator	Fairview Microwave	SA18E-10	TYA	2019-09-17	12 mo
Filter - Low Pass	Micro-Tronics	LPM50003	LFJ	2019-09-17	12 mo
Amplifier - Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-3D-00100800-32-13P	AVT	2020-01-17	12 mo
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Double Ridge Guide Horn Cables	MNI	2019-09-17	12 mo
Antenna - Double Ridge	ETS-Lindgren	3115	AJQ	2019-01-16	24 mo
Amplifier - Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AM-1616-1000	AVO	2019-10-18	12 mo
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	2019-10-18	12 mo
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	2019-09-03	24 mo
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A	AFN	2019-12-23	12 mo

## MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

## TEST DESCRIPTION

The highest gain antenna of each type to be used with the EUT was tested. The EUT was configured for the required transmit frequency in each operational band and the modes as showed in the data sheets.

For each configuration, the spectrum was scanned throughout the specified range as part of the exploratory investigation of the emissions. These "pre-scans" are not included in the report. Final measurements on individual emissions were then made and included in this test report.

The individual emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.10). A preamp and high pass filter (and notch filter) were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

Measurements were made with the required detectors and annotated on the data for each individual point using the following annotation:

QP = Quasi-Peak Detector

PK = Peak Detector

AV = RMS Detector

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" =  $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle =  $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$  or T, whichever is less. Where T is the period of the pulse train.

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 0.1003 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = 0.2007 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 48

Number of Type 2 Pulses = 21

Duty Cycle Correction Factor =  $20 \log [((48)(0.1003) + (21)(0.2007))/100] = -20.9 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of -20.8 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels.




# SPURIOUS RADIATED EMISSIONS




EmiR5 2019.08.15.1

PSA-ESCI 2020.04.03.0

Work Order:	CINC0052	Date:	2020-04-13		
Project:	None	Temperature:	21.1 °C		
Job Site:	MN05	Humidity:	25.3% RH		
Serial Number:	389237	Barometric Pres.:	1017 mbar	Tested by:	Dustin Sparks
EUT:	RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR				
Configuration:	7				
Customer:	CINCH Systems				
Attendees:	Jibril Aga				
EUT Power:	Battery				
Operating Mode:	Transmitting 433.95 MHz modulated				
Deviations:	None				
Comments:	None				

Test Specifications	FCC 15.231:2020	Test Method	ANSI C63.10:2013
---------------------	-----------------	-------------	------------------

Run #	14	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
-------	----	-------------------	---	-------------------	-----------	---------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
1735.925	71.9	-5.5	1.5	56.9		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	66.4	80.8	-14.4	EUT vertical
1735.925	71.9	-5.5	1.5	56.9	-20.9	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	45.5	60.8	-15.3	EUT vertical
1736.092	70.2	-5.5	1.5	56.9		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	64.7	80.8	-16.1	EUT horizontal
1301.850	64.1	-6.6	1.5	221.9		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	57.5	74.0	-16.5	EUT vertical
1736.092	70.2	-5.5	1.5	56.9	-20.9	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	43.8	60.8	-17.0	EUT horizontal
1301.850	64.1	-6.6	1.5	221.9	-20.9	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	36.6	54.0	-17.4	EUT vertical
867.906	35.2	12.6	1.0	315.0		10.0	Horz	PK	0.0	57.8	80.8	-23.0	EUT horizontal
867.921	35.1	12.6	1.5	45.0		10.0	Vert	PK	0.0	57.7	80.8	-23.1	EUT vertical
1301.850	57.5	-6.6	1.5	358.9		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	50.9	74.0	-23.1	EUT horizontal
867.916	35.0	12.6	1.5	270.0		10.0	Vert	PK	0.0	57.6	80.8	-23.2	EUT on side
867.906	35.2	12.6	1.0	315.0	-20.9	10.0	Horz	AV	0.0	36.9	60.8	-23.9	EUT horizontal
867.921	35.1	12.6	1.5	45.0	-20.9	10.0	Vert	AV	0.0	36.8	60.8	-24.0	EUT vertical
1301.850	57.5	-6.6	1.5	358.9	-20.9	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	30.0	54.0	-24.0	EUT horizontal
867.916	35.0	12.6	1.5	270.0	-20.9	10.0	Vert	AV	0.0	36.7	60.8	-24.1	EUT on side

Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
867.896	34.1	12.6	1.0	135.0		10.0	Horz	PK	0.0	56.7	80.8	-24.1	EUT vertical
867.896	34.1	12.6	1.0	135.0	-20.9	10.0	Horz	AV	0.0	35.8	60.8	-25.0	EUT vertical
867.936	31.1	12.6	1.0	225.0		10.0	Horz	PK	0.0	53.7	80.8	-27.1	EUT on side
867.881	30.4	12.6	1.5	225.0		10.0	Vert	PK	0.0	53.0	80.8	-27.8	EUT horizontal
867.936	31.1	12.6	1.0	225.0	-20.9	10.0	Horz	AV	0.0	32.8	60.8	-28.0	EUT on side
867.881	30.4	12.6	1.5	225.0	-20.9	10.0	Vert	AV	0.0	32.1	60.8	-28.7	EUT horizontal

# OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMH 2020.03.25.0

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	18-Oct-19	18-Oct-20
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	3-Sep-19	3-Sep-21
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A	AFN	23-Dec-19	23-Dec-20

## TEST DESCRIPTION

The measurement was made in a radiated configuration of the fundamental with the carrier fully maximized for its highest radiated power. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum data rate.

The 20 dB occupied bandwidth is required to be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency for devices operating above 70 MHz and below 900 MHz.  $0.0025 \times 433.950 \text{ MHz} = 1085 \text{ kHz}$ .

# OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMR 2020.03.25.0


EUT: RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR		Work Order: CINC0052	
Serial Number: 389237		Date: 13-Apr-20	
Customer: CINCH Systems		Temperature: 21.2 °C	
Attendees: Jibril Aga		Humidity: 24% RH	
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1021 mbar	
Tested by: Dustin Sparks	Power: Battery	Job Site: MN05	
TEST SPECIFICATIONS		Test Method	
FCC 15.231:2020		ANSI C63.10:2013	
COMMENTS			
None			
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD			
None			
Configuration #	7	Signature <i>Dustin Sparks</i>	
		Value (kHz)	Limit (kHz)
433.95 MHz			
Occupied Bandwidth		38.26	1085
			Pass

# OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMH 2020.03.25.0

433.95 MHz, Occupied Bandwidth						
				Value (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Result
				38.26	1085	Pass



# DUTY CYCLE



XMIT 2020.03.25.0

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

## TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	18-Oct-19	18-Oct-20
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	3-Sep-19	3-Sep-21
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A	AFN	23-Dec-19	23-Dec-20

## TEST DESCRIPTION

For software controlled or pre-programmed devices, the manufacturer shall declare the duty cycle class or classes for the equipment under test. For manually operated or event dependant devices, with or without software controlled functions, the manufacturer shall declare whether the device once triggered, follows a pre-programmed cycle, or whether the transmission is constant until the trigger is released or manually reset. The manufacturer shall also give a description of the application for the device and include a typical usage pattern. The typical usage pattern as declared by the manufacturer shall be used to determine the duty cycle and hence the duty class.

Where an acknowledgement is required, the additional transmitter on-time shall be included and declared by the manufacturer.

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" =  $N_1L_1 + N_2L_2 + \dots$

Where  $N_1$  is the number of type 1 pulses,  $L_1$  is length of type 1 pulses,  $N_2$  is the number of type 2 pulses,  $L_2$  is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle =  $(N_1L_1 + N_2L_2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$  or  $T$ , whichever is less. (Where  $T$  is the period of the pulse train.)

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = **100** mSec  
Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = **0.1003** mSec  
Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = **0.2007** mSec  
Number of Type 1 Pulses = **48**  
Number of Type 2 Pulses = **21**

Duty Cycle Correction Factor =  $20 \log [((48)(0.1003) + (21)(0.2007))/100] = \mathbf{-20.9 \text{ dB}}$

The duty cycle correction factor of **-20.9 dB** was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

# DUTY CYCLE



XMR 2020.03.25.0

EUT: RF-CMDWSX-433-CLR		Work Order: CINC0052	
Serial Number: 948108		Date: 1-Jun-20	
Customer: CINCH Systems		Temperature: 23.7 °C	
Attendees: Jibril Aga		Humidity: 50.5% RH	
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1021 mbar	
Tested by: Dustin Sparks	Power: Battery	Job Site: MN05	
TEST SPECIFICATIONS			
FCC 15.231:2020		Test Method	
		ANSI C63.10:2013	
COMMENTS			
None			
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD			
None			
Configuration #	3	Signature <i>Dustin Sparks</i>	
		Type 1 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 1 Pulse Count
		Type 2 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 2 Pulse Count
		On Time in 100 ms	DCCF (dB)
			Result
Sweep Time	10 s	N/A	N/A
	2 s	N/A	N/A
	100 ms	N/A	N/A
	20 ms	0.1003	48
		0.2007	21
		9.03	-20.9
			N/A




# DUTY CYCLE




XMI 2020.03.25.0

Sweep Time, 10 s						
Type 1 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 1 Pulse Count	Type 2 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 2 Pulse Count	On Time in 100 ms	DCCF (dB)	Result
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Sweep Time, 2 s						
Type 1 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 1 Pulse Count	Type 2 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 2 Pulse Count	On Time in 100 ms	DCCF (dB)	Result
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




# DUTY CYCLE



XMI 2020.03.25.0

Sweep Time, 100 ms						
Type 1 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 1 Pulse Count	Type 2 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 2 Pulse Count	On Time in 100 ms	DCCF (dB)	Result
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Sweep Time, 20 ms						
Type 1 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 1 Pulse Count	Type 2 Pulse Width (ms)	Type 2 Pulse Count	On Time in 100 ms	DCCF (dB)	Result
0.1003	48	0.2007	21	9.03	-20.9	N/A

