RE: Honeywell
FCC ID: CFS8DL5878 (FCC & IC Review)
After a review of the submitted information, I have a few comments on the above referenced Application.

> 1) Operational description cites there are not supervisory transmissions,
> but duty cycle information presents information for this. Please correct/clarify as necessary.

Tim, The Operational Description is correct. There are NO supervisory transmissions. What "EXHIBIT 3-2" is showing is what a "supervisory transmission" would look like if there was one - this was included for explanation purposes only. Sorry for the confusion.

> 2) FCC desires actual test photographs and not simply a test diagram. Please provide test
> configuration photographs on the test site if available.

Please look at "EXHIBIT 5-2A" for the close-up & testing photos.

> 3) Test report uses a 10% duty factor. However the operational description mentions both a 10% and
> 14.3% version. Worse case would be utilizing 14.3% duty factor. Please review/correct as necessary.

Please look at "EXHIBIT 5-3A"

> 4) Please note that given 3) above, it appears that using 10% that points at 2070 MHz may exceed
> the limit using 14.3%. Please review.

FYI: Tim found a loose cap resoldered EXHIBIT 5-3A is now current.

> 5) The users manual appears to be missing information required by 15.21. Please correct.

Please look at "EXHIBIT 7A"

> For IC
> 7) Label does not show that applicant as certified is given on the label. Please review.

Please look at "EXHIBIT 8A"

> 8) FYI….In the future, please ensure BW for IC is measured using a VBW > 3 * RBW. Additionally,
> most are now providing a separate occupied bandwidth plot for IC that appropriately measures
> using the spectrum analyzers 99% feature or the methods provided in the attached document.
> Please consider this in future applications.

Will Impliment.