Rev. 9/15/03

| Information about the Applicant | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--|
| Company Name | Intermec Technologies Corporation | |
| Grantee | Cheryl D. White | |
| Address | 6001 36th Ave W | |
| City, State, Zip | Everett, WA 98203 | |
| Job Number | ITRM0006 | |
| Model | SB555 Radio in 700C | |
| FCC ID | HN2SB555-2 | |
| Agent | Northwest EMC | |
| Approval Type | Class II Permissive Change | |
| Equipment Class | PCE PCS Licensed Transmitter held to ear | |
| Rule Part | 22.901, 24E | |

Overview

This application is for a class II permissive change to allow co-location with an RFID radio, which is internal to a pistol grip (Intermec Model IP3). The IP3 is an optional accessory that attaches externally to the bottom of the 700C. In this configuration, the 700C cannot be used in any bodyworn configurations (holsters, belt clips, lanyards, etc). Also, it cannot be used as a cell phone near the head. In this configuration the CDMA radio is for wide area network (WAN) data transmission only.

Recommendation

All items have been resolved and completed to my satisfaction; therefore I recommend this application for approval.

| Signature | |
|---------------|--|
| Qant m Tolman | |



Opinions

| Specification Requirements | Description |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47 Cfr 2.1053, 22.917(e), 24.238(e) | Radiated spurious emissions must be below the applicable limits specified in the requirements when transmitting any of the applicable bands. |
| Opinion | Data show the emissions below the required levels. |
| Discussion | All possible combinations of simultaneously transmitting radios was examined and the data show compliance with the requirements. |
| Reference | Report_ITRM0006.pdf |

| 47 Cfr 2.1093 | RF Exposure hazard |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Opinion | The device complies with the standard. |
| Discussion | In the configuration of equipment covered by this class II application, the radio becomes a mobile radio. RF exposure evaluation and attestation has been submitted to support the claim that the equipment in this configuration is categorically excluded from routine environmental evaluation per 47 Cfr 2.1091(c). |
| Reference | RF Exposure.pdf |