

Report No.: DDT-R21091319-2E12

■Issued Date: Dec. 07, 2021

RF EXPOSURE REPORT

FOR

Applicant	:	Angry Miao Technology Co., Limited	
Address	:	2/F, No.5 of Nanteng Street, Qi'ao Industrial Zone, Tangjiawan Town, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, China	
Equipment under Test	••	CYBERBLADE	
Model No.		AngryMiao01	
Trade Mark	•	Angry Miao	
FCC ID	1	2A3FY-AM01LR	
Manufacturer	•••	Angry Miao Technology Co., Limited	
Address	••	2/F, No.5 of Nanteng Street, Qi'ao Industrial Zone, Tangjiawan Town, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, China	

Issued By: Dongguan Dongdian Testing Service Co., Ltd.

Add.: No. 17, Zongbu Road 2, Songshan Lake Sci&Tech, Industry Park, Dongguan City, Guangdong Province, China, 523808

Tel.: +86-0769-38826678, E-mail: ddt@dgddt.com, http://www.dgddt.com



Table of Contents

	Test report declares	3
1.	General Information	5
1.1.	Description of equipment	
1.2.	Assess laboratory	
2.	RF Exposure evaluation for FCC	

Test Report Declare

Applicant	:	Angry Miao Technology Co., Limited	
Address	:	2/F, No.5 of Nanteng Street, Qi'ao Industrial Zone, Tangjiawan Town, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, China	
Equipment under Test	:	CYBERBLADE	
Model No.	:	AngryMiao01	
Trade mark	:	Angry Miao	
Manufacturer	3	Angry Miao Technology Co., Limited	
Address	1.1	2/F, No.5 of Nanteng Street, Qi'ao Industrial Zone, Tangjiawan Town, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, China	

Standard Used: KDB447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

We Declare:

The equipment described above is assessed by Dongguan Dongdian Testing Service Co., Ltd and in the configuration assessed the equipment complied with the standards specified above. The assessed results are contained in this report and Dongguan Dongdian Testing Service Co., Ltd is assumed of full responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these assess.

After evaluation, our opinion is that the equipment In Accordance with above standard.

Report No:	DDT-R21091319-2E12		
Date of Receipt:	Sep. 28, 2021	Date of Test:	Sep. 28, 2021 ~ Dec. 06, 2021

Prepared By:

Sam Li/Engineer

Damon Hu/EMC Manager

Approved By

Note: This report applies to above tested sample only. This report shall not be reproduced in parts without written approval of Dongguan Dongdian Testing Service Co., Ltd.

Revision History

Rev.	Revisions	Issue Da	te Re	evised By
	Initial issue	Dec. 07,	2021	8
	207	207	007	

1. General Information

1.1. Description of equipment

EUT* Name	:	CYBERBLADE		
Model Number	:	AngryMiao01		
EUT function description	:	Please reference user manual of this device		
Power Supply	:	Wireless Headphones: DC 3.85V built-in lithium battery		
Radio Specification	:	Bluetooth V5.2		
Operation Frequency	÷	2402 MHz - 2480 MHz		
Modulation		GFSK, π/4-DQPSK, 8DPSK		
Data Rate	:	1 Mbps, 2 Mbps, 3 Mbps		
Antenna Gain		Left side: 2.2 dBi Right side: 1.38 dBi		
Sample Type	:	Series production		
Serial Number	:	N/A ®		

Note: EUT is the abbreviation of equipment under test.

1.2. Assess laboratory

Dongguan Dongdian Testing Service Co., Ltd.

Add.: No. 17, Zongbu Road 2, Songshan Lake Sci&Tech, Industry Park, Dongguan City,

Guangdong Province, China, 523808.

Tel.: +86-0769-38826678, http://www.dgddt.com, Email: ddt@dgddt.com.

CNAS Accreditation No. L6451; A2LA Accreditation Number: 3870.01

FCC Designation Number: CN1182, Test Firm Registration Number: 540522

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Site Registration Number: 10288A

Conformity Assessment Body identifier: CN0048

VCCI facility registration number: C-20087, T-20088, R-20123, G-20118

2. RF Exposure evaluation for FCC

According to 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where:

f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz

Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation

The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

BT Manufacturing Tolerance

Left side:

GFSK (Peak)							
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78				
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5				
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1				
	π/4DQPSK (Peak)						
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78				
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5				
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1				
8DPSK (Peak)							
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78				
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5				
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1				

Right side:

GFSK (Peak)						
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78			
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5			
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1			
π/4DQPSK (Peak)						
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78			
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5			
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	<u>a</u> 1	1			
8DPSK (Peak)						
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78			
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5			
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1			

BLE Manufacturing Tolerance

Left side:

GFSK_1M (Peak)						
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78			
Target (dBm)	7	7	7			
Tolerance ±(dB)	111	1	1			
	GFSK_2M (Peak)					
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78			
Target (dBm)	7	7	_® 7			
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1			

Right side:

GFSK_1M (Peak)					
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78		
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5		
Tolerance ±(dB)	1 /	1	1		
GFSK_2M (Peak)					
Channel	Channel 0	Channel 39	Channel 78		
Target (dBm)	8.5	8.5	8.5		
Tolerance ±(dB)	1	1	1		

Estimtion Result

Worse case is as below: [2480 MHz, 9.5 dBm, 8.91 mW) output power]

 $(8.91/5) \cdot [\sqrt{2.480}(GHz)] = 2.81 < 3.0 \text{ for } 1-g \text{ SAR}$

Then SAR evaluation is not required

END OF REPORT