

SAR TEST REPORT



The following samples were submitted and identified on behalf of the client as:

Equipment Under Test	GPS Navigation System
Brand Name	TomTom
Model No.	4AL51
Company Name	TomTom International B.V.
Company Address	De Ruijterkade 154, 1011 AC Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Standards	IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992, IEEE 1528-2013, KDB248227D01v02r02, KDB865664D01v01r04, KDB865664D02v01r02, KDB447498D01v06, KDB941225D07v01r02,
FCC ID	S4L4AL51
Date of Receipt	Dec. 23, 2016
Date of Test(s)	Jan. 20, 2017
Date of Issue	Mar. 28, 2017

In the configuration tested, the EUT complied with the standards specified above.

Remarks:

This report details the results of the testing carried out on one sample, the results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report.

This report may only be reproduced and distributed in full. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards. Any mention of SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory or testing done by SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory in connection with distribution or use of the product described in this report must be approved by SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory in writing.

Signed on behalf of SGS

Engineer

Jimmy Chang

Jimmy Chang

Date: Mar. 28, 2017

Supervisor

John Yeh

John Yeh

Date: Mar. 28, 2017



Revision History

Report Number	Revision	Description	Issue Date
E5/2016/C0012	Rev.00	Initial creation of document	Sep. 07, 2016
E5/2016/C0012	Rev.01	1 st modification	Mar. 28, 2017



Contents

1. General Information.....	4
1.1 Testing Laboratory	4
1.2 Details of Applicant.....	4
1.3 Description of EUT	5
1.4 Test Environment	8
1.5 Operation Description	8
1.6 The SAR Measurement System.....	9
1.7 System Components.....	14
1.8 SAR System Verification	16
1.9 Tissue Simulant Fluid for the Frequency Band	17
1.10 Evaluation Procedures	18
1.11 Probe Calibration Procedures	19
1.12 Test Standards and Limits	22
2. Summary of Results	24
3. Instruments List	25
4. Measurements.....	26
5. SAR System Performance Verification	30
6. DAE & Probe Calibration Certificate	30
7. Uncertainty Budget.....	47
8. Phantom Description.....	48
9. System Validation from Original Equipment Supplier.....	49



1. General Information

1.1 Testing Laboratory

SGS Taiwan Ltd. Electronics & Communication Laboratory	
No. 2, Keji 1st Rd., Guishan Township, Taoyuan County, 33383, Taiwan	
Tel	+886-2-2299-3279
Fax	+886-2-2298-0488
Internet	http://www.tw.sgs.com/

1.2 Details of Applicant

Company Name	TomTom International B.V.
Company Address	De Ruijterkade 154, 1011 AC Amsterdam, The Netherlands



1.3 Description of EUT

Equipment Under Test	GPS Navigation System		
Brand Name	TomTom		
Model No.	4AL51		
FCC ID	S4L4AL51		
Antenna Designation (Maximum Gain)	2.45GHz: 0.85 (dBi)		
Mode of Operation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bluetooth		
Duty Cycle	WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M)	1	
	Bluetooth	1	
TX Frequency Range (MHz)	WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M)	2412	— 2462
	Bluetooth	2402	— 2480
Channel Number (ARFCN)	WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M)	1	— 11
	Bluetooth	0	— 78

Max. SAR (1 g) (Unit: W/Kg)				
Band	Measured	Reported	Channel	Position
WLAN802.11b	0.512	0.556	1	Back side_Curve

WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M) conducted power table:

802.11 b		Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Average conducted output power (dBm)
CH	Frequency (MHz)		Data Rate (Mbps)
			1
1	2412	15.5	15.14
6	2437	15.5	15.09
11	2462	15.5	14.89

802.11 g		Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Average conducted output power (dBm)
CH	Frequency (MHz)		Data Rate (Mbps)
			6
1	2412	12	11.99
6	2437	12	11.69
11	2462	12	11.49

802.11 n(20M)		Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Average conducted output power (dBm)
CH	Frequency (MHz)		Data Rate (Mbps)
			6.5
1	2412	10.5	10.48
6	2437	10.5	9.92
11	2462	10.5	9.95

Bluetooth conducted power table:

Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)	
			dBm	mW
2402	1	1	0.35	1.084
2441	1	1	0.19	1.045
2480	1	1	0.13	1.030
2402	2	-1	-1.11	0.774
2441	2	-1	-1.25	0.750
2480	2	-1	-1.35	0.733
2402	3	-1	-1.12	0.773
2441	3	-1	-1.26	0.748
2480	3	-1	-1.35	0.733

Frequency (MHz)	Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Avg.	
		BT4.0	
		dBm	mW
2402	-1.5	-2.97	0.505
2442	-1.5	-3.22	0.476
2480	-1.5	-3.52	0.445

1.4 Test Environment

Ambient Temperature: $22 \pm 2^{\circ} \text{C}$
Tissue Simulating Liquid: $22 \pm 2^{\circ} \text{C}$


1.5 Operation Description

Use chipset specific software to control the EUT, and makes it transmit in maximum power. Measurements are performed respectively on the lowest, middle and highest channels of the operating band(s). The EUT is set to maximum power level during all tests, and at the beginning of each test the battery is fully charged.


EUT was tested based on **KDB inquiry**.

Front/back/bottom/left/backside_curve with test distance 5mm

Backside_curve with test distance 0/1/2mm



Antenna location (front view)



Cross section view

Note:

802.11b DSSS SAR Test Requirements:

1. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS mode using the highest measured maximum output power channel, when the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
2. When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

802.11g/n OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Requirements:

3. SAR is not required for 802.11g/n since the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

Initial Test Configuration:

4. An initial test configuration is determined for OFDM transmission modes according to the channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate combination(s) with the highest maximum output power specified for production units in each standalone and aggregated frequency band.

5. SAR is measured using the highest measured maximum output power channel. When the reported SAR of the initial test configuration is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR measurement is required for the subsequent next highest measured output power channel(s) in the initial test configuration until the reported SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all required channels are tested.
6. BT and WLAN use the same antenna path and Bluetooth can't transmit simultaneously with WLAN.
7. Since the dimension size and features are belong to KDB941225D07 (overall diagonal dimension ≤ 20cm), the device was tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at ≤ 25 mm from that surface or edge, at 5 mm separation from a flat phantom.
8. Based on KDB447498D01,

- (1) SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$$\frac{\text{Max. tune up power(mW)}}{\text{Min. test separation distance(mm)}} \times \sqrt{f(\text{GHz})} \leq 3$$

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5mm, 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

- (2) For test separation distances > 50 mm, and the frequency at 100 MHz to 1500MHz, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following, and as illustrated in Appendix B of KDB447498 D01.

[(Threshold at 50mm in step1) + (test separation distance-50mm) × $\left(\frac{f(\text{MHz})}{150}\right)](\text{mW})$,

- (3) For test separation distances > 50 mm, and the frequency at >1500MHz to 6GHz, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following, and as illustrated in Appendix B of KDB447498 D01.

[(Threshold at 50mm in step1) + (test separation distance-50mm) × 10](mW),

Mode	Maximum power(dBm)	Maximum power(mW)	front/back/bottom/left /backside_curve		
			Test separation distance (mm)	Exclusion threshold	Require SAR testing?
BT	1	1.259	5	0.397	No

9. According to KDB447498 D01, testing of other required channels is not required when the reported 1-g SAR for the highest output channel is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, when the transmission band is ≤ 100 MHz.
10. According to KDB865664 D01, SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band. When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.8 W/kg, repeated that measurement once. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).

1.6 The SAR Measurement System

A block diagram of the SAR measurement System is given in Fig. a. This SAR Measurement System uses a Computer-controlled 3-D stepper motor system (SPEAG DASY 5 professional system). The model EX3DV4 field probe is used to determine the internal electric fields. The SAR can be obtained from the equation $SAR = \sigma (|E|)^2 / \rho$ where σ and ρ are the conductivity and mass density of the tissue-simulant.

The DASY 5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension is for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
2. A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage intissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
3. A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.





Fig. a The block diagram of SAR system


4. The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
5. The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
6. A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
7. A computer operating Windows 7.
8. DASY 5 software.
9. Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
10. The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
11. The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
12. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
13. Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

1.7 System Components


EX3DV4 E-Field Probe

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	
Calibration	Basic Broad Band Calibration in air Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 2450 MHz Additional CF for other liquids and frequencies upon request	
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 µW/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 µW/g)	
Dimensions	Tip diameter: 2.5 mm	
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.	

SAM PHANTOM V4.0C

Construction	<p>The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209.</p> <p>It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.</p>	
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm	
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters	
Dimensions	<p>Height: 850 mm;</p> <p>Length: 1000 mm;</p> <p>Width: 500 mm</p>	

DEVICE HOLDER

Construction	<p>The device holder (Supporter) for Notebook is made by POM (polyoxymethylene resin) , which is non-metal and non-conductive. The height can be adjusted to fit varies kind of notebooks.</p>	 <p>Device Holder</p>
--------------	--	---

1.8 SAR System Verification

The microwave circuit arrangement for system verification is sketched in Fig. b. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom. A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within $\pm 10\%$ from the target SAR values. These tests were done at 2450 MHz. The tests were conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table 1 (SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole). During the tests, the ambient temperature of the laboratory was 21.7°C, the relative humidity was 62% and the liquid depth above the ear reference points was $\geq 15 \text{ cm} \pm 5 \text{ mm}$ (frequency $\leq 3 \text{ GHz}$) or $\geq 10 \text{ cm} \pm 5 \text{ mm}$ (frequency $> 3 \text{ GHz}$) in all the cases. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values.




Fig. b The block diagram of system verification

Validation Kit	S/N	Frequency (MHz)		1W Target SAR-1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR-1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR-1g normalized to 1W (mW/g)	Deviation (%)	Measured Date
D2450V2	727	2450	Body	49.6	13	52	4.84%	Jan. 20, 2017

Table 1. Results of system validation

1.9 Tissue Simulant Fluid for the Frequency Band

The dielectric properties for this body-simulant fluid were measured by using the Agilent Model 85070E Dielectric Probe (rates frequency band 200 MHz to 20 GHz) in conjunction with Network Analyzer (30 KHz-6000 MHz).

All dielectric parameters of tissue simulates were measured within 24 hours of SAR measurements. The depth of the tissue simulant in the flat section of the phantom was $\geq 15 \text{ cm} \pm 5 \text{ mm}$ (Frequency $\leq 3\text{G}$) or $\geq 10 \text{ cm} \pm 5 \text{ mm}$ (Frequency $> 3\text{G}$) during all tests. (Fig. 2)

Tissue Type	Measurement Date	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Target Dielectric Constant, ϵ_r	Target Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ_r	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	% dev ϵ_r	% dev σ
Body	Jan. 20, 2017	2412	52.751	1.914	51.600	1.952	2.18%	-2.00%
		2450	52.700	1.950	51.548	1.988	2.19%	-1.95%

Table 2. Dielectric Parameters of Tissue Simulant Fluid

The composition of the tissue simulating liquid:

Frequency (MHz)	Mode	Ingredient						Total amount
		DGMBE	Water	Salt	Preventol D-7	Cellulose	Sugar	
2450M	Body	301.7ml	698.3ml	—	—	—	—	1.0L(Kg)

Table 3. Recipes for Tissue Simulating Liquid

1.10 Evaluation Procedures

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1 g and 10 g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is less than 30 degree.

In the Area Scan, the gradient of the interpolation function is evaluated to find all the extreme of the SAR distribution. The uncertainty on the locations of the extreme is less than 1/20 of the grid size. Only local maximum within -2 dB of the global maximum are searched and passed for the Cube Scan measurement. In the Cube Scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the lowest measurement points to the inner phantom surface (the extrapolation distance). The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5mm.

The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7x7x7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements.

The measured volume of 30x30x30mm contains about 30g of tissue. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. Boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume. In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.

1.11 Probe Calibration Procedures

For the calibration of E-field probes in lossy liquids, an electric field with an accurately known field strength must be produced within the measured liquid. For standardization purposes it would be desirable if all measurements which are necessary to assess the correct field strength would be traceable to standardized measurement procedures. In the following two different calibration techniques are summarized:

1.11.1 Transfer Calibration with Temperature Probes

In lossy liquids the specific absorption rate (SAR) is related both to the electric field (E) and the temperature gradient ($\delta T / \delta t$) in the liquid.

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma}{\rho} |E|^2 = c \frac{\delta T}{\delta t}$$

whereby σ is the conductivity, ρ the density and c the heat capacity of the liquid.

Hence, the electric field in lossy liquid can be measured indirectly by measuring the temperature gradient in the liquid. Non-disturbing temperature probes (optical probes or thermistor probes with resistive lines) with high spatial resolution (<1-2 mm) and fast reaction time (<1 s) are available and can be easily calibrated with high precision [1]. The setup and the exciting source have no influence on the calibration; only the relative positioning uncertainties of the standard temperature probe and the E-field probe to be calibrated must be considered. However, several problems limit the available accuracy of probe calibrations with temperature probes:

- The temperature gradient is not directly measurable but must be evaluated from temperature measurements at different time steps. Special precaution is necessary to avoid measurement errors caused by temperature gradients due to energy equalizing effects or convection currents in the liquid. Such effects cannot be completely avoided, as the measured field itself destroys the thermal equilibrium in the liquid. With a careful setup these errors can be kept small.
- The measured volume around the temperature probe is not well defined. It is difficult to calculate the energy transfer from a surrounding gradient temperature field into the probe. These effects must be considered, since temperature probes are calibrated in liquid with homogeneous temperatures. There is no traceable standard for temperature rise measurements.
- The calibration depends on the assessment of the specific density, the heat capacity and the conductivity of the medium. While the specific density and heat capacity can be measured accurately with standardized procedures ($\sim 2\%$ for c ; much better for ρ), there is no standard for the measurement of the conductivity. Depending on the method and liquid, the error can well exceed $\pm 5\%$.
- Temperature rise measurements are not very sensitive and therefore are often performed at a higher power level than the E-field measurements. The nonlinearities in the system (e.g., power measurements, different components, etc.) must be considered.

Considering these problems, the possible accuracy of the calibration of E-field probes with temperature gradient measurements in a carefully designed setup is about $\pm 10\%$ (RSS) [2]. Recently, a setup which is a combination of the waveguide techniques and the thermal measurements was presented in [3]. The estimated uncertainty of the setup is $\pm 5\%$ (RSS) when the same liquid is used for the calibration and for actual measurements and $\pm 7-9\%$ (RSS) when not, which is in good agreement with the estimates given in [2].

1.11.2 Calibration with Analytical Fields

In this method a technical setup is used in which the field can be calculated analytically from measurements of other physical magnitudes (e.g., input power). This corresponds to the standard field method for probe calibration in air; however, there is no standard defined for fields in lossy liquids.

When using calculated fields in lossy liquids for probe calibration, several points must be considered in the assessment of the uncertainty:

- The setup must enable accurate determination of the incident power.
- The accuracy of the calculated field strength will depend on the

assessment of the dielectric parameters of the liquid.

- Due to the small wavelength in liquids with high permittivity, even small setups might be above the resonant cutoff frequencies. The field distribution in the setup must be carefully checked for conformity with the theoretical field distribution.

References

1. N. Kuster, Q. Balzano, and J.C. Lin, Eds., *Mobile Communications Safety*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1997.
2. K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, "Broadband calibration of E-field probes in lossy media", *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1954-1962, Oct. 1996.
3. K. Jokela, P. Hyysalo, and L. Puranen, "Calibration of specific absorption rate (SAR) probes in waveguide at 900 MHz", *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurements*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 432-438, Apr. 1998.

1.12 Test Standards and Limits

According to FCC 47CFR §2.1093(d) The limits to be used for evaluation are based generally on criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate ("SAR") in Section 4.2 of "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz," ANSI/IEEE C95.1, By the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017. These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields," NCRP Report No. 86, Section 17.4.5. Copyright NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards. The criteria to be used are specified in paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this section and shall apply for portable devices transmitting in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 6 GHz. Portable devices that transmit at frequencies above 6 GHz are to be evaluated in terms of the MPE limits specified in § 1.1310 of this chapter. Measurements and calculations to demonstrate compliance with MPE field strength or power density limits for devices operating above 6 GHz should be made at a minimum distance of 5 cm from the radiating source.

- (1) Limits for Occupational/Controlled exposure: 0.4 W/kg as averaged over the whole-body and spatial peak SAR not exceeding 8 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). Exceptions are the hands, wrists, feet and ankles where the spatial peak SAR shall not exceed 20 W/kg, as averaged over an 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube).
- (2) Occupational/Controlled limits apply when persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided these persons are fully aware of and exercise control over their exposure. Awareness of exposure can be accomplished by use of warning labels or by specific training or education through appropriate means, such as an RF safety program in a work environment.
- (3) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure: 0.08 W/kg as averaged over the whole-body and spatial peak SAR not exceeding 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). Exceptions are the hands, wrists, feet and ankles where the spatial peak SAR shall not exceed 4 W/kg, as averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). General Population/Uncontrolled limits apply when the general public may be exposed, or when persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or do not

exercise control over their exposure. Warning labels placed on consumer devices such as cellular telephones will not be sufficient reason to allow these devices to be evaluated subject to limits for occupational/controlled exposure in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. (Table 4.)

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational
Spatial Peak SAR (Brain)	1.60 W/kg	8.00 W/kg
Spatial Average SAR (Whole Body)	0.08 W/kg	0.40 W/kg
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 W/kg	20.00 W/kg

Table 4. RF exposure limits

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

2. Summary of Results

WLAN

Mode	Position	Distance (mm)	CH	Freq. (MHz)	Max. Rated Avg. Power + Max. Tolerance (dBm)	Measured Avg. Power (dBm)	Scaling	Averaged SAR over 1g (W/kg)		Plot page
								Measured	Reported	
WLAN802.11b	Front side	5	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.029	0.032	-
	Back side	5	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.054	0.059	-
	Back side_Curve	5	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.200	0.217	26
	Back side_Curve	2	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.332	0.361	27
	Back side_Curve	1	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.440	0.478	28
	Back side_Curve	0	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.512	0.556	29
	Bottom side	5	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.011	0.012	-
	Left side	5	1	2412	15.5	15.14	8.64%	0.015	0.016	-

Note:

$$\text{Scaling} = \frac{\text{reported SAR}}{\text{measured SAR}} = \frac{P_2(\text{mW})}{P_1(\text{mW})} = 10^{\left(\frac{P_2 - P_1}{10}\right)} (\text{dBm})$$

Reported SAR = measured SAR * (scaling)

Where P2 is maximum specified power, P1 is measured conducted power

3. Instruments List

Manufacturer	Device	Type	Serial number	Date of last calibration	Date of next calibration
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3831	Jan.27,2016	Jan.26,2017
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	System Validation Dipole	D2450V2	727	Apr.19,2016	Apr.18,2017
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Data acquisition Electronics	DAE4	547	Mar.21,2016	Mar.20,2017
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Software	DASY 52 V52.8.8	N/A	Calibration not required	Calibration not required
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Phantom	SAM	N/A	Calibration not required	Calibration not required
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Vector Network Analyzer and Vector Reflect meter	DAKS VNA R140	0170813	Mar.23,2016	Mar.22,2017
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Dielectric Probe Kit	DAKS-3.5	0004	Mar.23,2016	Mar.22,2017
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070E	MY44300677	Calibration not required	Calibration not required
Agilent	Dual-directional coupler	772D	MY46151242	Jul.11,2016	Jul.10,2017
		778D	MY48220468	Jul.06,2016	Jul.05,2017
Agilent	RF Signal Generator	N5181A	MY50145142	Feb.19,2016	Feb.18,2017
Agilent	Power Meter	E4417A	MY52240003	Oct.17,2016	Oct.16,2017
Agilent	Power Sensor	E9301H	MY52200003	Oct.17,2016	Oct.16,2017
			MY52200004	Oct.17,2016	Oct.16,2017
TECPEL	Digital thermometer	DTM-303A	TP130075	Mar.30,2016	Mar.29,2017

4. Measurements

Date: 2017/1/20

WLAN 802.11b_Body_Back side_CH 1_5mm_Curve

Communication System: WLAN 2.45G; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.952$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section
Ambient temperature: 22.5° C ; Liquid temperature: 22.0° C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3831; ConvF(7.05, 7.05, 7.05); Calibrated: 2016/1/27;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn547; Calibrated: 2016/3/21
- Phantom: Body
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/Head/Area Scan (111x141x1): Interpolated grid: dx=12 mm, dy=12 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.422 W/kg


Configuration/Head/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.687 V/m; Power Drift = -0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.513 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.200 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.074 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.340 W/kg



0 dB = 0.340 W/kg = -4.68 dBW/kg

Date: 2017/1/20

WLAN 802.11b_Body_Back side_CH 1_2mm_Curve

Communication System: WLAN(2.45G); Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.952$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Ambient temperature: 22.5° C ; Liquid temperature: 22.0° C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3831; ConvF(7.05, 7.05, 7.05); Calibrated: 2016/1/27;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn547; Calibrated: 2016/3/21
- Phantom: Body
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/Body/Area Scan (101x141x1): Interpolated grid: dx=12 mm, dy=12 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.476 W/kg



Configuration/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.125 V/m; Power Drift = 0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.820 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.332 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.127 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.563 W/kg



0 dB = 0.563 W/kg = -2.50 dBW/kg

Date: 2017/1/20

WLAN 802.11b_Body_Back side_CH 1_1mm_Curve

Communication System: WLAN(2.45G); Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.952$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section
Ambient temperature: 22.5° C ; Liquid temperature: 22.0° C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3831; ConvF(7.05, 7.05, 7.05); Calibrated: 2016/1/27;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn547; Calibrated: 2016/3/21
- Phantom: Body
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/Body/Area Scan (101x141x1): Interpolated grid: dx=12 mm, dy=12 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.814 W/kg


Configuration/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.519 V/m; Power Drift = 0.19 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.440 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.166 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.763 W/kg



0 dB = 0.763 W/kg = -1.17 dBW/kg

Date: 2017/1/20

WLAN 802.11b_Body_Back side_CH 1_0mm_Curve

Communication System: WLAN(2.45G); Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.952$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section
Ambient temperature: 22.5° C ; Liquid temperature: 22.0° C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3831; ConvF(7.05, 7.05, 7.05); Calibrated: 2016/1/27;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn547; Calibrated: 2016/3/21
- Phantom: Body
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/Body/Area Scan (101x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=12 mm, dy=12 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.03 W/kg


Configuration/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 5.043 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.29 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.512 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.194 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.891 W/kg



0 dB = 0.891 W/kg = -0.50 dBW/kg

5. SAR System Performance Verification

Date: 2017/1/20

Dipole 2450 MHz_SN:727

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.988$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.548$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Ambient temperature: 22.5° C ; Liquid temperature: 22.0° C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3831; ConvF(7.05, 7.05, 7.05); Calibrated: 2016/1/27;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn547; Calibrated: 2016/3/21
- Phantom: Body
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/Pin=250mW/Area Scan (51x51x1): Interpolated grid: dx=12 mm, dy=12 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 20.9 W/kg

Configuration/Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 98.43 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.98 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.1 W/kg



0 dB = 20.1 W/kg = 13.03 dBW/kg

6. DAE & Probe Calibration Certificate

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **SGS-TW (Auden)**

Certificate No: **DAE4-547_Mar16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **DAE4 - SD 000 D04 BM - SN: 547**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-06.v29**
Calibration procedure for the data acquisition electronics (DAE)

Calibration date: **March 21, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which utilize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility; environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (MSTE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Kethley Multimeter Type 2001	SN: 0810278	09-Sep-15 (No.17153)	Sep-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Auto DAE Calibration Unit	SE UWS 063 AA 1001	05-Jan-16 (in house check)	in house check: Jan-17
Calibrator Box V2.1	SE UMS 006 AA 1002	05-Jan-16 (in house check)	in house check: Jan-17

Calibrated by:	Name H. Mayraz	Function Technician	Signature 
Approved by:	Name Elm Bohlrodt	Function Deputy Technical Manager	Signature 

Issued: March 21, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: **DAE4-547_Mar16**

Page 1 of 1

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary

DAE data acquisition electronics
Connector angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters

- **DC Voltage Measurement:** Calibration Factor assessed for use in DASY system by comparison with a calibrated instrument traceable to national standards. The figure given corresponds to the full scale range of the voltmeter in the respective range.
- **Connector angle:** The angle of the connector is assessed measuring the angle mechanically by a tool inserted. Uncertainty is not required.
- The following parameters as documented in the Appendix contain technical information as a result from the performance test and require no uncertainty.
 - **DC Voltage Measurement Linearity:** Verification of the Linearity at +10% and -10% of the nominal calibration voltage. Influence of offset voltage is included in this measurement.
 - **Common mode sensitivity:** Influence of a positive or negative common mode voltage on the differential measurement.
 - **Channel separation:** Influence of a voltage on the neighbor channels not subject to an input voltage.
 - **AD Converter Values with inputs shorted:** Values on the internal AD converter corresponding to zero input voltage.
 - **Input Offset Measurement:** Output voltage and statistical results over a large number of zero voltage measurements.
 - **Input Offset Current:** Typical value for information; Maximum channel input offset current, not considering the input resistance.
 - **Input resistance:** Typical value for information; DAE input resistance at the connector, during internal auto-zeroing and during measurement.
 - **Low Battery Alarm Voltage:** Typical value for information. Below this voltage, a battery alarm signal is generated.
 - **Power consumption:** Typical value for information. Supply currents in various operating modes.

DC Voltage Measurement

A/D - Converter Resolution nominal

High Range: 1LSB = 6.1 μ V, full range = -100...+300 mV

Low Range: 1LSB = 61nV, full range = -1.....+3mV

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

Calibration Factors	X	Y	Z
High Range	403.135 \pm 0.02% (k=2)	403.036 \pm 0.02% (k=2)	402.684 \pm 0.02% (k=2)
Low Range	3.95305 \pm 1.50% (k=2)	3.90339 \pm 1.50% (k=2)	3.96094 \pm 1.50% (k=2)

Connector Angle

Connector Angle to be used in DASY system	162.0 $^{\circ}$ \pm 1 $^{\circ}$
---	-------------------------------------

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

1. DC Voltage Linearity

High Range	Reading (μV)	Difference (μV)	Error (%)
Channel X + Input	199994.21	2.19	0.00
Channel X + Input	20002.69	2.01	0.01
Channel X - Input	-19996.82	4.06	-0.02
Channel Y + Input	199993.69	1.38	0.00
Channel Y + Input	19996.39	-2.33	-0.01
Channel Y - Input	-20002.28	-1.42	0.01
Channel Z + Input	199992.57	0.40	0.00
Channel Z + Input	20001.18	0.43	0.00
Channel Z - Input	-19999.63	1.28	-0.01

Low Range	Reading (μV)	Difference (μV)	Error (%)
Channel X + Input	2000.74	0.01	0.00
Channel X + Input	200.96	-0.15	-0.08
Channel X - Input	-198.85	-0.17	0.09
Channel Y + Input	2000.55	-0.24	-0.01
Channel Y + Input	200.62	-0.63	-0.31
Channel Y - Input	-199.16	-0.63	0.32
Channel Z + Input	2000.92	0.18	0.01
Channel Z + Input	200.09	-1.21	-0.60
Channel Z - Input	-199.88	-1.33	0.67

2. Common mode sensitivity

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

	Common mode Input Voltage (mV)	High Range Average Reading (μV)	Low Range Average Reading (μV)
Channel X	200	-3.77	-5.74
	-200	5.75	4.10
Channel Y	200	-0.96	-1.19
	-200	-0.19	-0.50
Channel Z	200	5.38	5.39
	-200	-7.88	-7.82

3. Channel separation

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

	Input Voltage (mV)	Channel X (μV)	Channel Y (μV)	Channel Z (μV)
Channel X	200	-	3.23	-2.09
Channel Y	200	9.86	-	4.46
Channel Z	200	4.46	8.53	-

4. AD-Converter Values with inputs shorted

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

	High Range (LSB)	Low Range (LSB)
Channel X	16360	14961
Channel Y	16477	16929
Channel Z	16075	16224

5. Input Offset Measurement

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

Input 10M Ω

	Average (μ V)	min. Offset (μ V)	max. Offset (μ V)	Std. Deviation (μ V)
Channel X	0.98	0.14	1.82	0.32
Channel Y	-0.29	-1.11	0.56	0.32
Channel Z	-1.72	-2.77	-0.15	0.39

6. Input Offset Current

Nominal input circuitry offset current on all channels: <25fA

7. Input Resistance (Typical values for information)

	Zeroing (kOhm)	Measuring (MOhm)
Channel X	200	200
Channel Y	200	200
Channel Z	200	200

8. Low Battery Alarm Voltage (Typical values for information)

Typical values	Alarm Level (VDC)
Supply (+ Vcc)	+7.9
Supply (- Vcc)	-7.6

9. Power Consumption (Typical values for information)

Typical values	Switched off (mA)	Stand by (mA)	Transmitting (mA)
Supply (+ Vcc)	+0.01	+8	+14
Supply (- Vcc)	-0.01	-8	-9

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8604 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Client **SGS-TW (Auden)**

Certificate No: **EX3-3831 Jan16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3831**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **January 27, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3) °C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&T critical for calibration):

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20a)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	01-Dec-15 (No. E83-3013_Dest15)	Dec-16
DAC4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-REC_Oct15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8643C	US3642U31700	4-Aug-98 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390545	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jürgen Kaserli	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Kristin Petrovic	Technical Manager	
Issued: January 28, 2016			
This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.			

Certificate No: EX3-3831 Jan16

Page 7 of 11

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8064 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
S Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servicio svizzero di calibrazione
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates.

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
$NORM_{x,y,z}$	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / $NORM_{x,y,z}$
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization θ	θ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\theta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices; Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORM_{x,y,z}$: Assessed for E-field polarization $\theta = 0$ ($f < 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). $NORM_{x,y,z}$ are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of $NORM_{x,y,z}$ does not affect the E^2 field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- $NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} \cdot \text{frequency_response}$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics.
- $A_{x,y,z}$; $B_{x,y,z}$; $C_{x,y,z}$; $D_{x,y,z}$; $VR_{x,y,z}$; A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f < 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to $NORM_{x,y,z} \cdot \text{ConvF}$ whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical Isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): In a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the $NORM_{x,y,z}$ (no uncertainty required).



Report No. : E5/2016/C0012
Issue Date : Feb. 10, 2017
Page : 38 of 56

EX3DV4 – SN:3831

January 27, 2016

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3831

Manufactured: September 6, 2011
Calibrated: January 27, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3831

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu V/(V/m)^2$) ^A	0.45	0.42	0.43	$\pm 10.1\%$
DGP (mV) ^B	100.7	102.6	99.9	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^C (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	153.7	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		139.5	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		143.5	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^C Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3831

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^E	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^H (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	9.38	9.38	9.38	0.23	1.35	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	8.84	8.84	8.84	0.19	1.62	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	8.77	8.77	8.77	0.20	1.51	± 12.0 %
1450	40.5	1.20	8.17	8.17	8.17	0.28	0.97	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	7.92	7.92	7.92	0.41	0.80	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.66	7.66	7.66	0.37	0.80	± 12.0 %
2000	40.0	1.40	7.61	7.61	7.61	0.32	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	7.33	7.33	7.33	0.31	0.96	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	6.92	6.92	6.92	0.27	1.09	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	6.71	6.71	6.71	0.40	0.89	± 12.0 %
3500	37.9	2.91	6.41	6.41	6.41	0.42	1.03	± 13.1 %
5200	36.0	4.68	4.76	4.76	4.76	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.46	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.08	4.08	4.08	0.50	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.10	4.10	4.10	0.50	1.80	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 60 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^H Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3831

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth (mm) ^G	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.25	9.25	9.25	0.26	1.29	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.08	9.08	9.08	0.35	1.04	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	9.05	9.05	9.05	0.30	1.12	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.74	7.74	7.74	0.27	1.01	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.54	7.54	7.54	0.35	0.85	± 12.0 %
2000	53.3	1.52	7.62	7.62	7.62	0.37	0.84	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	7.06	7.06	7.06	0.35	0.80	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.05	7.05	7.05	0.34	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	6.71	6.71	6.71	0.37	0.80	± 12.0 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.07	4.07	4.07	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	3.81	3.81	3.81	0.55	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.47	3.47	3.47	0.55	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	3.52	3.52	3.52	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.


^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G AlphaDepth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell: Ifl110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ (k=2)

EX3DV4- 5N,3831

January 27, 2016



Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$



EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ (k=2)


EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (ϕ, θ), $f = 900$ MHz



EX3DV4- SN:3831

January 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3831

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	-20.3
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm



7. Uncertainty Budget

Measurement Uncertainty evaluation template for DUT SAR test (0.3-3G)

A	c	D	e		f	g	h=c * f / e	i=c * g / e	k
Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance/ Uncertainty	Probabilit y	Div	Div Value	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	Standard uncertainty	Standard uncertainty	vi, or Veff
Measurement system									
Probe calibration	6.00%	N	1	1	1	1	6.00%	6.00%	∞
Isotropy , Axial	3.50%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	2.02%	2.02%	∞
Isotropy, Hemispherical	9.60%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	5.54%	5.54%	∞
Modulation Response	2.40%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.40%	1.40%	∞
Boundary Effect	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.58%	0.58%	∞
Linearity	4.70%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	2.71%	2.71%	∞
Detection Limits	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.58%	0.58%	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30%	N	1	1	1	1	0.30%	0.30%	∞
Response time	0.80%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.46%	0.46%	∞
Integration Time	2.60%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.50%	1.50%	∞
Measurement drift (class A evaluation)	1.75%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.01%	1.01%	∞
RF ambient condition - noise	3.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.73%	1.73%	∞
RF ambient conditions - reflections	3.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.73%	1.73%	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical restrictions	0.40%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.23%	0.23%	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to phantom	2.90%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	1.67%	1.67%	∞
Post-processing	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.58%	0.58%	∞
Max SAR Eval	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	0.58%	0.58%	∞
Test Sample related									
Test sample positioning	2.90%	N	1	1	1	1	2.90%	2.90%	M-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60%	N	1	1	1	1	3.60%	3.60%	M-1
Drift of output power	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	2.89%	2.89%	∞
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.732	1	1	2.31%	2.31%	∞
Liquid permittivity (mea.)	2.19%	N	1	1	0.64	0.43	1.40%	0.94%	M
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	2.00%	N	1	1	0.6	0.49	1.20%	0.98%	M
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS					11.57%	11.49%	
Expant uncertainty (95% confidence)							23.13%	22.98%	

Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 90 days only.
除非另有說明，此報告結果僅對測試之樣品負責，同時此樣品僅保留90天。本報告未經本公司書面許可，不可部份複製。

This document is issued by the Company subject to its General Conditions of Service printed overleaf, available on request or accessible at

8. Phantom Description

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

s p e a g

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zürich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

Certificate of Conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No.	QD 000 P40 C
Series No.	TP-1150 and higher
Manufacturer	SPEAG Zeughausstrasse 43 CH-8004 Zürich Switzerland

Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles.
Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series items (called samples) or are tested at each item.

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Dimensions	Compliant with the geometry according to the CAD model	IT15 CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness of shell	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in flat and specific areas of head section	First article, Samples, TP-1314 ff.
Material thickness at ERP	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	6mm +/- 0.2mm at ERP	First article, All items
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	300 MHz – 6 GHz: Relative permittivity < 5, Loss tangent < 0.05	Material samples
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards (if handled and cleaned according to the instructions. Observe technical Note for material compatibility.	DEGMBE based simulating liquids	Pre-series, First article, Material samples
Sagging	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards. Sagging of the flat section when filled with tissue simulating liquid	< 1% typical < 0.8% if filled with 155mm of HSL900 and without DUT below	Prototypes, Sample testing

Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE Std 1528-2003
- [3] IEC 62209 Part 1
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01
- (*) The IT15 CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of the other documents

Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standards [1] to [4].

Date 07.07.2005

Signature / Stamp

s p e a g

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zürich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700 / Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

9. System Validation from Original Equipment Supplier

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client: **SGS-TW (Auden)**

Certificate No.: **D2450V2-727_Apr16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: **D2450V2 - SN:727**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz



Calibration date: **April 19, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02292)	Apr-17
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02295)	Apr-17
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	31-Dec-15 (No. EX3-7349_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-601_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter EPM-442A	SN: GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	In house check: Oct-16
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name Michael Weber	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature 
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: April 20, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No.: D2450V2-727_Apr16

Page 1 of 8

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz \pm 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 \pm 0.2) °C	40.0 \pm 6 %	1.83 mho/m \pm 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	---	---

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.8 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	51.0 W/kg \pm 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.93 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.7 W/kg \pm 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 \pm 0.2) °C	52.7 \pm 6 %	1.98 mho/m \pm 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	-----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.5 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	49.6 W/kg \pm 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.86 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.3 W/kg \pm 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$55.3 \Omega + 2.0 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.4 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$52.1 \Omega + 4.8 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.148 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 09, 2003

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 19.04.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 727

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.83$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.76, 7.76, 7.76); Calibrated: 31.12.2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 112.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.93 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.8 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 19.04.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 727

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.79, 7.79, 7.79); Calibrated: 31.12.2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 105.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 24.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.5 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.86 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.2 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



- End of 1st part of report -