


SAR Compliance Test Report

Test Report no.:	DTX04743-EN	Date of Report:	25 th of June 2002
Number of pages:	21	Contact person:	Darin Yeoman
		Responsible Test engineer:	Ruben Chr. Hansen
Testing laboratory:	TCC Copenhagen Nokia Danmark A/S Frederikskaj DK-1790 Copenhagen V Denmark Tel. +45 33 29 29 29 Fax. +45 33 29 20 01	Client:	Nokia USA 12278 Scripps Summit Dr. San Diego CA 92131, USA Tel. +1.858.831.5000 Fax.+1.858.831.6500
Tested device:	FCC ID: GMLRH-10, Model 8280, ESN: 235/01451182, HW: 2001, SW: C100A02W16, DUT#: 231836		
Supplement reports:			
Testing has been Carried out in Accordance with:	IEEE Std 1528-200X Draft 6.4 Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at Test & Certification Center, Copenhagen		
Test Results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		
Date and signatures:	06/25/2002		
For the contents:	 Svend Bøgsted TCC Manager		
	 Ruben Chr. Hansen SAR Test Engineer		

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY FOR SAR TEST REPORT.....	4
1.1 MAXIMUM RESULTS FOUND DURING SAR EVALUATION.....	4
1.1.1 HEAD CONFIGURATION.....	4
1.1.2 BODY WORN CONFIGURATION.....	4
1.1.3 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE.....	4
2.1 PICTURE OF GMLRH-10 (NOKIA 8280).....	5
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA.....	5
2.3 BATTERY.....	5
2.4 ACCESSORIES.....	5
3. TEST CONDITIONS.....	6
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY.....	6
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER.....	6
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT.....	7
4.1 MANUFACTURER OF DASY3.....	7
4.2 ROBOT.....	7
4.3 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE ET3DV6R.....	8
4.4 DEVICE HOLDER.....	8
4.5 DIPOLE ANTENNAS FOR VALIDATION.....	8
4.6 PHANTOM.....	9
4.7 LIQUID DEPTH.....	9
4.8 CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION PROCEDURES.....	10
4.9 SYNTHETIC HEAD TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS, MEASURED VALUES:.....	11
4.10 SYNTHETIC MUSCLE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS, MEASURED VALUES:.....	11
4.11 SYNTHETIC TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID RECIPES.....	11
4.11.1 835 MHZ.....	11
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE.....	12
5.1 TEST POSITIONS.....	12
5.1.1 CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION.....	12
5.1.2 EAR/TILTED POSITION.....	13
5.1.3 PHOTOS OF SETUP.....	13
5.1.4 BODY WORN CONFIGURATION.....	14
5.2 SCAN PROCEDURE.....	15
5.3 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	15
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	16
6.1 DESCRIPTION OF MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	16
7. RESULTS.....	17
7.1 AMPS.....	17
7.2 CDMA 800 MHZ.....	17
8. LIST OF INSTRUMENTS.....	18
9. ANNEX A: SAR DISTRIBUTION PRINTOUTS.....	19

10.	ANNEX B: VALIDATION PLOTS	20
11.	ANNEX C: CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	21

1. SUMMARY FOR SAR TEST REPORT

The tests described in this report have been performed in order to demonstrate that the equipment under test complies with the requirements in IEEE Std 1528-200X Draft 6.4 Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.

Date of receipt	06/03/2002
Date of test	06/03/2002 – 06/10/2002
Deadline for test report	
Contact person	Juha Juhanaja, TCC San Diego, Nokia Mobile Phones, CA, USA
Testplan referred to	-
Phone with FCC ID, ESN, HW, SW and DUT numbers	GMLRH-10, ESN: 235/01451182, HW: 2001, SW: C100A02W16 DUT #: 231836
Accessories	Battery, Type: BLB-3 DUT #: 231841, 231842, 231843 & # 231844, Headset, Type: HDC-5 DUT #231840.
Document code	DTX04743-EN
Responsible SAR Test Engineer	Ruben Chr. Hansen
Measurements performed by	Leif Funch Klysner

1.1 Maximum Results Found during SAR Evaluation

The equipment is deemed to fulfil the requirements if the measured values are less than or equal to the limit.

1.1.1 Head Configuration

Mode of operation	Channel	Power [dBm]	Position	Limit [mW/g]	Measured [mW/g]	Result
AMPS	799	23.9	Touch, Left Hand	1.6	1.20	PASSED

1.1.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode of Operation	Channel	Power [dBm]	Position	Limit [mW/g]	Measured [mW/g]	Result
CDMA	1013	25.6	Flat Section, Headset HDC-5, 15 mm space	1.6	1.24	PASSED

1.1.3 Measurement Uncertainty

Combined Uncertainty	$\pm 12.11\%$
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95.5%	$\pm 24.23\%$

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE

2.1 Picture of GMLRH-10 (NOKIA 8280)



Figure 1 GMLRH-10 (NOKIA 8280)

2.2 Description of the antenna

The GMLRH-10 (NOKIA 8280) cellular phone has an integral patch antenna.

2.3 Battery

A Li-Ion battery, type BLB-3 was used during the measurement.

2.4 Accessories

SAR measurements were performed with the headset HDC-5, at a fixed distance of 15 mm from the phantom flat section.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Ambient temperature: $23^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ} \text{ C}$

Tissue simulating liquid temperature: $23^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ} \text{ C}$

Ambient humidity: $45 \pm 10 \% \text{ r.h.}$

3.2 Test signal, frequencies, and output power

The GMLRH-10 (NOKIA 8280) was put in operation using an Agilent E8285A Mobile Station Test Set. Communication between the phone and the tester was established by air link using a Schwarzbech BBHA 9120 rigid horn antenna.

The battery is a Li-Ion rechargeable type.

The Phone was set to maximum power level during all the tests.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

The measurements were performed with an automated near-field scanning system, **DASY3**.

4.1 Manufacturer of DASY3


Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG).

Zeughausstrasse 43

8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Phone 41 1 245 97 00, Fax 41 1 245 97 79

www.speag.com



4.2 Robot

The robot is a RX90L manufactured by Stäubli France, www.staubli.com

Number of axis:	6
Payload:	3.5 kg
Reach:	1185 mm
Repeatability:	± 0.025 mm
Control unit:	CS7/cs7M

4.3 Isotropic E-field probe ET3DV6R

Frequency:	10 MHz to 3 GHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic range:	5 μ W/g to > 100mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimension:	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

4.4 Device holder



The holder was provided by SPEAG as a part of the DASY3 system.

4.5 Dipole antennas for validation



The dipole antennas are matched for use near flat phantoms filled with head/body simulation solutions. The dipoles are equipped with 10 or 15 mm distance holders.

4.6 Phantom



The phantoms enables dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage, as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

Shell thickness: 2 ± 0.2 mm, except at Ear Reference Point, where an integrated spacer provides a 6 mm spacing from tissue simulating liquid.

4.7 Liquid depth

The liquid level was during measurement at least 15 cm.

4.8 Calibration and validation procedures

The probes are calibrated annually by the manufacturer. The SAR measurements were validated using a dipole antenna placed under the flat section of the generic twin phantom. A power level of 250 mW supplied to the dipole antenna was used for the validation. The results are normalised to 1 W input power. The power level was controlled during validation, using a directional coupler and a power meter.

Liquid	Date	Frequency [MHz]	Description	SAR averaged over 1g [mW/g]	Dielectric Parameters ϵ_r σ [S/m]	
Head	06/03/02	900	Measured	11.48	40.34	0.96
			Reference	11.44	41.5	0.97
	06/04/02	900	Measured	11.24	40.25	0.96
			Reference	11.44	41.5	0.97
	06/10/02	900	Measured	11.64	41.46	0.99
			Reference	11.44	41.5	0.97

Liquid	Date	Frequency [MHz]	Description	SAR averaged over 1g [mW/g]	Dielectric Parameters ϵ_r σ [S/m]	
Body	06/06/02	900	Measured	11.4	56.05	1.01
			Reference	11.84	55.4	1.04
	06/07/02	900	Measured	11.32	55.87	1.0
			Reference	11.84	55.4	1.04

4.9 Synthetic head tissue simulating liquid parameters, measured values:

The tissue simulating liquids are measured using a HP 85070A dielectric probe kit.

Date	Frequency [MHz]	Description	ϵ_r Relative permittivity	σ [S/m] Conductivity
06/03/02	835	Measured	41.17	0.9
		Recommended	41.5	0.90
06/04/02	835	Measured	41.04	0.9
		Recommended	41.5	0.90
06/10/02	835	Measured	42.23	0.93
		Recommended	41.5	0.90

4.10 Synthetic muscle tissue simulating liquid parameters, measured values:

Frequency band [MHz]		Description	ϵ_r Relative permittivity	σ [S/m] Conductivity
06/06/02	835	Measured	56.65	0.94
		Recommended	55.2	0.97
06/07/02	835	Measured	56.48	0.93
		Recommended	55.2	0.97

Recommended values are adopted from OET Bulletin 65 (97-01) Supplement C (01-01).

4.11 Synthetic tissue simulating liquid recipes

4.11.1 835 MHz

Ingredients	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
De-ionized Water	39.74	55.97
Hydroxyethyl Cellulose	0.25	1.21
Sugar	58.31	41.76
Preservative	0.15	0.27
Salt	1.55	0.79

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Test positions

The cellular phone was measured in 2 positions on both the "left hand" and "right hand" side of the phantom with the antenna in both extended and retracted positions. Furthermore the cellular phone was measured in the carrying case under the flat section of the phantom.




Figure 2. "Cheek/Touch" Position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the plane for phone positioning, are indicated.

5.1.1 Cheek/Touch Position

- 1) The phone was positioned with the vertical center line of the body of the phone and the horizontal line crossing the center of the ear piece in a plane parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom ("initial position"). While maintaining the phone in this plane, the vertical center line was aligned with the reference plane containing the three ear and mouth reference points (RE, LE and M) and the center of the ear piece was aligned with the line RE-LE
- 2) The mobile phone was moved towards the phantom with the earpiece aligned with the line LE-RE until the phone touched the ear. While maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the bottom of the phone was moved until a point on the front side was in contact with the cheek of the phantom or until contact with the ear was lost.




Figure 3. “Ear/Tilted Position.” The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the plane for phone positioning, are indicated.

5.1.2 Ear/Tilted Position

- 1) The phone was positioned in the “cheek/touch” position as described above;
- 2) While the phone was maintained in the reference plane described above and pivoting against the ear, the phone was moved outward away from the mouth by an angle of 15 degrees or until contact with the ear was lost.

5.1.3 Photos of setup



Tilted, left hand



Tilted, right hand



Touch, left hand



Touch, right hand

5.1.4 Body Worn Configuration

The phone was positioned into the holder and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the phone and the phantom was kept at 15 mm during all measurements. Measurements were performed with the display side towards the phantom and with the antenna side towards the phantom. The maximum level was found to come from the antenna side of the phone. Measurements were performed with headsets HDC-5. The "SAR-Test 15mm" PVC spacer was removed before measurements were performed.




Figure 4 GMLRH-10 under flat section of phantom.

5.2 Scan Procedure

First coarse scans are used for quick determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, 5x5x7 with a spacing of 8 mm between each scan point, is performed to determine the averaged SAR-distribution for 1g and 10g.

5.3 SAR averaging methods

The maximum SAR value is averaged over its volume using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation of the points is done with a 3d-Spline. The 3d-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" –condition [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p. 141-150] (x,y and z –directions) [Numerical Recipes in C, Second Edition, p 123ff].

The extrapolation is based on least square algorithm [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.168-180]. Through the points in the first 3cm in all z-axis, polynomials of order four are calculated. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The points, calculated from the surface, have a distance of 1mm from one another.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

6.1 Description of measurement uncertainty

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	C_i	Standard uncertainty (1g) %	V_i or V_{eff}
Measurement equipment						
Probe Calibration	±4.4	Normal	1	1	±4.4	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	±4.7	Rectangular	√ 3	√ (1- C_p)	±1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	±9.6	Rectangular	√ 3	√ C_p	±3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	±0.0	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±0.0	∞
Boundary effect	±5.5	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±3.2	∞
Probe Linearity	±4.7	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±2.7	∞
Detection limits	±1.0	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	±1.0	Normal	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response time	±0.8	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration time	±1.4	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±0.8	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	±3.0	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±1.7	∞
Mech. Constraints of Robot	±0.4	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning	±2.9	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation & Integration	±3.9	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±2.3	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	±6.0	Normal	0.89	1	±6.7	12
Device Holder	±5.0	Normal	0.84	1	±5.9	8
Power Drift	±5.0	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	±4.0	Rectangular	√ 3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (deviation from target)	±5.0	Rectangular	√ 3	.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measurement error)	±10.0	Rectangular	√ 3	.6	±3.5	∞
Liquid permittivity (deviation from target)	±5.0	Rectangular	√ 3	.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measurement error)	±5.0	Rectangular	√ 3	.6	±1.7	∞
Combined standard uncertainty					±13.6	
Expanded uncertainty (confidence interval of 95%)					±27.1	

C_i is the weighting coefficient, v_{eff} is the effective degrees of freedom

$$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 u_i^2}$$

7. RESULTS

7.1 AMPS

Position		AMPS		
		Channel		
		991	384	799
		Power [dBm]		
		25.6	23.8	23.9
Touch, left hand		1.13	1.15	1.20
Tilted, left hand		0.69	0.69	0.68
Touch, right hand		1.10	1.17	1.18
Tilted, right hand		0.63	0.62	0.61
Body, HDC-5	Antenna towards phantom	0.98	0.92	0.86
Body, HDC-5	Display towards phantom	0.25	0.19	0.27

7.2 CDMA 800 MHz

Position		CDMA		
		Channel		
		1013	384	777
		Power [dBm]		
		25.6	23.8	24.1
Touch, left hand		1.12	1.16	1.18
Tilted, left hand		0.65	0.65	0.65
Touch, right hand		1.10	1.15	1.15
Tilted, right hand		0.68	0.65	0.64
Body. HDC-5	Antenna towards phantom	1.24	0.89	0.89
Body. HDC-5	Display towards phantom	0.18	0.19	0.20


8. LIST OF INSTRUMENTS

Equipment no	Equipment	Type	Serial no	Manufacturer	Last week	Last year	Next week	Next year	Calibration lab
13172	Power Supply 15V DC 4 A	PL154	043068	Thurlby&Thandar					
13393	RF Amplifier 10-2.4GHz	ZHL-42W	D091395-1	Mini-Circuits					
14509	Double Ridged Horn Antenna	BBHA9120-LF	BBHA 9120 LF-A/105	Schwarzbech Mess El.	27	98			Schwarzbech
14824	Vector Signal Generator	SMIQ03B	826046/034	Rohde&Schwarz	33	2000	33	2003	R&S
14867	Digital Weight 0-3100g 0.01g	BP3100S	81006038	Sartorius					
15001	Digital Radio Comm. Tester	CTS55	828273/014	Rohde&Schwarz					
15199	Industrial Robot f SAR	RX90L	598299-01	Stäubli					
15200	Robot Controller Unit	Dasy3	-----	Stäubli					
15201	Phantom	Generic Twin Phantom V3.0	-----	Schmid&Partner					
15202	Phantom	Generic Twin Phantom V3.0	-----	Schmid&Partner					
17737	SCC-34/SC-2 Phantom	Generic Twin Phantom V4.1	-----	Schmid&Partner					
15203	Phone Test Fixture f Test	-----	-----	Stäubli					
15204	Dipole Antenna 900MHz SMA	D900V2	033	Schmid&Partner	29	2000	29	2002	Schmid&Partner
15205	Dipole Antenna 1800MHz SMA	D1800V2	230	Schmid&Partner	29	2000	29	2002	Schmid&Partner
15206	Dummy Probe f SAR	-----	-----	Schmid&Partner					
15207	Probe f SAR Measurements	ET3DV5	1345	Schmid&Partner	34	2001	34	2002	Schmid&Partner
15208	Probe f SAR Measurements	ET3DV5	1344	Schmid&Partner	34	2001	34	2002	Schmid&Partner
15209	Dielectric Probe Kit	HP85070B	US33020403	Hewlett Packard					
15319	Closed Torso Mannequin V2.0	V2.0	-----	Schmid&Partner					
15859	Digital Radio Communication	4201S	0113217	Wavetek					
15883	RF Shielded Box	248390	LX658054	Wavetek					
16744	Dosimetric Assessment	DAE3V1	339	Schmid&Partner	34	2001	34	2002	Schmid&Partner
17266	RF S-Parameter Network	AT8753ES	MY40001091	Agilent Technologies	7	2001	7	2002	AT Factory
17555	Dosimetric Assessment	DAE3V1	435	Schmid&Partner	46	2001	46	2002	Schmid & Partner
17556	Dosimetric E-Field Probe f	ET3DV6R	1429	Schmid&Partner	17	2002	17	2003	Schmid&Partner
17752	Dosimetric E-Field Probe f	ET3DV6R	1431	Schmid&Partner	51	2001	51	2002	Schmid&Partner
	CDMA Mobile Station Test Set	E8285A	US40252509	Agilent	45	2000	45	2002	Agilent

9. ANNEX A: SAR DISTRIBUTION PRINTOUTS


GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch left 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.13 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.783 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Righ Hand Section; Position: (90°,301°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch right 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.17 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.824 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch left 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.20 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.837 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.976 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.683 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.920 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.651 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.860 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.605 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch left 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.12 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.779 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch left 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.16 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.807 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM touch left 800 MHz Ant; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0;
Head 835 MHz (SAM): $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.18 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.821 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.24 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.885 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




GMLRH-10

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.885 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.630 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0



GMLRH-10


SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 835 MHz
; SAM Body, Pos; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 835 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.893 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.617 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0



10. ANNEX B: VALIDATION PLOTS

Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Head - 03; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; Head 900 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 2.87 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.81 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Head - 03; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; Head 900 MHz

(SAM): $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³


Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 2.87 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.81 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 7x7x7: Dx = 5.0, Dy = 5.0, Dz = 5.0




Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Head - 04; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; Head 900 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 2.81 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.78 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Body - 06; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Validation Body 835
(SAM): $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 2.85 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.85 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0




Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,59°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Body - 06; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Validation Body 835
(SAM): $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 2.85 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.85 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0




Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Body - 07; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Crest factor: 1.0; Validation Body 835
(SAM): $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 55.9$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 2.83 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.83 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0



Dipole 900 MHz

SAM Low Band Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°); Frequency: 900 MHz
; Head - 10; Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1429; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; Head 900 MHz
(SAM): $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 5x5x7; SAR (1g): 2.91 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.85 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0



11. ANNEX C: CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Certificate

Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6R

Serial Number:

1429

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

April 25, 2002

Calibration Interval:

12 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

D. Vella

Approved by:

Oliver Kotz

Probe ET3DV6R

SN:1429

Manufactured:	May 7, 2001
Last calibration:	September 4, 2001
Recalibrated:	April 25, 2002

Calibrated for System DASY3

DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6R SN:1429

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	2.18 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	2.11 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	2.33 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	98	mV
DCP Y	98	mV
DCP Z	98	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.81
ConvF Z	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	1.52
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.48
ConvF Z	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.37

Boundary Effect


Head	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{pe} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	7.6	3.8
SAR _{pe} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.0	0.1
Head	1800 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{pe} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	11.2	7.4
SAR _{pe} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.2

Sensor Offset


Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
----------------------------	------------	----

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$


f = 30 MHz, TEM cell if110




f = 100 MHz, TEM cell if110





f = 300 MHz, TEM cell if110




f = 900 MHz, TEM cell if110






Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{brain}) (Waveguide R22)




Conversion Factor Assessment



Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.81
	ConvF Z	6.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 1.52
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.48
	ConvF Z	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.37


Conversion Factor Assessment



Body	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	5.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	5.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.58
	ConvF Z	5.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 1.86
Body	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	4.7 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	4.7 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.60
	ConvF Z	4.7 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.26

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz



Calibration Certificate

900 MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:

D900V2

Serial Number:

033

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

October 23, 2001


Calibration Interval:

24 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:



Approved by:



DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D900V2

Serial: 033

Manufactured: July 9, 1998
Calibrated: October 23, 2001

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	41.5	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	0.97 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.1c) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.27 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW $\pm 3\%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	11.44 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	7.24 mW/g

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well.

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.417 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.993	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = $ 50.5 Ω
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = $ -1.8 Ω
Return Loss at 900 MHz	-34.7 dB

4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom filled with muscle simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	55.4	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	1.04 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.1c) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.02 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW $\pm 3\%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

5. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	11.84 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	7.52 mW/g

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well.

6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 47.1 \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -3.7 \Omega$
Return Loss at 900 MHz	-25.8 dB

7. Handling



The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. If the dipole arms have to be bent back, take care to release stress to the soldered connections near the feedpoint; they might come off.

After prolonged use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Validation Dipole D900V2 SN:033, d = 15 mm

Frequency: 900 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.27,6.27,6.27) at 900 MHz; IEEE1528 900 MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.62 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (1g): 2.86 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.81 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 11.5 (10.2, 13.2) [mm]
Powerdrift: 0.00 dB



23 Oct 2001 11:28:00

CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 50.498 Ω -1.7734 Ω 99.605 pF 900.000 000 MHz

↑

Del


PRM

Cor

Avg

16

↑




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF 0 dB 1:-34.669 dB 900.000 000 MHz

PRM

Cor

↑





START 700.000 000 MHz

STOP 1 100.000 000 MHz

Validation Dipole D900V2 SN:033, d = 15 mm

Frequency: 900 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.02,6.02,6.02) at 900 MHz; Muscle 900 MHz; $\sigma = 1.04$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 55.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.76 mW/g $\pm$ 0.02 dB, SAR (1g): 2.96 mW/g $\pm$ 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.88 mW/g $\pm$ 0.01 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 11.9 (10.5, 13.7) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB



23 Oct 2001 09:45:24

[CH1] S11 1 U F3

1: 47.049 ω -3.6992 Δ 47.894 pF

900.000 000 MHz

↑

De1


PRm

Cor

Avg

15

↑



CH2 S11 LOG

5 dB/REF 0 dB


1: -25.619 dB

900.000 000 MHz

PRm

Cor

↑



START 700.000 000 MHz

STOP 1 100.000 000 MHz