7.3 MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE

LIMITS & RSS-102

§1.1310 The criteria listed in Table 1 shall be used to evaluate the environmental impact of human exposure to radio-frequency (RF) radiation as specified in §1.1307(b), except in the case of portable devices which shall be evaluated according to the provisions of §2.1093 of this chapter.

TABLE 1—LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm^2)	Averaging time (minutes)
(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposures				
0.3–3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	6
3.0–30	1842/f	4.89/f	*($900/f^2$)	6
30–300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300–1500			f/300	6
1500–100,000			5	6
(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure				
0.3–1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34–30	824/f	2.19/f	*($180/f^2$)	30

TABLE 1—LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)—Continued

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm^2)	Averaging time (minutes)
30–300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300–1500			f/1500	30
1500–100,000			1.0	30

f = frequency in MHz
* = Plane-wave equivalent power density
NOTE 1 TO TABLE 1: Occupational/controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure.
NOTE 2 TO TABLE 1: General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or can not exercise control over their exposure.

CALCULATIONS

Given

$E = \sqrt{(30 * P * G)} / d$

and

$S = E \wedge 2 / 3770$

where

E = Field Strength in Volts/meter
P = Power in Watts
G = Numeric antenna gain
d = Distance in meters
S = Power Density in milliwatts/square centimeter

Combining equations and rearranging the terms to express the distance as a function of the remaining variables yields:

$d = \sqrt{((30 * P * G) / (3770 * S))}$

Changing to units of Power to mW and Distance to cm, using:

P(mW) = P (W) / 1000 and
d (cm) =100 * d (m)

yields

$d = 100 * \sqrt{((30 * (P / 1000) * G) / (3770 * S))}$
$d = 0.282 * \sqrt{(P * G / S)}$

where

d = distance in cm
P = Power in mW
G = Numeric antenna gain
S = Power Density in mW/cm^2

Substituting the logarithmic form of power and gain using: P (mW) = 10 ^ (P (dBm) / 10) and
G (numeric) = 10 ^ (G (dBi) / 10)

yields

$d = 0.282 * 10 \wedge ((P + G) / 20) / \sqrt{S}$ Equation (1)
$S = 0.0795 * 10 \wedge ((P + G)/10)/ d\wedge 2$ Equation (2)

where

d = MPE distance in cm
P = Power in dBm
G = Antenna Gain in dBi
S = Power Density Limit in mW/cm^2

Equation (1) and the measured peak power is used to calculate the MPE distance.
Equation (2) and the measured peak power is used to calculate the Power density.

LIMITS

From §1.1310 Table 1 (B),
for Public S = 1.0 mW/cm^2
for Professional, S = 5.0 mW/cm^2

RESULTS

No non-compliance noted:

For this EUT, P+G=5.83-4=1.83dBm, and d=20cm

Plug all three items into equation (2), yielding,

Power Density Limit (mV/cm^2)	Output Power (dBm)	Antenna] Gain (dBi)	Power Density (mW/ cm$^{2)}$	Meet min. PD Limit
1.0/5.0	5.83	-4	0.003	Yes

FCC 47 CFR 2.1093 SAR Exclusion Calculation

As per FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance DR03-41372
(All power readings below reflect 1dB addition to account for radio tolerance)

Max. EIRP=1.83+1=2.83dBm, i.e. 0.002W=2mW. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06, Appendix A, SAR Test Exclusion Threshold @2450MHz band for d=5mm is 10mW. We can conclude that this handheld device is exempt from SAR test.

NOTE: For mobile or fixed location transmitters, the minimum separation distance is 20 cm, even if calculations indicate that the MPE distance would be less.