# SAR TEST REPORT



Report No.: 17071343-FCC-H Supersede Report No.:N/A

Supersede Report No.:N/A				
Applicant	BLU Products, Inc.			
Product Name	Mobile Phone			
Model No.	STUDIO VIEV	W MEGA		
Standards	ANSI/IEEE C	FCC 47 CFR Part2(2.1093) ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005 IEEE 1528-2013 & Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures		
Test Date	Dec 21 to De	ec 29, 2017		
Issue Date	Mar 13, 2018			
Test Result	PASS			
Equipment complied with the specification				
Equipment did not comply with the specification				
York Liu Wily-Zhang				
York Liu Wiky Zhang Test Engineer Checked By				
This test report may be reproduced in full only				

Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the tested sample only

Issued by:

## SIEMIC (SHENZHEN-CHINA) LABORATORIES

Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2 Wan Ye Long Technology Park South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong China 518108

Phone: +86 0755 2601 4629801 Email: China@siemic.com.cn



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	2 of 121

## **Laboratory Introduction**

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.



In addition to testing and certification, SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and compliance management throughout a project. Our extensive experience with China, Asia Pacific, North America, European, and International compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the global markets.

## **Accreditations for Conformity Assessment**

Country/Region	Scope
USA	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Canada	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Taiwan	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Hong Kong	RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Australia	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Korea	EMI, EMS, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety
Japan	EMI, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Singapore	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom
Europe	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	3 of 121

This page has been left blank intentionally.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	4 of 121

#### CONTENTS EUT INFORMATION......5 1 2 3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP......8 4 5 6 7 8 OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION .......36 9 SAR TEST RESULTS......44 10

ANNEX A CALIBRATION REPORTS ......62

ANNEX B SAR SYSTEM PHOTOGRAPHS......116

ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS .......117



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	5 of 121

# 1 **EUT INFORMATION**

EUT Information		
EUT Description	Mobile Phone	
Model No	STUDIO VIEW MEGA	
Input Power	Lithium-polymer Model: C876440350P Voltage:3.8V Spec: 3500mAh/13.3Wh Limited charge voltage:4.35V	
Maximum Conducted Output Power to Antenna	GSM 850 Voice : 32.69dBm PCS 1900 Voice : 30.18dBm WCDMA Band V (Class 3): 22.64dBm WCDMA Band II (Class 3): 23.05dBm WCDMA Band IV (Class 3): 22.76dBm WIFI: 13.68dBm	
Highest Reported SAR Level(s)	0.24W/Kg 1g Head Tissue 1.24W/Kg 1g Body Tissue	
Classification Per Stipulated Test Standard	Portable Device, Class B, No DTM Mode	
Multi-SIM	Support dual-SIM, dual standby, the multiple SIM card with two lines cannot transmitting at the same time.	
Co-located TX	WWAN can transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth WIFI cannot transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth WWAN can transmit simultaneously with WiFi	
Antenna Separation distances	13.1cm - WWAN antenna-to-WIFI/Bluetooth antenna	
Antenna Type(s)	PIFA Antenna(WWAN)	
Accessory	N/A	

## **SAR Test Result**

	The state of the s		Highest 1g SAR Summary			
Equipment Class			Head (Separation 0mm)	Body (Separation 10mm)	Hotspot (Separation 10mm)	Highest Simultaneous
			1g SAR(W/kg)			Transmission 1g SAR(W/kg)
	GSM	GSM850	0.10	0.37	0.37	
	CON	GSM1900	0.04	1.24	1.24	
Licensed	WCDMA	WCDMA II	0.04	1.23	1.23	1.31
		WCDMA IV	0.09	1.12	1.12	
		WCDMA V	0.08	0.16	0.16	
DTS	WIFI	2.4G	0.24	0.06	0.06	
	Date of Testing:			Dec 21 to Dec	29, 2017	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	6 of 121

# 2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of Mobile Phone model STUDIO VIEW MEGA with stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	BLU Products, Inc. 10814 NW 33rd St # 100 Doral, FL 33172
	BLU Products, Inc.
Manufacturer	10814 NW 33rd St # 100 Doral, FL 33172
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC(Shenzhen-China) Laboratories Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2, Wan Ye Long Technology Park, South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen 518108, Guangdong, P.R.C. Tel: +(86) 0755-26014629 VIP Line:950-4038-0435
<b>Test Software Version</b>	OpenSAR V4_02_31
Test report reference number	17071343-FCC-H
Date EUT received	Dec 20, 2017
Standard applied	See Page 49
Dates of test (from – to)	Dec 21, 2017~ Dec 29, 2017
No of Units:	1
Equipment Category:	PCE
Trade	BLU
Model Name:	STUDIO VIEW MEGA
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	GSM850 TX: 824.2 ~ 848.8 MHz; RX: 869.2 ~ 893.8 MHz PCS1900 TX: 1850.2 ~ 1909.8 MHz; RX: 1930.2 ~ 1989.8 MHz UMTS-FDD Band V TX: 826.4 ~ 846.6 MHz; RX: 871.4 ~ 891.6 MHz UMTS-FDD Band II TX:1852.4 ~ 1907.6 MHz; RX: 1932.4 ~ 1987.6 MHz UMTS-FDD Band IV TX: 1712.4 ~ 1752.6 MHz; RX: 2112.4 ~ 2152.6 MHz WIFI: 802.11b/g/n(20M): 2412-2462 MHz WIFI: 802.11n(40M): 2422-2452 MHz Bluetooth&BLE: 2402-2480 MHz GPS: 1575.42 MHz
Modulation:	GSM / GPRS: GMSK EGPRS: GMSK UMTS-FDD: QPSK 802.11b/g/n: DSSS, OFDM Bluetooth: GFSK, π/4-DQPSK, 8DPSK BLE:GFSK GPS:BPSK
GPRS/EGPRS Multi-slot class	8/10/11/12
FCC ID	YHLBLUSTVIEWMG



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	7 of 121

## 3 INTRODUCTION

#### Introduction

This measurement report shows compliance of the EUT with ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005 and FCC 47 CFR Part2 (2.1093)

The test procedures, as described in IEEE 1528-2013 Standard for IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques(300MHz~6GHz) and Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures

#### **SAR Definition**

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (p).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \mid E \mid^2}{\rho}$$

where:

 $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

 $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue (kg/m3)

E = rms electric field strength (V/m)



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	8 of 121


## **4 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP**

#### **Dosimetric Assessment System**

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than  $\pm$  0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR starndard and found to be better than  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1.

## **Measurement System Diagram**



# The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

- 1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.
- 2. KUKA Control Panel (KCP).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- 4. The functions of the PC plug-in card are to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	9 of 121

- 5. A computer operating Windows XP.
- 6. OPENSAR software.
- 7. Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- 8. The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.
- 9. The Position device for handheld EUT.
- 10. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see Application Note).
- 11. System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	10 of 121

#### **EP100 Probe**





Construction Symmetrical design with triangular Core. Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration in air from 100 MHz to 2.5 GHz. In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies from 800 to 6000 MHz (accuracy of 8%).

Frequency 100 MHz to 6 GHz;

Linearity; 0.25 dB (100 MHz to 6 GHz),

Directivity: 0.25 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic: 0.001W/kg to > 100W/kg;

Range Linearity: 0.25 dB

Surface: 0.2 mm repeatability in air and liquids

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm

Body diameter: 8 mm

Tip diameter: 2.6 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: <1.5 mm

Application General dosimetric up to 6 GHz

Compliance tests of GSM Phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique, with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates.




Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	11 of 121

It is connected to the KRC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. The 3D file of the phantom is include in OpenSAR software. The Video Positioning System allow the system to take the automatic reference and to move the probe safely and accurately on the phantom.

#### **E-Field Probe Calibration Process**

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN50361; CEI/IEC 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, SATIMO proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the technique using reference waveguide.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

P<sub>fw</sub> = Forward Power P<sub>bw</sub> = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

 $\delta$  = Skin depth

Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	12 of 121

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 0.8 GHz, and in a waveguide above 0.8 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. E-field correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue.

#### **SAM Phantom**

The SAM Phantom SAM29 is constructed of a fiberglass shell ntegrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE 1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1, IEC62209-2.

The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 0.2 mm Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions (H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm

Liquid is filled to at least 15mm from the bottom of Phantom.





Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	13 of 121

#### **Device Holder**

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



**Note:** A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations [10]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

#### **Data Evaluation**

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedure to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the valuation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters	- Sensitivity	Norm <sub>i</sub>
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point Dcpi	
Device Parameter	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media Parametrs	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can either be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

Where  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $U_i$  = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field(DASY parameter)

dcp<sub>i</sub> = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	14 of 121

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:  $E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$ 

H-field probes:  $H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$ 

Where  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$  = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

μV/(V/m)2 for E0field Probes

ConvF= Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aii = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

E<sub>i</sub> = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m
 H<sub>i</sub> = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{ss} - \sqrt{E_{z}^{2} + E_{y}^{2} + E_{z}^{2}}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

 $SAR - E_{ss}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$ 

where SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

 $P_{pea} = \frac{E_{se}^2}{3770}$  or  $P_{pea} = H_{se}^2 \cdot 37.7$ 

where P<sub>pwe</sub> = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm2

 $E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m  $H_{tot}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	15 of 121

## SAR Evaluation - Peak Spatial - Average

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

#### Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

#### Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

#### Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

#### Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

#### SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g. The OPENSAR system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	16 of 121

#### Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the fourth order least square polynomial method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

#### **Definition of Reference Points**

#### **Ear Reference Point**

Figure 6.2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 6.1 Close-up side view of ERP's



Figure 6.2 Front, back and side view of SAM

#### **Device Reference Points**

Two imaginary lines on the device need to be established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device is placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 6.3). The "test device reference point" is than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device is positioned so that the "vertical centerline" is bisecting the front surface of the device at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point [5].





Figure 6.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	17 of 121

## Test Configuration - Positioning for Cheek / Touch

1. Position the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure below), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the device is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom








Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

- 2. Translate the device towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the device touches the ear.
- 3. While maintaining the device in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- 4. Rotate the device around the vertical centerline until the device (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the device contact with the ear, rotate the device about the line NF until any point on the device is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure below.




Figure 7.2 Side view w/ relevant markings



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	18 of 121

## Test Configuration - Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1. While maintaining the orientation of the device, retracted the device parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the device by 15 degrees.
- 2. Rotate the device around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
- 3. While maintaining the orientation of the device, move the device parallel to the reference plane until any part of the device touches the head. (In this position, point A is located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the device shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained when any part of the device is in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the device is in contact with the head (see Figure below).




Figure 7.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position

#### **Test Position – Body Worn Configurations**

Body Worn Position

- (a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- (b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- (c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 1.0 cm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.





Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	19 of 121

## 5 ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMIT

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

#### **Uncontrolled Environment**

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

#### **Controlled Environment**

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 8.1 Human Exposure Limits** 

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIROMENT Professional Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>3</sup> Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	20 of 121

## **6 SYSTEM AND LIQUID VERIFICATION**

#### **Basic SAR system validation requirements**

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probes, system components or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such components. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation,

The detailed system validation results are maintained by each test laboratory, which are normally not required for equipment approval. Only a tabulated summary of the system validation status, according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters is required in the SAR report.

#### **System Setup**

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

- 1. Signal Generator
- 2. Amplifier
- 3. Directional Coupler
- 4. Power Meter
- 5. Calibrated Dipole

Note: The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 30 dBm (1000 mW) before dipole is connected.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	21 of 121

## **System Verification Results**

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to 10% of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in below

#### Target and measurement SAR after Normalized (1W):

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type (head/body)	Target SAR1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR1g (W/kg)	Normalized SAR1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
Dec 21, 2017	835	head	9.64	0.982	9.82	1.87
Dec 21, 2017	835	body	9.96	0.951	9.51	-4.52
Dec 25, 2017	1900	head	39.88	3.711	37.11	-6.95
Dec 25, 2017	1900	body	40.38	4.152	41.52	2.82
Dec 27, 2017	1800	head	37.99	3.554	35.54	-6.45
Dec 27, 2017	1800	body	39.62	3.933	39.33	-0.73
Dec 29, 2017	2450	head	53.18	5.376	53.76	1.09
Dec 29, 2017	2450	body	52.73	5.151	51.51	-2.31

Note: system check input power: 100mW



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	22 of 121

### **Liquid Verification**

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

#### KDB 865664 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The head and body tissue parameters given in this below table should be used to measure the SAR of transmitters operating in 100 MHz to 6 GHz frequency range. The tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the test frequency should be within the tolerance required in this document. The dielectric parameters should be linearly interpolated between the closest pair of target frequencies to determine the applicable dielectric parameters corresponding to the device test frequency.

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by IEEE Std 1528-2013 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in 1528 are derived from tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described above and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in 1528.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	23 of 121

#### **Liquid Confirmation Result:**

1. Measured Head liquid Properties

Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Measured	Target	Delta (%)	Limit±(%)
Dec 21, 2017 835	Relative Permittivity (εr):	41.8	41.5	0.72	5	
	033	Conductivity ( σ ):	0.86	0.90	-4.44	5
Dec 25, 2017 1900	Relative Permittivity (εr):	40.5	40.0	1.25	5	
	Conductivity ( σ ):	1.42	1.40	1.43	5	
Dec 27, 2017 1800	Relative Permittivity (εr):	39.96	40.0	-0.10	5	
	Conductivity ( σ ):	1.42	1.40	1.43	5	
Dec 29, 2017 2450	Relative Permittivity (εr):	40.42	39.2	0.26	5	
	Conductivity ( σ ):	1.77	1.80	0.51	5	

2. Measured Body liquid Properties

Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Measured	Target	Delta (%)	Limit±(%)
D. 04 0047 005	Relative Permittivity (εr):	55.18	55.20	-0.04	5	
Dec 21, 2017	835	Conductivity ( σ ):	0.95	0.97	-2.06	5
Dec 25, 2017 1900	Relative Permittivity (εr):	53.32	53.3	0.04	5	
	Conductivity ( o ):	1.47	1.52	-3.29	5	
Dec 27, 2017 1800	Relative Permittivity (εr):	53.26	53.3	-0.08	5	
	Conductivity ( o ):	1.55	1.52	1.97	5	
Dec 29, 2017 2450	Relative Permittivity (εr):	52.78	52.70	0.31	5	
	Conductivity ( o ):	1.97	1.95	-0.91	5	





Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	24 of 121


# System Verification Plots Product Description: Dipole Model: SID835

Test Date: Dec 21, 2017

Test Date. Dec 21, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.8
Conductivity (S/m)	0.86
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.74
Sensor-surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.450000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.657114
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.982453











Test Report	17071343-FCC-H	
Page	25 of 121	


Model: SID835

Test Date: Dec 21, 2017

rest Date. Dec 21, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	55.18
Conductivity (S/m)	0.95
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.81
Sensor-surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	1.120000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.634537
CAD 1a /\////a\	0.051126












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	26 of 121

Model: SID1900 Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

1001 Buto. Buo 20, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.5
Conductivity (S/m)	1.42
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.01
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-1.060000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	1.984267
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.711134












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	27 of 121

Model: SID1900 Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

1001 Buto. Boo 20, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.32
Conductivity (S/m)	1.47
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.05
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-1.390000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.152143
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.152413












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	28 of 121

Model: SID1800 Test Date: Dec 27, 2017

rest bate. Dec 21, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1800.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.96
Conductivity (S/m)	1.42
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.81
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.230000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	1.957404
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.553730












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	29 of 121

Model: SID1800 Test Date: Dec 27, 2017

rest bate. Dec 21, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1800.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.26
Conductivity (S/m)	1.55
Input power	100mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.87
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.080000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.175818
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.932880












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	30 of 121

Model: SID2450 Test Date: Dec 29, 2017

rest Date. Dec 23, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.42
Conductivity (S/m)	1.77
Input power	100mW
Crest factor	1.0
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Conversion Factor	2.04
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.520000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.516026
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.375823












Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	31 of 121

Model: SID2450 Test Date: Dec 29, 2017

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2450.00
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.78
Conductivity (S/m)	1.97
Input power	100mW
Crest factor	1.0
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Conversion Factor	2.12
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-1.150000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.408050
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.150584









Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	32 of 121

## 7 UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table below:

Uncertainty Distribution	Normal	Rectangle	Triangular	U Shape
Multi-plying Factor <sup>(a)</sup>	1/k <sup>(b)</sup>	1 / √3	1 / √6	1 / √2

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) κ is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type -sum-by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.

The COMOSAR Uncertainty Budget is show in below table:

The following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528 from 300MHz to 3GHz and KDB865664 to 6GHZ too, The values are determined by Satimo.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	33 of 121

UNCERTAINTY F	OR S	YST	EM F	PERF	ORMA	ANCE	CHEC	K
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	1 g ui (± %)	10 g ui (± %)	Vi
Measurement System		<u>'</u>						
Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	∞
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	√3	(1- cp)1/2	(1- cp)1/2	1,42887	1,42887	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	√3	√Ср	√Ср	2,40866	2,40866	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	√3	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	8
Linearity	4,7	R	√3	1	1	2,71355	2,71355	8
System Detection Limits	1	R	√3	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	8
Readout Electronics	0,5	N	1	1	1	0,5	0,5	8
Response Time	0	R	√3	1	1	0	0	8
Integration Time	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	8
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	√3	1	1	1,73205	1,73205	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	√3	1	1	1,32791	1,32791	∞
Dipole				•				
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	N	√3	1	1	1,1547	1,1547	N-1
Input Power and SAR drift measurement	5	R	√3	1	1	2,88675	2,88675	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	√3	1	1	2,3094	2,3094	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,64	0,43	1,84752	1,2413	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	М
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,6	0,49	1,73205	1,41451	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3	2,45	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				9,6671	9,1645	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				19,3342	18,3290	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	34 of 121

UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST								
	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub> (1 g)	c <sub>i</sub> (10 g)	1 g u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	10 g u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	
Uncertainty Component						(± /6)	(± /6)	Vi
Measurement System Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	∞
	i i		√3				·	
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R		$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$		1,43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	√3	√C <sub>p</sub>	√Cp	2,41	2,41	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	√3	1	1	0,58	0,58	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	√3	1	1	2,71	2,71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	√3	1	1	0,58	0,58	∞
Readout Electronics	0,5	N	1	1	1	0,50	0,50	∞
Response Time	0	R	√3	1	1	0,00	0,00	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	√3	1	1	1,73	1,73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	√3	1	1	1,33	1,33	8
Test sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	2,6	N	1	1	1	2,60	2,60	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	3	N	1	1	1	3,00	3,00	N-1
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5	R	√3	1	1	2,89	2,89	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>		•		•				
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	√3	1	1	2,31	2,31	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,64	0,43	1,85	1,24	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	М
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,6	0,49	1,73	1,41	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3,00	2,45	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				10,39	9,92	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				20,78	19,84	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	35 of 121

## 8 TEST INSTRUMENT

Name of	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	Calibration
Equipment		· .		Date	Due
PC	Compaq	PV 3.06GHz	375052-AA1	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	8665B-008	3744A10293	05/15/2017	05/15/2018
MultiMeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	1259033	06/21/2017	06/21/2018
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US39173518	08/04/2017	08/04/2018
Wireless Communication Test Set	R&S	CMU200	111078	07/22/2017	07/22/2018
Power Meter	HP	437B	3038A03648	05/17/2017	05/17/2018
E-field PROBE	MVG	SSE2	SN 27/15 EPGO262	09/20/2016	09/20/2018
DIPOLE 835	SATIMO	SID 835	SN 18/11 DIPC 150	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
DIPOLE 1800	SATIMO	SID 1800	SN 18/11 DIPF 152	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
DIPOLE 1900	SATIMO	SID 1900	SN 18/11 DIPG 153	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
DIPOLE 2450	SATIMO	SID 2450	SN 31/10 DIPJ138	06/8/2017	06/8/2018
Communication Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 20/11 ANTA 3	06/21/2017	06/20/2018
Laptop POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	LSH15	SN 24/11 LSH15	N/A	N/A
e\POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	MSH73	SN 24/11 MSH73	N/A	N/A
DUMMY PROBE	ANTENNESSA		DP41	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM87	SN 24/11 SAM87	N/A	N/A
Elliptic Phantom	SATIMO	ELLI20	SN 20/11ELLI20	N/A	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	SATIMO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR5	949272	N/A	N/A
high Power Solid State Amplifier (80MHz~1000MHz)	Instruments for Industry	CMC150	M631-0408	05/16/2017	05/16/2018
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	Instruments for Industry	S41-25	M629-0408	06/28/2017	06/28/2018
Wave Tube Amplifier 4- 8 GHz at 20Watt	Hughes Aircraft Company	1277H02F000	81	08/22/2017	08/22/2018



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	36 of 121

## 9 OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

#### **Test Condition:**

Conducted Measurement

EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.

The base station simulator was connected to the antenna terminal.

2 Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz - 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5dB$ .

3 Environmental Conditions

Temperature 23°C
Relative Humidity 53%
Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar

Test Date : Dec 21, 2017
Tested By : York Liu

#### **Test Procedures:**

#### Mobile phone radio output power measurement

1. The transmitter output port was connected to base station emulator.

- 2. Establish communication link between emulator and EUT and set EUT to operate at maximum output power all the time.
- 3. Select lowest, middle, and highest channels for each band and different possible test mode.
- 4. Measure the conducted peak burst power and conducted average burst power from EUT antenna port.

#### Other radio output power measurement

The output power was measured using power meter at low, mid, and hi channels.

#### **Source-based Time Averaged Burst Power Calculation:**

For TDMA, the following duty cycle factor was used to calculate the source-based time average power

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Duty cycle factor	-9.03 dB	-6.02 dB	-4.26 dB	-3.01 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

Remark: Time slot duty cycle factor = 10 \* log (1 / Time Slot Duty Cycle)

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (1 Uplink) – 9.03 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (2 Uplink) – 6.02 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (3 Uplink) – 4.26 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (4 Uplink) – 3.01 dB



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	37 of 121

#### Test Result:

#### GSM:

Burst Average Power (dBm);								
Band	GSM850				PCS1900			
Channel	128	190	251	Tune up Power tolerant	512	661	810	Tune up Power tolerant
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	1	1850.2	1880	1909.8	1
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	32.69	32.67	32.51	32±1	30.16	30.18	30.07	30±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	32.71	32.69	32.49	32±1	30.07	30.02	30.1	30±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink),GMSK	31.84	31.79	31.59	31±1	29.21	29.21	29.11	29±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink),GMSK	30.11	30.09	29.86	30±1	27.53	27.54	27.36	27±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink),GMSK	29.37	29.35	29.25	29±1	26.87	26.83	26.61	26±1
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	32.74	32.69	32.48	32±1	30.26	30.31	30.21	30±1
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	31.89	31.81	31.62	31±1	29.53	29.54	29.37	29±1
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	30.12	30.07	29.86	30±1	27.71	27.68	27.48	27.5±1
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	29.38	29.36	29.25	29±1	26.89	26.88	26.65	26±1

Remark:

GPRS, CS1 coding scheme.

EGPRS, MCS1 coding scheme.

Multi-Slot Class 8 , Support Max 4 downlink, 1 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 10 , Support Max 4 downlink, 2 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 11 , Support Max 4 downlink, 3 uplink , 5 working link Multi-Slot Class 12 , Support Max 4 downlink, 4 uplink , 5 working link



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	38 of 121

Source Based time Average Power (dBm)									
Band		GSM850				PCS1900			
Channel	128	190	251	Time Average factor	512	661	810	Time Average factor	
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	1	1850.2	1880	1909.8	1	
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	23.66	23.64	23.48	-9.03	21.13	21.15	21.04	-9.03	
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	23.68	23.66	23.46	-9.03	21.04	20.99	21.07	-9.03	
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink),GMSK	25.82	25.77	25.57	-6.02	23.19	23.19	23.09	-6.02	
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink),GMSK	25.85	25.83	25.60	-4.26	23.27	23.28	23.10	-4.26	
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink),GMSK	26.36	26.34	26.24	-3.01	23.86	23.82	23.60	-3.01	
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	23.71	23.66	23.45	-9.03	21.23	21.28	21.18	-9.03	
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	25.87	25.79	25.60	-6.02	23.51	23.52	23.35	-6.02	
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 11 (3 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	25.86	25.81	25.60	-4.26	23.45	23.42	23.22	-4.26	
EGPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink) MCS1 GMSK	26.37	26.35	26.24	-3.01	23.88	23.87	23.64	-3.01	

Time average factor = 1 uplink , 10\*log(1/8)=-9.03dB , 2 uplink , 10\*log(2/8)=-6.02dB , 3 uplink , 10\*log(3/8)=-4.26dB , 4 uplink , 10\*log(4/8)=-3.01dB

Source based time average power = Burst Average power + Time Average factor

**Note:** 1. due to the source based time average power; Body SAR was performed at EGPRS Multi-slot class 12.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	39 of 121

#### WCDMA BAND V

Band/ Time Slot	Channel	Frequency	Average power	Tune up
configuration	Chamile	rrequericy	(dBm)	Power tolerant
RMC	4132	826.4	22.64	23±1
12.2kbps	4175	835.0	22.52	23±1
12.28005	4233	846.6	22.4	23±1
HSDPA	4132	826.4	21.84	22±1
Subtest1	4175	835.0	21.73	22±1
Sublest i	4233	846.6	21.8	22±1
LIODDA	4132	826.4	22.13	22±1
HSDPA Subtest2	4175	835.0	21.89	22±1
Sublestz	4233	846.6	21.88	22±1
LIODDA	4132	826.4	21.86	22±1
HSDPA	4175	835.0	21.82	22±1
Subtest3	4233	846.6	21.62	22±1
opp.	4132	826.4	21.95	22±1
HSDPA	4175	835.0	21.81	22±1
Subtest4	4233	846.6	21.73	22±1
HOUDA	4132	826.4	22.02	22±1
HSUPA	4175	835.0	21.82	22±1
Subtest1	4233	846.6	21.68	22±1
LIQUIDA	4132	826.4	21.74	22±1
HSUPA	4175	835.0	21.72	22±1
Subtest2	4233	846.6	21.58	22±1
HOUDA	4132	826.4	22.02	22±1
HSUPA	4175	835.0	21.84	22±1
Subtest3	4233	846.6	21.72	22±1
HOUSA	4132	826.4	21.66	22±1
HSUPA	4175	835.0	21.58	22±1
Subtest4	4233	846.6	21.55	22±1
110115	4132	826.4	22.08	22±1
HSUPA	4175	835.0	21.75	22±1
Subtest5	4233	846.6	21.87	22±1

**Note:** 1.Due to the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC<75% of the SAR limit, SAR was performed at RMC 12.2kbps.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	40 of 121

### WCDMA Band II:

Band/ Time Slot	Channel	Frequency	Average power	Tune up
configuration		. ,	(dBm)	Power tolerant
RMC	9262	1852.4	23.05	23±1
12.2kbps	9400	1880.0	23.01	23±1
12.2000	9538	1907.6	22.99	23±1
HSDPA	9262	1852.4	22.45	22±1
Subtest1	9400	1880.0	22.37	22±1
Sublest	9538	1907.6	22.28	22±1
LICDDA	9262	1852.4	22.38	22±1
HSDPA Subtest2	9400	1880.0	22.36	22±1
Sublesiz	9538	1907.6	22.44	22±1
HODDA	9262	1852.4	22.42	22±1
HSDPA	9400	1880.0	22.36	22±1
Subtest3	9538	1907.6	22.27	22±1
	9262	1852.4	22.47	22±1
HSDPA	9400	1880.0	22.36	22±1
Subtest4	9538	1907.6	22.31	22±1
HOUDA	9262	1852.4	22.43	22±1
HSUPA	9400	1880.0	22.21	22±1
Subtest1	9538	1907.6	22.2	22±1
HOURA	9262	1852.4	22.23	22±1
HSUPA	9400	1880.0	22.28	22±1
Subtest2	9538	1907.6	22.2	22±1
	9262	1852.4	22.45	22±1
HSUPA	9400	1880.0	22.28	22±1
Subtest3	9538	1907.6	22.37	22±1
	9262	1852.4	22.12	22±1
HSUPA	9400	1880.0	22.27	22±1
Subtest4	9538	1907.6	22.14	22±1
	9262	1852.4	22.44	22±1
HSUPA	9400	1880.0	22.24	22±1
Subtest5	9538	1907.6	22.25	22±1

**Note:** 1.Due to the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC<75% of the SAR limit, SAR was performed at RMC 12.2kbps.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	41 of 121

### WCDMA Band IV:

Band/ Time Slot configuration	Channel	Frequency	Average power (dBm)	Tune up Power tolerant
Coringulation	1313	1712.6	22.76	23±1
RMC	1413	1732.6	22.68	23±1
12.2kbps	1512	1752.4	22.59	23±1
	1313	1712.6	21.99	22±1
HSDPA	1413	1732.6	21.89	22±1
Subtest1	1512	1752.4	21.8	22±1
	1313	1712.6	22.07	22±1
HSDPA	1413	1732.6	22.05	22±1
Subtest2	1512	1752.4	21.91	22±1
	1313	1712.6	22.03	22±1
HSDPA	1413	1732.6	21.94	22±1
Subtest3	1512	1752.4	21.98	22±1
	1313	1712.6	22.1	22±1
HSDPA	1413	1732.6	22.07	22±1
Subtest4	1512	1752.4	21.87	22±1
LIGUEA	1313	1712.6	22.05	22±1
HSUPA	1413	1732.6	22.03	22±1
Subtest1	1512	1752.4	21.99	22±1
HOUDA	1313	1712.6	21.87	22±1
HSUPA Subtest2	1413	1732.6	21.93	22±1
Sublesiz	1512	1752.4	21.82	22±1
LICLIDA	1313	1712.6	21.96	22±1
HSUPA Subtest3	1413	1732.6	21.88	22±1
Sublests	1512	1752.4	21.92	22±1
HSUPA	1313	1712.6	21.98	22±1
Subtest4	1413	1732.6	21.82	22±1
Jubiesi4	1512	1752.4	21.65	22±1
HSUPA	1313	1712.6	22.07	22±1
Subtest5	1413	1732.6	22.17	22±1
Gubicata	1512	1752.4	21.98	22±1

**Note:** 1.Due to the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC<75% of the SAR limit, SAR was performed at RMC 12.2kbps.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	42 of 121

#### WIFI Mode (2.4G)

Mode	Channel number	Frequency (MHz)	Data rate(Mbps)	Average Output Power(dBm)	Average Tune up limited(dBm)
	1	2412	1	13.68	12.8±1
802.11b	6	2437	1	12.72	12.8±1
	11	2462	1	11.96	12.8±1
	1	2412	6	12.57	12.6±1
802.11g	6	2437	6	13.52	12.6±1
	11	2462	6	12.92	12.6±1
	1	2412	MCS0	12.45	12.6±1
802.11n(HT20)	6	2437	MCS0	13.09	12.6±1
	11	2462	MCS0	12.24	12.6±1
	3	2422	MCS0	13.05	12.6±1
802.11n(HT40)	6	2437	MCS0	12.29	12.6±1
	9	2452	MCS0	12.49	12.6±1

#### **Bluetooth Measurement Result**

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)	Tune up limited(dBm)
	2402	4.08	4±1
GFSK	2441	3.73	4±1
	2480	3.58	4±1
	2402	3.70	4±1
π /4DQPSK	2441	3.70	4±1
	2480	3.12	4±1
	2402	3.73	4±1
8DPSK	2441	3.38	4±1
	2480	3.22	4±1

#### **BLE Measurement Result**

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)	Tune up limited(dBm)
	2402	-4.16	-4±1
GFSK	2440	-3.12	-4±1
	2480	-3.70	-4±1

**Note: 1.** Both WIFI and BT power was test and only Maximum Power was provide here.


**2.** SAR Test Exclusion Threshold for WIFI&BT is about 9.6mW, the maximum tune up power of WIFI is 13.8dBm=23.99mW, BT is 5dBm=3.16mW, so WIFI stand-alone SAR is required.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	43 of 121

#### **Antenna Separation Information:**

#### **EUT** antenna location:



#### Test position consideration:

Test position	CONSIDERATION.					
			JT antenna-to-edge Test distance:10mr			
Antennas	Back side	Front side	Left Edge	Right Edge	Top Edge	Bottom Edge
WWAN	2	2	2	15	146	2
WLAN	2	2	51	2	2	145
Bluetooth	2	2	51	2	2	145

	Test distance:10mm						
Antennas	Back side	Front side	Left Edge	Right Edge	Top Edge	Bottom Edge	
WWAN	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	
WLAN	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	
Bluetooth	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	

#### Note:

- 1. Head/Body-worn/Hotspot mode SAR assessments are required.
- 2. Referring to KDB 941225 D06v02, when the overall device length and width are ≥ 9cm \* 5cm, the test distance is 10mm. SAR must be measured for all sides and surfaces with a transmitting antenna located within 25mm from that surface or edge.
- 3. Per KDB 447498 D01v05r02, for handsets the test separation distance is determined by the smallest distance between the outer surface of the device and the user, which is 0 mm for head SAR, 10 mm for hotspot SAR, and 10 mm for body-worn SAR. 4. BT SAR is not required due to the low power.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	44 of 121

## **10 SAR TEST RESULTS**

#### **Test Condition:**

1.	SAR Measurement		
	The distance between the EUT a	ind the antenna of the emulator is more	than 50 cm and the output power radiated from
	the emulator antenna is at least 3	$80~\mathrm{dB}$ less than the output power of EU $^{-}$	Г.
2	Measurement Uncertainty: See	page 32 for detail	
3	Environmental Conditions	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	53%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4	Test Date : Dec 21, 2017~ Dec 2	9, 2017	
	Tested By : York Liu		

#### **Generally Test Procedures:**

- 1. Establish communication link between EUT and base station emulation by air link.
- 2. Place the EUT in the selected test position. (Cheek, tilt or flat)
- 3. Perform SAR testing at middle or highest output power channel under the selected test mode. If the measured 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, then testing for the other channel will not be performed.
- 4. When SAR is<0.8W/kg, no repeated SAR measurement is required

#### For WCDMA test:

- KDB941225 D01-Body SAR is not required for HSDPA when the average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than 0.25dB higher than measured without HSDPA using 12.2kbps RMC or the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC<75% of the SAR limit.
- 2. KDB941225 D01-Body SAR is not required for handset with HSPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSUPA/HSDPA active is less than 0.25dB higher than that measure without HSUPA/HSDPA using 12.2kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC is<75% of the SAR limit

#### **SAR Summary Test Result:**

#### GSM850

Date of Measur	ed : Dec 21, 20	17		Body-w	orn/Hotsp	oot Separation	Distance: 10m	ım
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.091	1.6	0.00	33	32.67	0.10
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.051	1.6	0.42	33	32.67	0.06
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.049	1.6	0.00	33	32.67	0.05
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.039	1.6	-1.52	33	32.67	0.04
Body Front-side	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.321	1.6	-2.30	30	29.36	0.37
Body Back-side	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.302	1.6	4.52	30	29.36	0.35
Body Left-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.033	1.6	0.00	30	29.36	0.04
Body Right-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.167	1.6	-1.86	30	29.36	0.19
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.274	1.6	-2.01	30	29.36	0.32



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	45 of 121

### WCDMA BAND V (850)

Date of Measure	d : Dec 21, 20	Body-worn/Hotspot Separation Distance: 10mm						
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.058	1.6	0.00	24	22.52	0.08
Right Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.044	1.6	-1.43	24	22.52	0.06
Left Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.043	1.6	0.00	24	22.52	0.06
Left Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.026	1.6	-1.94	24	22.52	0.04
Body Front side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.116	1.6	-0.25	24	22.52	0.16
Body Back-side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.115	1.6	-2.87	24	22.52	0.16
Body Left-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.012	1.6	0.00	24	22.52	0.02
Body Right-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.069	1.6	-1.43	24	22.52	0.10
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.081	1.6	0.00	24	22.52	0.11

Date of Measure	Date of Measured : Dec 25, 2017					Body-worn/Hotspot Separation Distance:10mm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)			
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.005	1.6	0.00	31	30.18	0.01			
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.005	1.6	0.12	31	30.18	0.01			
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.032	1.6	0.00	31	30.18	0.04			
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.016	1.6	-0.22	31	30.18	0.02			
Body Front side	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.549	1.6	-0.70	27	26.88	0.56			
Body Back side	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.584	1.6	0.23	27	26.88	0.60			
Body Left-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.159	1.6	-1.06	27	26.88	0.16			
Body Right-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	0.047	1.6	-1.29	27	26.88	0.05			
Body Bottom-edge	Low	EGPRS Class12	1.081	1.6	-3.69	27	26.88	1.11			
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	1.205	1.6	-2.05	27	26.88	1.24			
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	1.170	1.6	0.21	27	26.88	1.20			
Body Bottom-edge	High	EGPRS Class12	1.086	1.6	-0.69	27	26.88	1.12			



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	46 of 121

#### WCDMA BAND II (1900):

Date of Measure	d : Dec 25, 20	17		Body-worn/Hotspot Separation Distance: 10mm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)	
Right Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.007	1.6	0.00	24	23.01	0.01	
Right Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.006	1.6	1.14	24	23.01	0.01	
Left Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.033	1.6	0.00	24	23.01	0.04	
Left Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.012	1.6	0.15	24	23.01	0.02	
Body Front-side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.338	1.6	0.89	24	23.01	0.42	
Body Back-side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.428	1.6	-0.25	24	23.01	0.54	
Body Left-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.084	1.6	1.25	24	23.01	0.11	
Body Right-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.014	1.6	0.00	24	23.01	0.02	
Body Bottom-edge	Low	RMC 12.2kbps	0.874	1.6	0.15	24	23.05	1.09	
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.981	1.6	-2.01	24	23.01	1.23	
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.943	1.6	-1.55	24	23.01	1.18	
Body Bottom-edge	High	RMC 12.2kbps	0.861	1.6	2.66	24	22.99	1.09	

#### WCDMA BAND IV(1700):

Date of Measure	d : Dec 27, 20	17		Body-worn/Hotspot Separation Distance: 10mm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg	
Right Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.026	1.6	0.00	24	22.68	0.04	
Right Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.012	1.6	0.22	24	22.68	0.02	
Left Head Cheek	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.065	1.6	0.00	24	22.68	0.09	
Left Head Tilt	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.030	1.6	-0.11	24	22.68	0.04	
Body Front-side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.474	1.6	0.81	24	22.68	0.64	
Body Back-side	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.550	1.6	-0.94	24	22.68	0.75	
Body Left-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.168	1.6	-2.44	24	22.68	0.23	
Body Right-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.044	1.6	-0.09	24	22.68	0.06	
Body Bottom-edge	Low	RMC 12.2kbps	0.769	1.6	0.17	24	22.76	1.02	
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.827	1.6	-2.24	24	22.68	1.12	
Body Bottom-edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.811	1.6	-1.17	24	22.68	1.10	
Body Bottom-edge	High	RMC 12.2kbps	0.763	1.6	-2.40	24	22.59	1.06	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	47 of 121

#### 2.4 G (802.11b)

Date of Measure	Date of Measured : Dec 29, 2017				-worn/Ho	tspot Separati	on Distance: 1	0mm
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	802.11b	0.005	1.6	0.00	13.8	12.72	0.01
Right Head Tilt	Mid	802.11b	0.004	1.6	0.21	13.8	12.72	0.01
Left Head Cheek	Mid	802.11b	0.191	1.6	0.00	13.8	12.72	0.24
Left Head Tilt	Mid	802.11b	0.071	1.6	-0.41	13.8	12.72	0.09
Body Front-edge	Mid	802.11b	0.032	1.6	0.00	13.8	12.72	0.04
Body Back-side	Mid	802.11b	0.048	1.6	0.00	13.8	12.72	0.06
Body Right-edge	Mid	802.11b	0.022	1.6	0.23	13.8	12.72	0.03
Body Top-edge	Mid	802.11b	0.023	1.6	0.35	13.8	12.72	0.03

#### Measurement variability consideration

According to KDB 865664 D01v01 section 2.8.1, repeated measurements are required following the procedures as below:

- 1. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2. When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq$  0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20. Measured SAR (W/Kg)

#### Repeated SAR:

					measure	ed SAR(	W/kg)	
Band	Position	Channel	Mode	Original	1st Repe	2nd peated Repeate		
					Value	Ratio	Value	Ratio
PCS1900	Body Bottom- edge	Mid	EGPRS Class12	1.205	1.170	1.03	N/A	N/A
WCDMA Band II	Body Bottom- edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.981	0.943	1.04	N/A	N/A
WCDMA Band IV	Body Bottom- edge	Mid	RMC 12.2kbps	0.827	0.811	1.02	N/A	N/A



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	48 of 121

# Simultaneous Transmission SAR Analysis.

No.	Applicable Simultaneous Transmission Combination
1.	WWAN+WIFI
2.	WWAN+BT

#### Note:

- 1. For simultaneous transmission analysis, Bluetooth SAR is estimated per KDB 447498 D01 v06 base on the formula below:
- (max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[√f<sub>(GHz)</sub>/x] W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

- 0.4 W/kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0 W/kg for 10-g SAR, when the test separation distances is > 50 mm.  $^{21}$
- 2. If the test separation distances is≤5mm, 5mm is used for estimated SAR calculation.
- 3. WIFI maximum tune up power is 13.8dBm, BT's maximum tune up power is 5dBm and the estimated SAR is listed below.

Test position	Head(0 cm)	Body-worn(1.0cm)
WIFI Scaled SAR(W/kg)	0.24	0.06
BT Estimated SAR(W/kg)	0.13	0.07

#### **Maximum Summation:**

	WWAN	WIFI	ВТ	WWAN+WIFI	WWAN+BT
position	Max. Scaled SAR	Max. Scaled SAR	Max. Scaled SAR	VVVVAINTVVIFI	WWWAINTDI
Head 0cm	0.10	0.24	0.13	0.34	0.23
Body 1.0cm	1.24	0.06	0.07	1.30	1.31

Note: 1g-SAR scalar summation<1.6W/kg, so no simultaneous SAR is required.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	49 of 121

## 11 SAR MEASUREMENT REFERENCES

#### References

- 1. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- 2. IEEE Std. C95.1-2005, "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300GHz", 2005
- 3. IEEE Std. 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices:Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- 4. IEC 62209-2, "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices—Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate(SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body(frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- 5. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, "RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies For Mobile and Portable Device", October 23, 2015
- 6. FCC KDB 941225 D01 v03r01, "3G SAR Measurement Procedures", October 23, 2015
- 7. FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, "SAR Measurement Requirements For 100MHz to 6GHz", August 7, 2015
- 8. FCC KDB648474 D04 v01r03, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets". October 23, 2015
- 9. FCC KDB 941225 D06 v02r01, Hot Spot SAR ,October 23, 2015
- 10. FCC KDB 248227 D01, 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02. October 23, 2015



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	50 of 121

#### **Maximum SAR measurement Plots**


Test mode: GSM850, Middle channel (Right Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 21, 2017


Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	836.6000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.8
Conductivity (S/m)	0.86
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	8.0
Conversion Factor	1.74
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.00000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.052152
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.091262

#### SURFACE SAR

# VOLUME SAR SAR Visualisation Graphical Interface









Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	51 of 121


Test mode: GPRS850, Mid channel (Body Front Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 21, 2017

Test Date. Dec 21, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	836.6000
Relative permittivity (real part)	55.18
Conductivity (S/m)	0.95
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	2.0
Conversion Factor	1.81
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-2.300000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.179160
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.321453

# SURFACE SAR

# 







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	52 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band V, Middle channel (Right Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 21, 2017

Test Bate: Bee E1, E017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.8
Conductivity (S/m)	0.86
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.74
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.036339
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.057798

# SURFACE SAR

# Saf Visualization Engine Interface Surface Rodated Internsity Zoom In/Out 150 120 150 120 120 1008387







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	53 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band V, Middle channel (Body Front Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 21, 2017

rest bate. Dec 21, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	55.18
Conductivity (S/m)	0.95
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.81
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.250000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.056447
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.115883

# SURFACE SAR

# | SAVE | Cancel | Cancel | Save | Cancel | Cancel | Save | Cancel | Ca







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	54 of 121


Test mode: PCS1900, Middle channel (Left Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

rest bate. Dec 25, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.5
Conductivity (S/m)	1.42
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	8.0
Conversion Factor	2.01
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.010511
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.032216

# SURFACE SAR

# Saf Visualisation Engine Interface Surface Radiated Internsty Colors Scale (N/A<sub>0</sub>) 0.004939 0.002593







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	55 of 121


Test mode: GPRS1900, Middle channel (Body Bottom Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

105t Date: D00 20, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.32
Conductivity (S/m)	1.47
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	2.0
Conversion Factor	2.05
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-2.050000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.661947
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.205269

# SURFACE SAR

# SAVE Centrol Zour Incomp Cut >> 0 Surface Radiated Internsby Zoom InrOut 1347907 1 1.599116 1 1030254 1 1030254 1 10302551 1 103








Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	56 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band II, Middle channel (Left Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

1001 Date: Dec 20, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.5
Conductivity (S/m)	1.42
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.01
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.012832
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.033014

### SURFACE SAR










Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	57 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band II, Middle channel (Body Bottom Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 25, 2017

rest Date: Dec 25, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.32
Conductivity (S/m)	1.47
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.05
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-2.010000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.510529
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.981372

# SURFACE SAR









Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	58 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band IV, Middle channel (Left Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 27, 2017

Test Date. Dec 21, 2011	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1740.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39. 96
Conductivity (S/m)	1.42
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.81
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.00000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.029448
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.064689

# SURFACE SAR

# 








Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	59 of 121


Test mode: WCDMA Band IV, Mid channel (Body Bottom Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 27. 2017

Test Date. Dec 27, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1800
Frequency (MHz)	1740.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.26
Conductivity (S/m)	1.55
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	1.87
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-2.240000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.429891
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.826657

# SURFACE SAR










Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	60 of 121

Test mode: 802.11b, Middle channel (Left Head Cheek)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 29, 2017


Test Date. Dec 23, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2437.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.42
Conductivity (S/m)	1.77
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.04
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.063126
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.191494

# SURFACE SAR



# VOLUME SAR SAR Visualisation Engine al Interface Volume Radiated Internaty 150-e







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	61 of 121


Test mode: 802.11b, Middle channel (Body Back Side)


Product Description: Mobile Phone Model: STUDIO VIEW MEGA Test Date: Dec 29, 2017

rest bate. Dec 23, 2017	
Medium(liquid type)	MSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2437.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.78
Conductivity (S/m)	1.97
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	2.12
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.017980
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.048378

# SURFACE SAR

# 







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	62 of 121

#### **Annex A CALIBRATION REPORTS**



#### **COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

# SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108, GUANGDONG, P.R.C.

#### MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 27/15 EPGO262

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144





Calibration Date: 09/20/2016

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	63 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	9/20/2016	JES
Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	9/20/2016	JES
Approved by:	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	9/20/2016	him Putthowski

	Customer Name
Distribution:	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	9/20/2016	Initial release



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	64 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Devi	ce Under Test4	
2	Prod	uct Description4	
	2.1	General Information	4
3	Mea	surement Method4	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty5	
5	Calil	bration Measurement Results6	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	7
	5.4	Isotropy	8
6	List	of Equipment10	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	65 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test				
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE			
Manufacturer	MVG			
Model	SSE2			
Serial Number	SN 27/15 EPGO262			
Product Condition (new / used)	Used			
Frequency Range of Probe	0.7 GHz-6GHz			
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.221 MΩ			
	Dipole 2: R2=0.199 MΩ			
	Dipole 3: R3=0.199 MΩ			

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

#### 2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

#### 3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

#### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	66 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

#### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

#### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$  in  $15^{\circ}$  increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$ .

#### 3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

#### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	67 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Combined standard uncertainty			E		5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%

#### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45 %	


#### 5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole		
$1 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$3 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.80	0.71	0.72

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
92	90	91

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{{E_1}^2 + {E_2}^2 + {E_3}^2}$$



Page: 6/10




Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	68 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### 5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity:II+/-1.69% (+/-0.07dB)

#### 5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

<u>Liquid</u>	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL750	750	40.03	0.93	1.57
BL750	750	56.83	1.00	1.62
HL850	835	42.19	0.90	1.74
BL850	835	54.67	1.01	1.81
HL900	900	42.08	1.01	1.67
BL900	900	55.25	1.08	1.73
HL1800	1800	41.68	1.46	1.81
BL1800	1800	53.86	1.46	1.87
HL1900	1900	38.45	1.45	2.01
BL1900	1900	53.32	1.56	2.05
HL2000	2000	38.26	1.38	1.86
BL2000	2000	52.70	1.51	1.91
HL2450	2450	37.50	1.80	2.04
BL2450	2450	53.22	1.89	2.12
HL2600	2600	39.80	1.99	2.05
BL2600	2600	52.52	2.23	2.12
HL3500	3500	38.21	2.98	2.02
BL3500	3500	52.95	3.43	2.08
HL5200	5200	35.64	4.67	1.51
BL5200	5200	48.64	5.51	1.55
HL5400	5400	36.44	4.87	1.56
BL5400	5400	46.52	5.77	1.61
HL5600	5600	36.66	5.17	1.55
BL5600	5600	46.79	5.77	1.60
HL5800	5800	35.31	5.31	1.44
BL5800	5800	47.04	6.10	1.48

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	69 of 121




Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### 5.4 <u>ISOTROPY</u>


#### HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB - Hemispherical isotropy: 0.05 dB



#### **HL1800 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB - Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB






Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	70 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### **HL5600 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB - Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB







Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	71 of 121



Ref: ACR.264.3.16.SATU.A

#### 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2015	10/2016
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	10/2015	10/2017



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	72 of 121



#### **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

# SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108, GUANGDONG, P.R.C.

#### MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 835 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 18/11 DIPC150

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144





Calibration Date: 06/8/2017

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	73 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/14/2017	23
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/14/2017	JS
Approved by:	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/14/2017	Jum Puthowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	6/14/2017	Initial release



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	74 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction4	
2	Devi	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	
4	Mea	surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	
	5.3	Validation Measurement	
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	(
	6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	(
	6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	(
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	
	7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
	7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
	7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	1(
8	List	of Equipment	



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	75 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 835 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID835
Serial Number	SN 18/11 DIPC150
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

#### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	76 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

#### 4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.1 <u>RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS</u>

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

#### 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

#### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

#### 5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

#### 5.3 <u>VALIDATION MEASUREMENT</u>

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

Page: 5/11



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	77 of 121




Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

10 g	20.1 %
_	


#### 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

#### 6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz) Return Loss (dB) Requirement (dB) Impedance 835 -23.57 -20  $57.0 \Omega + 1.2 j\Omega$ 

#### 6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
835	-27.71	-20	$52.9 \Omega + 3.1 j\Omega$

#### 6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/11



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H	
Page	78 of 121	



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.	PASS	89.8 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

#### 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

#### 7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity $(\epsilon_r')$		Conductivi	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %	PASS	0.90 ±5 %	PASS
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11



Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	79 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

1800	40.0 ±5 %	1.40 ±5 %
1900	40.0 ±5 %	1.40 ±5 %
1950	40.0 ±5 %	1.40 ±5 %
2000	40.0 ±5 %	1.40 ±5 %
2100	39.8 ±5 %	1.49 ±5 %
2300	39.5 ±5 %	1.67 ±5 %
2450	39.2 ±5 %	1.80 ±5 %
2600	39.0 ±5 %	1.96 ±5 %
3000	38.5 ±5 %	2.40 ±5 %
3500	37.9 ±5 %	2.91 ±5 %

#### 7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 40.0 sigma: 0.90
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	835 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56	9.64 (0.96)	6.22	6.20 (0.62)
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	

Page: 8/11





Test Report	17071343-FCC-H
Page	80 of 121



Ref: ACR.165.2.17.SATU.A

1900	39.7	20.5
1950	40.5	20.9
2000	41.1	21.1
2100	43.6	21.9
2300	48.7	23.3
2450	52.4	24
2600	55.3	24.6
3000	63.8	25.7
3500	67.1	25
3700	67.4	24.2





#### 7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity $(\epsilon_r')$		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %	PASS	0.97 ±5 %	PASS
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	

Page: 9/11