



**ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992**

in accordance with the requirements of

FCC Report and Order: ET Docket 93-62, and OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C

**TEST REPORT**

**For**

**AirVast Technology Inc.**

**802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Card**

**Model: WN-113SH18**

**Trade Name: AirVast**

*Prepared for*

**AirVast Technology Inc.  
4F, No.1, Ln.21, Hsin Hua Rd., Kueishan Industria Park,  
Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, R.O.C.**

*Prepared by*

**Compliance Certification Services Inc.  
No. 81-1, Lane 210, Bade Rd. 2, Luchu Hsiang,  
Taoyuan Hsien, (338) Taiwan, R.O.C.  
TEL: 886-3-324-0332  
FAX: 886-3-324-5235**



---

***Note:** This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document.*



## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

Dates of Tests: March 01, 2004

<b>Applicant:</b>	AirVast Technology Inc. 4F, No.1, Ln.21, Hsin Hua Rd., Kueishan Industria Park, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, R.O.C.
<b>Model Number:</b>	WN-113SH18
<b>FCC ID:</b>	QDWWN113SH18
<b>Device Category:</b>	PORTABLE DEVICES
<b>Exposure Category:</b>	GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

Test Sample is a: Production unit  
Modulation type: 802.11b  
Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum  
Tx Frequency: 2412 ~ 2462 MHz  
Max. O/P Power: 19.00dBm  
(Conducted/Peak)  
Max. SAR (1g): 0.427W/kg  
Application Type: Certification  
FCC Rule Part(s): 15C



Note: This Report is only applicable for 802.11b.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (released on 6/29/2001 see Test Report).

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Approved by:

Reviewed by:

Jonson Lee / Director  
Compliance Certification Services Inc.

James Lee / Senior engineer  
Compliance Certification Services Inc.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1.</b>	<b>EUT DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC ....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM .....	6
3.2	SYSTEM COMPONENTS.....	7
	DASY4 Measurement Server.....	7
	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE).....	7
	ET3DV6 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements .....	7
	SAM Phantom (V4.0) .....	8
	Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom .....	8
	System Validation Kits .....	8
<b>4.</b>	<b>EVALUATION PROCEDURES.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>EXPOSURE LIMIT .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS .....</b>	<b>14</b>
7.1	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK.....	14
7.2	TEST LIQUID CONFIRMATION.....	15
7.3	EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES.....	16
7.4	SAR MEASUREMENTS RESULTS.....	17
<b>8.</b>	<b>EUT PHOTOS .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>EQUIPMENT LIST &amp; CALIBRATION STATUS.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>ATTACHMENTS.....</b>	<b>22</b>

## 1. EUT DESCRIPTION

<b>Applicant:</b>	AirVast Technology Inc. 4F, No.1, Ln.21, Hsin Hua Rd., Kueishan Industria Park, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, R.O.C.
<b>Model Number:</b>	WN-113SH18
<b>FCC ID:</b>	QDWWN113SH18
<b>Device Category:</b>	PORTABLE DEVICES
<b>Exposure Category:</b>	GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

**Test Sample is a:** Production unit

**Modulation type:** 802.11b  
Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum

**Tx Frequency:** 2412 ~ 2462 MHz

**Max. O/P Power:** 19.00dBm  
(Conducted/Peak)

**Max. SAR (1g):** 0.427W/kg

**Application Type:** Certification

**FCC Rule Part(s):** 15C



**Antennas:** Printed Ant.

**Test Host:** Compaq P/N: PP2150 S/N:1V31LDL23407




## **2. REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC**

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996 [1]. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6]. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

## **3. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m) which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than  $\pm 0.02$  mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6-SN: 1762 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and EN50361.

### 3.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM



**The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:**

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.

## 3.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS

### DASY4 Measurement Server



The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.

The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

### Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200M $\Omega$ ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



### ET3DV6 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements

- Construction:** Symmetrical design with triangular core  
Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system (ET3DV6 only)  
Built-in shielding against static charges  
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycolether)
- Calibration:** Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-2500 MHz.  
Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800  
CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request.
- Frequency:** 10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity:  $\pm 0.2$  dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
- Directivity:**  $\pm 0.2$  dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)  
 $\pm 0.4$  dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
- Dynamic Range:** 2  $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity:  $\pm 0.2$  dB
- Optical Surface**
- Detection:**  $\pm 0.2$  mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces (ET3DV6 only)
- Dimensions:** Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm)  
Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm)  
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
- Application:** General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz  
Compliance tests of mobile phones  
Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)



Interior of probe



E-Field probe




## SAM Phantom (V4.0)

**Construction:** The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

**Shell Thickness:**  $2 \pm 0.2$  mm

**Filling Volume:** Approx. 25 liters

**Dimensions:** Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm



## Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

**Construction:** In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0 or Twin SAM, the Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



## System Validation Kits

**Construction:** Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

**Frequency:** 450, 900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHz

**Return loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position

**Power capability:** > 100 W ( $f < 1$ GHz); > 40 W ( $f > 1$ GHz)

**Dimensions:**  
450V2: dipole length: 270 mm; overall height: 330 mm  
D900V2: dipole length: 149 mm; overall height: 330 mm  
D1800V2: dipole length: 72 mm; overall height: 300 mm  
D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm  
D5GHzV2: dipole length: 25.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm





## 4. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

### DATA EVALUATION

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}$
	- Conversion factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	$dcp_i$
Device parameters:	- Frequency	$f$
	- Crest factor	$cf$
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	$\sigma$
	- Density	$\rho$

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with	$V_i$	= Compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$U_i$	= Input signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$cf$	= Crest factor of exciting field	(DASY parameter)
	$dcp_i$	= Diode compression point	(DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E-field probes: } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\text{H-field probes: } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with	$V_i$	= Compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$Norm_i$	= Sensor sensitivity of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
		$\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E0field Probes	
	$ConvF$	= Sensitivity enhancement in solution	
	$a_{ij}$	= Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes	
	$f$	= Carrier frequency (GHz)	
	$E_i$	= Electric field strength of channel i in V/m	
	$H_i$	= Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m	

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

- with  $SAR$  = local specific absorption rate in mW/g  
 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m  
 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]  
 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

- with  $P_{pwe}$  = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>  
 $E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m  
 $H_{tot}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m



## **SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES**

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- **Power Reference Measurement**

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

- **Area Scan**

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to **15 mm by 15 mm** and can be edited by a user.

- **Zoom Scan**

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures **5x5x7** points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more than one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

- **Power Drift measurement**

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY4 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

- **Z-Scan**

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

## 5. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

UNCERTAINTY BUDGE ACCORDING TO IEEE P1528						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability distribution	Divisor	$C_1$ 1g	Standard unc.(1g) $\pm\%$	$V_1$ or $V_{eff}$
<b>Measurement System</b>						
Probe calibration	$\pm 4.8$	normal	1	1	$\pm 4.8$	$\infty$
Axial isotropy of probe	$\pm 4.6$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	$\pm 1.9$	$\infty$
Sph. Isotropy of probe	$\pm 9.7$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(C_p)^{1/2}$	$\pm 3.9$	$\infty$
Probe linearity	$\pm 4.5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.7$	$\infty$
Detection Limit	$\pm 0.9$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.6$	$\infty$
Boundary effects	$\pm 8.5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 4.8$	$\infty$
Readoutelectronics	$\pm 1.0$	normal	1	1	$\pm 1.0$	$\infty$
Response time	$\pm 0.9$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.5$	$\infty$
Integration time	$\pm 1.2$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.8$	$\infty$
Mech Constrains of robot	$\pm 0.5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.2$	$\infty$
Probe positioning	$\pm 2.7$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 1.7$	$\infty$
Extrap. And integration	$\pm 4.0$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.3$	$\infty$
RF ambient conditiona	$\pm 0.54$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.43$	$\infty$
<b>Test Sample Related</b>						
Device positioning	$\pm 2.2$	normal	1	1	$\pm 2.23$	11
Device holder uncertainty	$\pm 5$	normal	1	1	$\pm 5.0$	7
Power drift	$\pm 5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.9$	$\infty$
<b>Phantom and Set up</b>						
Phantom uncertainty	$\pm 4$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.3$	$\infty$
Liquid conductivity	$\pm 5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7$	$\infty$
Liquid conductivity	$\pm 5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 3.5/1.7$	$\infty$
Liquid permittivity	$\pm 5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7$	$\infty$
Liquid permittivity	$\pm 5$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7$	$\infty$
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b>					$\pm 12.14/11.76$	
<b>Coverage Factor for 95%</b>		$k_p=2$				
<b>Expanded Standard Uncertainty</b>					$\pm 24.29/23.51$	

Table: Worst-case uncertainty for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528.

The budge is valid for the frequency range 300 MHz to 3G Hz and represents a worst-case analysis.



## 6. EXPOSURE LIMIT

(A).Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

<u>Whole-Body</u>	<u>Partial-Body</u>	<u>Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles</u>
0.4	8.0	2.0

(B).Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

<u>Whole-Body</u>	<u>Partial-Body</u>	<u>Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles</u>
0.08	1.6	4.0

NOTE: **Whole-Body SAR** is averaged over the entire body, **partial-body SAR** is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. **SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles** is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

### **Population/Uncontrolled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

### **Occupational/Controlled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

**NOTE**  
**GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE**  
**PARTIAL BODY LIMIT**  
**1.6 W/kg**

## 7. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

### 7.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

#### SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Head simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an E-filed probe ET3DV6 SN: 1762 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration (dx=dy= 7.5 mm, dz= 3 mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 3.0 mm.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW $\pm$ 3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

#### Reference SAR values

The reference SAR values were using measurement results indicated in the dipole calibration document (see table below)

Frequency (MHz)	1g SAR	10g SAR	Local SAR at Surface (Above Feed Point)	Local SAR at Surface (y = 2cm offset from feed point)
900	10.3	6.57	16.4	5.4
1800	38.2	20.3	69.5	6.8
2450	54.8	24.2	104.2	7.7

### SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

**Dipole:** D2450V2 SN: 728

**Date:** March 01, 2004

**Ambient condition:** Temperature 25.2°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Head Simulating Liquid			Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]					
2450.00	24.00	15.00	Permittivity:	39.20	39.00	-0.51	$\pm 5$
			Conductivity:	1.80	1.81	0.61	$\pm 5$
			1g SAR:	54.80	56.40	2.92	$\pm 5$

## 7.2 TEST LIQUID CONFIRMATION

### SIMULATING LIQUIDS PARAMETER CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within  $\pm 5\%$  of the values given in the table below. 5% may not be easily achieved at certain frequencies. Under such circumstances, 10% tolerance may be used until more precise tissue recipes are available

### IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 RECOMMENDED TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
(MHz)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	45.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

### SIMULATING LIQUIDS PARAMETER CHECK RESULTS

**Ambient condition:** Temperature: 25.2°C; Relative humidity: 54%

**Date:** March 01, 2004

Body Simulating Liquid			Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)					
2450.00	24.00	15.00	Permittivity:	52.70	51.00	-3.23	$\pm 5$
			Conductivity:	1.95	2.00	2.56	$\pm 5$





### 7.3 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES

The following procedure had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

- The client supplied a special driver to program the EUT, allowing it to continually transmit the specified maximum power and change the channel frequency.
- The conducted power was measured at the high, middle and low channel frequency before and after the SAR measurement.
- The output power(dBm) we measured before SAR test in different transition rate and channel

#### IEEE802.11b:

<b>Rate CH</b>	<b>1M</b>	<b>2M</b>	<b>5.5M</b>	<b>11M</b>
1	17.72	17.81	17.90	17.96
6	18.70	18.79	18.90	<b>19.00</b>
11	17.71	17.82	17.91	17.97

## 7.4 SAR MEASUREMENTS RESULTS

### EUT Setup Configuration 1



802.11b (DSSS)with host (Compaq): Duty Cycle =100 %, Crest Factor: 1.


Depth of liquid: 15.0 cm

Sep. [mm]	Antenna	Channel	Frequency [MHz]	*Conducted Power_dBm		Liquid Temp [°C]	SAR (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
				Before	After			
0	1	1	2412	17.96	17.92	24.0	<b>0.427</b>	1.6
0	1	6	2437	<b>19.00</b>	18.86	24.0	0.397	1.6
0	1	11	2462	17.97	17.95	24.0	0.238	1.6

Notes:

1. \*: Peak power.
2. Bottom face in parallel with flat phantom.
3. See attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

## EUT Setup Configuration 2



802.11b (DSSS) with host(Compaq): Duty Cycle = 100 %, Crest Factor: 1.



Depth of liquid: 15.0 cm

Sep. [mm]	Antenna	Channel	Frequency [MHz]	*Conducted Power_dBm		Liquid Temp [°C]	SAR (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
				Before	After			
15	1	1	2412	17.94	17.92	24.0	0.106	1.6
15	1	6	2437	<b>18.98</b>	18.96	24.0	0.085	1.6
15	1	11	2462	17.95	17.93	24.0	0.069	1.6

### Notes:

1. \*: Peak power.
2. Host device perpendicular to flat phantom.
3. See attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

## 8. EUT PHOTOS



Tx Ant.



## 9. EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Due
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US40280243	03/24/04
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070D	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Boonton	4531	13061	07/13/04
Power Sensor	Boonton	56218	2240	07/13/04
Power Meter	Agilent	E4416A	GB41291611	03/15/04
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9327A	US40441097	03/15/04
Thermometer	Amarell	4046	24775	10/10/13
Thermometer	Amarell	4046	23641	12/12/12
Universal Radio Communication Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU 200	1100.0008.02	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	E8257C	US542340383	04/22/04
Amplifier	Mini-Circuit	ZHL-1724HLN	N/A	N/A
DC Power generator	ABM	8301HD		N/A
Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	SPEAG	DAE3	558	03/07/04
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1762	03/31/04
900 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D900V2	179	03/31/04
1800 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1800V2	2d026	04/01/04
2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	728	03/05/04
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	348	N/A
Robot	Staubli	RX90B L	F02/5T69A1/A/01	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devices Holder	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A
Head 835 MHz	CCS	H835A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 835 MHz	CCS	M835A	N/A	N/A
Head 900 MHz	CCS	H900A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 900 MHz	CCS	M900A	N/A	N/A
Head 1800 MHz	CCS	H1800A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 1800 MHz	CCS	M1800A	N/A	N/A
Head 1900 MHz	CCS	H1900A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 1900 MHz	CCS	M1900A	N/A	N/A
Head 2450 MHz	CCS	H2450A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 2450 MHz	CCS	M2450A	N/A	N/A

## 10. FACILITIES

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at

☐ No. 81-1, Lane 210, Bade Rd. 2, Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

☒ No. 11, Wu-Kung 6 Rd, Wu-Ku Hsiang, Wu-Ku Industrial District, Taipei Hsien, (248) Taiwan.

☐ No. 199, Chunghsen Road, Hsintien City, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

## 11. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, Oce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992..Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10



## 12. ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit	Content
1	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)-DAE3, S/N: 558
2	Dosimetric E-Field Probe - ET3DV6, S/N: 1762
3	Validation Dipole - D2450V2, S/N: 728
4	System Performance Check Plots
5	SAR Test Plots

**END OF REPORT**



**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

**Client** [REDACTED]

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) [REDACTED] DAE3 - SN:558

Calibration procedure(s) [REDACTED] QA CAL-06 v2  
Calibration procedure for the data acquisition unit (DAE)

Calibration date: [REDACTED] March 07, 2003

Condition of the calibrated item [REDACTED] In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 International standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility; environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01	Sep-03

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Eric Hainfeld	Technician	[Signature]
Approved by:	Fin Bornhoff	R&D Director	[Signature]

Date issued: March 07, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

## 1. DC Voltage Measurement

DA - Converter Values from DAE

High Range: 1LSB =  $6.1\mu\text{V}$ , full range = 400 mV  
 Low Range: 1LSB =  $61\text{nV}$ , full range = 4 mV

Software Set-up: Calibration time: 3 sec Measuring time: 3 sec

Setup	X	Y	Z
High Range	405.010098	404.9037428	405.0817835
Low Range	3.972	3.95185	3.96828
Connector Position	86 °		

High Range	Input	Reading in $\mu\text{V}$	% Error
Channel X + Input	200mV	200000	0.00
	20mV	20003.4	0.02
Channel X - Input	20mV	-19993	-0.04
Channel Y + Input	200mV	200001	0.00
	20mV	20002.7	0.01
Channel Y - Input	20mV	-19993	-0.04
Channel Z + Input	200mV	200000	0.00
	20mV	20000.8	0.00
Channel Z - Input	20mV	-19997.7	-0.01

Low Range	Input	Reading in $\mu\text{V}$	% Error
Channel X + Input	2mV	2000.2	0.01
	0.2mV	200.04	0.02
Channel X - Input	0.2mV	-200.81	0.41
Channel Y + Input	2mV	2000.1	0.00
	0.2mV	199.47	-0.27
Channel Y - Input	0.2mV	-201.01	0.50
Channel Z + Input	2mV	1999.9	0.00
	0.2mV	198.68	-0.66
Channel Z - Input	0.2mV	-201.1	0.55

## 2. Common mode sensitivity

Software Set-up

Calibration time: 3 sec, Measuring time: 3 sec

High/Low Range

in $\mu\text{V}$	Common mode Input Voltage	High Range Reading	Low Range Reading
Channel X	200mV	-1.0284	-1.5716
	- 200mV	3.9204	1.3725
Channel Y	200mV	6.7686	5.874
	- 200mV	-6.8145	-8.0898
Channel Z	200mV	2.1943	2.766
	- 200mV	-2.52	-4.6218

## 3. Channel separation

Software Set-up

Calibration time: 3 sec, Measuring time: 3 sec

High Range

in $\mu\text{V}$	Input Voltage	Channel X	Channel Y	Channel Z
Channel X	200mV	-	0.88082	0.19177
Channel Y	200mV	0.049124	-	0.25676
Channel Z	200mV	-2.1226	-0.89508	-

## 4. AD-Converter Values with inputs shorted

in LSB	Low Range	High Range
Channel X	16492	16236
Channel Y	16307	15690
Channel Z	16461	16033

## 5. Input Offset Measurement

Measured after 15 min warm-up time of the Data Acquisition Electronic.  
Every Measurement is preceded by a calibration cycle.

Software set-up:

Calibration time: 3 sec  
Measuring time: 3 sec  
Number of measurements: 100, Low Range

Input 10M $\Omega$

in $\mu$ V	Average	min. Offset	max. Offset	Std. Deviation
Channel X	-0.52	-1.64	0.60	0.43
Channel Y	-2.05	-3.65	0.06	0.51
Channel Z	-0.34	-2.05	0.43	0.37

Input shorted

in $\mu$ V	Average	min. Offset	max. Offset	Std. Deviation
Channel X	0.04	-0.84	1.09	0.41
Channel Y	-0.77	-2.08	0.17	0.40
Channel Z	-1.01	-1.68	-0.38	0.24

## 6. Input Offset Current

in fA	Input Offset Current
Channel X	< 25
Channel Y	< 25
Channel Z	< 25

## 7. Input Resistance

	Calibrating	Measuring
Channel X	200 k $\Omega$	200 M $\Omega$
Channel Y	200 k $\Omega$	200 M $\Omega$
Channel Z	200 k $\Omega$	200 M $\Omega$

## 8. Low Battery Alarm Voltage

in V	Alarm Level
Supply (+ Vcc)	7.66 V
Supply (- Vcc)	-7.53 V

## 9. Power Consumption

in mA	Switched off	Stand by	Transmitting
Supply (+ Vcc)	0.000	5.83	14.1
Supply (- Vcc)	-0.011	-7.86	-9.13

## 10. Functional test

Touch async pulse 1	ok
Touch async pulse 2	ok
Touch status bit 1	ok
Touch status bit 2	ok
Remote power off	ok
Remote analog Power control	ok
Modification Status	B – C



Client C&C (Auden)

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) ET3DV6 - SN 1762

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-01.v2  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: March 31, 2003

Condition of the calibrated item In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	Mar-02	Mar-03
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02	Sep-03
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	13-Sep-02	Sep-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00	In house check: May 03
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01	Sep-03

Calibrated by: Name: Nino Valtari Function: Technician Signature: 

Approved by: Katja Pokovic Laboratory Director Signature: 

Date issued: April 2, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

# Probe ET3DV6

SN:1762

Manufactured:	January 20, 2003
Last calibration:	March 31, 2003

**Calibrated for DASY Systems**

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)



**DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1762****Sensitivity in Free Space****Diode Compression**

NormX	<b>1.90</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	<b>96</b>	mV
NormY	<b>1.78</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	<b>96</b>	mV
NormZ	<b>1.82</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	<b>96</b>	mV

**Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid**





Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
ConvF X	<b>6.7</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>6.7</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	<b>0.67</b>
ConvF Z	<b>6.7</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	<b>1.74</b>
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
ConvF X	<b>5.4</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>5.4</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	<b>0.50</b>
ConvF Z	<b>5.4</b> $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	<b>2.63</b>


**Boundary Effect**

Head	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.8	4.5
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2
Head	1800 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	13.8	9.3
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.1


**Sensor Offset**

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	<b>2.7</b>	mm
Optical Surface Detection	<b>1.4 <math>\pm</math> 0.2</b>	mm

Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\theta = 0^\circ$  $f = 30 \text{ MHz}$ , TEM cell if110 $f = 100 \text{ MHz}$ , TEM cell if110 $f = 300 \text{ MHz}$ , TEM cell if110 $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$ , TEM cell if110




### Isotropy Error ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





## Frequency Response of E-Field

( TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)






### Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{brain}})$ ( Waveguide R22 )




## Conversion Factor Assessment



Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$	
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$	
	ConvF X	$6.7 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y	$6.7 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha	0.67
	ConvF Z	$6.7 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth	1.74
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$	
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$	
	ConvF X	$5.4 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y	$5.4 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha	0.50
	ConvF Z	$5.4 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth	2.63


## Conversion Factor Assessment



Body	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	$6.5 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$6.5 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha <b>0.43</b>
	ConvF Z	$6.5 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth <b>2.34</b>
Body	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha <b>0.57</b>
	ConvF Z	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth <b>2.65</b>




## Conversion Factor Assessment



Head	2450	MHz	$\epsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\%$ mho/m	
	ConvF X		$5.1 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y		$5.1 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	1.32
	ConvF Z		$5.1 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Depth	1.61
Body	2450	MHz	$\epsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\%$ mho/m	
	ConvF X		$4.6 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y		$4.6 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	1.39
	ConvF Z		$4.6 \pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Depth	1.60

## Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\theta, \phi$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz



Client **C&C (Auden)**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **D2450V2 - SN:728**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **March 5, 2003**



Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator R&S SML-03	100598	27-Mar-2002	In house check: Mar-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02	Oct-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	30-Oct-02	Oct-03
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	30-Oct-02	Oct-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00	In house check: May 03

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: April 2, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

# DASY

## Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D2450V2

Serial: 728

Manufactured: January 9, 2003

Calibrated: March 5, 2003

## **1. Measurement Conditions**

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 2450 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>37.4</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.88 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ES3DV2 (SN:3013, Conversion factor 4.8 at 2450 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## **2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System**

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ES3DV2 SN:3013 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over $1\text{ cm}^3$ (1 g) of tissue:	<b>54.8 mW/g <math>\pm 16.8\%</math> (<math>k=2</math>)<sup>1</sup></b>
averaged over $10\text{ cm}^3$ (10 g) of tissue:	<b>24.2 mW/g <math>\pm 16.2\%</math> (<math>k=2</math>)<sup>1</sup></b>

---

<sup>1</sup> validation uncertainty



### 3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	<b>1.153 ns</b>	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	<b>0.997</b>	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 2450 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 53,7 \, \Omega$
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = 3,8 \, \Omega$
--	----------------------------------

Return Loss at 2450 MHz	<b>-25,9 dB</b>
-------------------------	-----------------

### 4. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

### 5. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Small end caps have been added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in Section 1. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

### 6. Power Test

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Date/Time: 03/05/03 12:24:05

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland  
File Name: SN728\_SN3013\_HSL2450\_050303.da4


**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Serial: D2450V2 - SN728**  
**Program: Dipole Calibration**

Communication System: CW-2450; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium: HSL 2450 MHz; ( $\sigma = 1.88 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )  
Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3013; ConvF(4.8, 4.8, 4.8); Calibrated: 1/19/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 25; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 105

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 91.6 V/m  
Peak SAR = 30.6 W/kg  
SAR(1 g) = 13.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.04 mW/g  
Power Drift = 0.02 dB






CH1 S11 1 U F9

5 Mar 2003 18:02:21  
f: 53.662 a 3.8359 a 249.19 pHz 2 450.000 000 MHz

728  
Head


De1

PRn  
Cor  
Avg  
16



CH2 S11 L08 5 dB/REF 0 dB f: 25.078 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

PRn  
Cor



START 2 250.000 000 MHz

STOP 2 650.000 000 MHz

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

## **D2450V2 SN 728**

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial:728**

Communication System: CW2450; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL2450 ( $\sigma = 1.811$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 39$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ; Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)  
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 34; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1150
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**Pin=250mW,d=10mm/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 96.8 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.1 mW/g

**Pin=250mW,d=10mm/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.8 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 23 mW/g

**Pin=250mW,d=10mm/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 30.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 14.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.5 mW/g

Reference Value = 96.8 V/m


Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 15.9 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

# Test Configuration-1



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### **Touch mode(with Compaq)**

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: 802.11b Wireless LAN Cardbus PC Card ; Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ;Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**Low Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m

Power Drift = 0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.379 mW/g

**Low Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m

Power Drift = 0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.404 mW/g

**Low Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.885 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.427 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.217 mW/g

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m

Power Drift = 0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.467 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### **Touch mode(with Compaq)**

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: 802.11b Wireless LAN Cardbus PC Card ; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ;Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**mid Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (7x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 12.9 V/m

Power Drift = -0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.337 mW/g

**mid Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.9 V/m

Power Drift = -0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.355 mW/g

**mid Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.826 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 0.397 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.200 mW/g

Reference Value = 12.9 V/m

Power Drift = -0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.427 mW/g





Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### **Touch mode(with Compaq)**

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: 802.11b Wireless LAN Cardbus PC Card ; Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ;Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**High Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (7x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m

Power Drift = -0.4 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.221 mW/g

**High Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m

Power Drift = -0.5 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.216 mW/g

**High Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.496 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.238 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.121 mW/g

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m

Power Drift = -0.4 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.256 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

# Test Configuration-2



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### **15mm mode(with Compaq)**

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ; Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**Low Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 7.71 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.100 mW/g

**Low Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.71 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.096 mW/g

**Low Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.215 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.106 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.059 mW/g

Reference Value = 7.71 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.113 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### 15mm mode(with Compaq)

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ; Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**mid Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 6.82 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.089 mW/g

**mid Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.82 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.076 mW/g

**mid Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.171 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 0.085 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.046 mW/g

Reference Value = 6.82 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.090 mW/g





Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services Inc.

### **15mm mode(with Compaq)**

**DUT: 802.11b Wireless LAN P3 Detachable Antenna PCMCIA Cardbus Card ; Type: WN-113SH18; Serial: N/A**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL2450 ( $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ,  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ,  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

Air Temperature 25.2 deg C ; Liquid Temperature 24 deg C

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1762; ConvF(4.6, 4.6, 4.6); Calibrated: 3/31/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn558; Calibrated: 3/7/2003
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1271
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 62

**High Rate=11M bit/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 6.21 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.071 mW/g

**High Rate=11M bit/Z Scan (1x1x21):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.21 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.062 mW/g

**High Rate=11M bit/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.143 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.069 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.038 mW/g

Reference Value = 6.21 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.074 mW/g

