

# **TEST REPORT**

Eurofins # 65, Sinwon-ri Suwon-si, Gyeon TEL: 82-31-285-0894 <u>www.</u>	CTL Co.,Ltd. b, Yeongtong-gu, ggi-do, 16677, Korea FAX: 82-505-299-8311 <u>kctl.co.kr</u>	Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (1) of (81)	🔅 eurofins			
1. Client						
∘ Name	: Samsung Elec	ctronics Co., Ltd.				
<ul> <li>Address</li> </ul>	129, Samsung <sup>:</sup> Rep. of Korea	-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Su	won-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677			
<ul> <li>Date of Rece</li> </ul>	eipt : 2024-11-11					
2. Use of Report	: Certification					
3. Name of Prod ∘ Model Numbe ∘ Manufacturer a	3. Name of Product and Model       : Tablet PC         • Model Number       : SM-X356B         • Manufacturer and Country of Origin       : Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. / VIETNAM					
4. FCC ID	:	A3LSMX356B				
5. Date of Test 6. Location of Te	5. Date of Test       : 2025-01-23 ~ 2025-02-17         6. Location of Test       : ■ Permanent Testing Lab □ On Site Testing (Address: 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea)					
8. Test Results	: Refer to the te	est re <mark>sult in the t</mark> est re	port			
Test Affirmation Nam	ed by ne : Jewon Choi (Sig	Technical M	anager ngwon Ma <u>(Signature)</u>			
			2025-03-12			
Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.						
As a test result of the sample which was submitted from the client, this report does not guarantee the whole product quality. This test report should not be used and copied without a written agreement by Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.						

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (2) of (81)



KCTL

**REPORT REVISION HISTORY** 

Date	Revision	Page No
2025-03-07	Originally issued	-
2025-03-12	Updated -Added 2G -WCDMA B2, LTE B2 Sub1 <i>P<sub>max</sub></i> -WCDMA B2/B4 value and plot	- 5 35 41~44

Note: The Report No. KR25-SPF0007 is superseded by the report No. KR25-SPF0007-A

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. This document may be altered or revised by Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. This test report is a general report that does not use the KOLAS accreditation mark and is not related to KS Q ISO/IEC 17025 and KOLAS accreditation.

## General remarks for test reports

Statement concerning the uncertainty of the measurement systems used for the tests

(may be required by the product standard or client)

Internal procedure used for type testing through which traceability of the measuring uncertainty has been established:

Procedure number, issue date and title:

Calculations leading to the reported values are on file with the testing laboratory that conducted the testing.

#### Statement not required by the standard or client used for type testing

1. Identification when information is provided by the customer: Information marked " # " is provided by the customer. - Disclaimer: This information is provided by the customer and can affect the validity of results.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (3) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# CONTENTS

1.	General information	4
2.	Device information	5
3.	Test Under Dynamic Transmission Condition for RF Exposure Compliance	8
4.	Tx Varying Transmission Test Cases and Test Proposal	11
5.	SAR Time Averageing Validation Test configuration selection	14
6	Test procedures description	17
7.	Test Configurations	26
8.	Time-varying Tx power measurement for below 6GHz frequency	30
9.	Equipment list	68
10.	Test System Verification Results	69
11.	Conclusion	74
Арре	ndix A. Test Sequ <mark>ences Set</mark> up	75
Арре	ndix B. Test Proc <mark>edures for</mark> sub6 NR + LTE Radio	77
Appe	ndix.C Test Setup Photo	79
End	of test report	81

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (4) of (81)



KCTL

# 1. General information

Client	:	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
Address	:	129, Samsung-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Rep. of Korea
Manufacturer	:	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
Address	:	129, Samsung-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Rep. of Korea
Laboratory	:	Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.
Address	:	65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea
Accreditations	:	FCC Site Designation No: KR0040, FCC Site Registration No: 687132
		VCCI Registration No. : R-3327, G-198, C-3706, T-1849
		CAB Identifier: KR0040, ISED Number: 8035A
		KOLAS No.: KT231

# 1.1 Report Overview

This report details the results of testing carried out on the samples listed in section 2, the results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report.

This report may only be reproduced and distributed in full. If the product in this test report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the test report, the manufacturer must ensure the new configuration complies with all relevant standards and certification requirements. Any mention of Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. Wireless lab or testing done by Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. Wireless lab made in connection with the distribution or use of the tested product must be approved in writing by Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. Wireless lab.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (5) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 2. Device information

# 2.1 Basic description

Product Name		Tablet PC		
Product Model Number		SM-X356B		
Product Manufacturer		Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd		
		R32XB00710K, R32XA000PRX, R32XB006HDL R32XB00739E		
Product	Radiation	R32XA000RVL, R32XB00727T, R32XA000S4H, R32XB003LWE		
Number		R32XB006Z5W		
	Conduction	R32XB006RAZ, 87cc42d8cf347ece, R32XB0066DF, R32XB006QFL		
Mode of Operation		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850/1900, WCDMA II/ IV/ V, LTE Band 2/4/5/12/13/17/25/26/41/66, NR Band n5/n26/n41/n66/n71/n77 DoD/n77/n78 WLAN 802.11a/b/g/n/ac/ax, Bluetooth, NFC		

The equipment under test (EUT) is SM-X356B (FCC ID : A3LSMX356B), it contains the Qualcomm modems supporting 2G/3G/4G technologies and 5G NR bands (Sub-6 only). Both of these modems are enabled with Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature to control and manage transmitting power in real time and to ensure at all times the time-averaged RF exposure is in compliance with the FCC requirement.

Purpose of the Part 2 report is to demonstrate the EUT complies with FCC RF exposure

requirement under Tx varying transmission scenarios, thereby validity of Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for FCC equipment authorization of A3LSMX356B.

The *Plimit* (For 2G/3G/4G and 5G NR Sub-6) used in this report is determined in Part 0 and Part 1 reports. Refer to Part0 report for product description and terminology used in this report.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (6) of (81)



**KCTL** 

Device Overview						
Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency				
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	Data	824.2 ~ 848.8				
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	Data	1 850.2 ~ 1 909.8				
WCDMA Band II	Data	1 852.4 ~ 1 907.6				
WCDMA Band IV	Data	1 712.4 ~ 1 752.6				
WCDMA Band V	Data	826.4 ~ 846.6				
LTE Band 2	Data	1 850.7 ~ 1 909.3				
LTE Band 4	Data	1 710.7 ~ 1 754.3				
LTE Band 5	Data	824.7 ~ 848.3				
LTE Band 12	Data	699.7 ~ 715.3				
LTE Band 13	Data	779.5 ~ 784.5				
LTE Band 17	Data	706.5 ~ 713.5				
LTE Band 25	Data	1 850.7 ~ 1 914.3				
LTE Band 26	Data	814.7 ~ 848.3				
LTE Band 41	Data	2 498.5 ~ 2 687.5				
LTE Band 66	Data	<u>1 7</u> 10.7 ~ 1 779.3				
NR Band n5	Data	<mark>82</mark> 6.5 ~ 846.5				
NR Band n26	Data	<mark>81</mark> 6.5 ~ 846.5				
NR Band n41	Data	<mark>2 50</mark> 1.01 ~ 2 685.00				
NR Band n66	Data	1 712.5 ~ 1 777.5				
NR Band n71	Data	665.5 ~ 695.5				
NR Band n77 DoD	Data	3 455.01 ~ 3 544.98				
NR Band n77	Data	3 705.00 ~ 3 975.00				
NR Band n78	Data	3 455.01 ~ 3 544.98				
2.4 GHz WLAN	Data	2 412.0 ~ 2 472.0				
U-NII-1	Data	5 180.0 ~ 5 240.0				
U-NII-2A	Da <mark>ta</mark>	5 260.0 ~ 5 320.0				
U-NII-2C	Da <mark>ta</mark>	5 500.0 ~ 5 720.0				
U-NII-3	Data	5 745.0 ~ 5 825.0				
U-NII-4	Data	5 845.0 ~ 5 885.0				
U-NII-5	Data	5 935.0 ~ 6 415.0				
U-NII-6	Data	6 435.0 ~ 6 515.0				
U-NII-7	Data	6 535.0 ~ 6 875.0				
U-NII-8	Data	6 895.0 ~ 7 115.0				
Bluetooth	Data	2 402.0 ~ 2 480.0				
NFC	Data	13.56				
TDWR Information	5.60 GHz~ 5.65 GHz band (TDV	/R) is supported by the device.				

**KCTL** 

# 2.1.1 EFS v20 Verification

Per Qualcomm's 80-w2112-5 document, embedded file system (EFS) version 20 products are required to be verified for Smart Tx generation for relevant MCC settings.

It was confirmed that this DUT contains embedded file system (EFS) version 20 configured for Smart Tx second generation (GEN2) for Sub6 and mm Wave with MCC settings with MCC settings for the US market.

EES v20 Concretion	MCC		
EFS V20 Generation	310		

# 2.1.2 EN-DC Carrier Aggregation

EN-DC Carrier Aggregation Possible Combinations	The technical description includes all the possible carrier aggregation combinations
LTE Anchor Bands for NR Band n5 (Main1 ANT)	[Main1] <mark>B2/66</mark>
LTE Anchor Bondo for NB Bond n41 (Moin2 ANT)	[Main1] <mark>B5/12/26</mark>
LIE Anchor Bands for NR Band 141 (Mainz ANT)	[Sub1] <mark>B2/4/66</mark>
LTE Anober Bondo for NB Bond n66 (Main1 ANT)	[Main1] B5/12/13
LIE Anchor Bands for INR Band 100 (Maint ANT)	[Sub1] B2
LTE Anchor Bands for NR Band n71 (Main1 ANT)	[Main1] B2/66
LTE Anchor Bands for NR Band n77 (Main2 ANT)	[Main1] B2/5/12/13/25/66
LTE Anchor Pondo for NP Pond n79 (Moin? ANT)	[Main1] B2/4/5/12/13/26/66
LIE ANCHOL DATUS TO THE BATH IT & (MAINZ ANT)	[Main2] B41

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (8) of (81)



# 3. Test Under Dynamic Transmission Condition for RF Exposure Compliance

This device is enabled with Qualcomm<sup>®</sup> Smart Transmit algorithm to control and manage transmitting power in real time and to ensure that the time-averaged RF exposure from 2G/3G/4G/5G NR WWAN is incompliance with FCC requirements.

This feature performs time averaging algorithm in real time to control and manage transmitting power and ensure the time-averaged RF exposure is in compliance with FCC requirements all the time.

The Smart Transmit algorithm maintains the time-averaged transmit power, in turn, time-averaged RF exposure of SAR\_design\_target for sub 6 radio, below the predefined time averaged power limit for each characterized technology and band.

Smart Transmit allows the device to transmit at higher power instantaneously, as high as  $P_{max}$ , when needed, but enforces power limiting to maintain time-averaged transmit power to  $P_{limit}$  for frequencies < 6 GHz and input.power.limit for frequencies > 6 GHz.

Note that the device uncertainty for sub 6GHz WWAN is +1.0dB/-1.5dB for this DUT, and the reserve power margin is 3 dB.

This purpose of the Part 2 report is to demonstrate the DUT complies with FCC RF exposure requirement under Tx varying transmission scenarios, thereby validity of Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature implementation in this device. It serves to compliment the Part 0 and Part 1 Test Reports to justify compliance per FCC.

All Part 2 tests of this device were conducted according to the guidelines of the Qualcomm document 80-W2112-5 Rev. U

#### Regulatory body configuration:

Based on regulatory requirement for each countries/regions, FCC time window/limits and/or ICNIRP 1998 time window/limits can be selected and/or combined. Additionally, Time-Averaged Exposure mode or Peak Exposure mode can be selected based on MCC for Smart Transmit to operate. In Time-Averaged Exposure mode, the wireless device can instantaneously transmit at high transmit powers and exceed the P<sub>limit</sub> for a short duration before limiting the power to maintain the time-averaged transmit power under the P<sub>limit</sub>; while in Peak Exposure mode, the maximum instantaneous transmit power is limited to P<sub>limit</sub>. Depending on EFS version, regulatory body configuration is different.

■ force peak for Tx transmitting frequency

The Smart Transmit feature applies time-averaging windows when the device detects an MCC that matches Time-Averaged Exposure MCCs list. For each of the MCCs under Time-Averaged Exposure MCCs list, the Smart Transmit feature can limit either maximum peak power or maximum time-average power to P<sub>limit</sub> per tech/band/antenna/DSI. If force peak is set to '1' for a given tech/band/antenna/DSI in the EFS, then the Smart Transmit feature limits the maximum Tx power to P<sub>limit</sub> for the selected tech/band/antenna/DSI. In other words, with force peak set to '1', under static condition (i.e., fixed tech/band/antenna/DSI) and in single active Tx scenario, Smart Transmit can guarantee Tx power level of P<sub>limit</sub> at all times.

#### The EFS Version of A3LSMX356B is EFS ver.20

This device was tested in part 2 of Tx Varying transmission(Time-Averaged Exposure mode) testing using US MCC (310).and MCC ,'1' was used to test the peak exposure mode.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (9) of (81)



# 3.1 RF Exposure Limits for Frequencies < 6 GHz

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational	
Partial Peak SAR <sup>1)</sup> (Partial)	1.60 mW/g	8.00 mW/g	
Partial Average SAR <sup>2)</sup> (Whole Body)	0.08 mW/g	0.40 mW/g	
Partial Peak SAR <sup>3)</sup> (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 mW/g	20.00 mW/g	

Table 2-1

SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

NOTES:

\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

\*\* The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole-body.

\*\*\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

**Uncontrolled Environments** are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be mad fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

**Controlled Environments** are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e.as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (10) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 3.2 TInterim Guidance for Time Averaging

Per October 2018 TCB Workshop Notes, the below time-averaging windows can be used for assessing timeaveraged exposures for devices that are capable of actively monitoring and adjusting power output over time to comply with exposure limits.

Interim Guidance	Frequency	Maximum Averaging Time
SAD	< 3	100
SAR	3 – 6	60
	6 – 10	30
	10 - 16	14
MPE	16 - 24	8
	24 – 42	4
	42 - 95	2



www.kctl.co.kr



**KCTL** 

# 4. Tx Varying Transmission Test Cases and Test Proposal

To validate time averaging feature and demonstrate the compliance in Tx varying transmission conditions, the following transmission scenarios are covered in Part 2 test:

- 1. During a time-varying Tx power transmission: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature accounts for Tx power variations in time accurately.
- 2. During a call disconnect and re-establish scenario: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature accounts for history of past Tx power transmissions accurately.
- 3. During technology/band handover: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transitions in technology/band.
- 4. During DSI (Device State Index) change: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transition from one device state (DSI) to another.
- 5. During antenna (or beam) switch: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transitions in antenna (such as AsDiv scenario) or beams (different antenna array configurations).
- 6. During time averaging window change to prove that TAS feature properly handles the change from one time averaging window to another as specified by FCC, and meets the normalized FCC limit of 1.0 at all time.
- 7. SAR exposure switching between two active radios (radio1 and radio2): To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly and ensures total RF exposure compliance when exposure varies among SAR\_radio1 only, SAR\_radio1 + SAR\_radio2, and SAR\_radio2 only scenarios.
- Test case reduction for multiple filings

Per Qualcomm Document (80-W2112-5 Rev. P, Sec 4.2, For Multiple variants which uses the same chipset. -the same chipset and Smart Transmit algorithm are used in the new model- the number of test cases in Part 2 can be reduced in the case of multiple filings using same chipset (post full part 2 test on the first filing), i.e., the essential test cases in power measurement are required to ensure the Smart Transmit performs as expected in the new design, but the RF exposure measurement can be excluded.

Furthermore, as described in Section 5.2.1 of 80-W2112-5 Rev. P, for scenario (a), two bands per technology are selected for time-varying Tx transmission test to provide high confidence. In this case, one band per technology can be considered as well to reduce test cases further.

🛟 eurofins

**KCTL** 

- Demonstrate the total RF exposure averaged over FCC defined time windows does not exceed FCC's SAR and PD limits, through <u>time-averaged power measurements</u>
- Measure conducted Tx power (for f < 6GHz) versus time, and radiated Tx power (EIRPforf> 10GHz) versus time.
- o Convert it into RF exposure and divide by respective FCC limits to get normalized exposure versus time.
- Perform running time-averaging over FCC defined time windows.
- Demonstrate that the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure is less than 1 for all transmission scenarios (i.e., transmission scenarios 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6) at all times.

#### Mathematical expression:

#### - For sub-6 transmissions only:

$$1g\_or\_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted\_Tx\_power(t)}{conducted\_Tx\_power_P_{limit}} * 1g\_or\_10gSAR\_P_{limit}$$
(1a)  

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}} \int_{t=T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g\_or\_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC SAR limit} \le 1$$
(1b)

where,  $conducted_Tx\_power(t)$ ,  $conducted_Tx\_power\_Plimit$ , and  $1g\_or\_10gSAR\_Plimit$  correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P<sub>limit</sub>, and measured 1gSAR or 10gSAR values at P<sub>limit</sub> corresponding to sub-6 transmission. Both P<sub>limit</sub> and input.power.limit are the parameters pre-defined in Part 0 and loaded via Embedded File System (EFS) onto the EUT. T<sub>SAR</sub> is the FCC defined time window for sub-6 radio.

- Demonstrate the total RF exposure averaged over FCC defined time windows does not exceed FCC's SAR and PD limits, through time-averaged SAR and PD measurements. Note as mentioned earlier, this measurement is performed for transmission scenario 1only.
- For sub-6 transmission only, measure instantaneous SAR versus time; for LTE+sub6 NR transmission, request low power (or all-down bits) on LTE so that measured SAR predominantly corresponds to sub6 NR.
- Convert it into RF exposure and divide by respective FCC limits to obtain normalized exposure versus time.
- Perform time averaging over FCC defined time window.
- Demonstrate that the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure is less than 1 for transmission scenario 1 at all times.

Mathematical expression:

$$1g_{or}_{10}gSAR(t) = \frac{PointSAR(t)}{pointSAR_{P_{limit}}} * 1g_{or}_{10}gSAR(t)_{P_{limit}}$$
(3a)  
$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_{or}_{10}gSAR(t)dt}{FCC SAR limit} \le 1$$
(3b)

h 6 transmissionanhu

where,pointSAR(t),PointSAR\_P<sub>limit</sub> and 1g\_or\_10gSAR\_P<sub>limit</sub> correspond to the measured instantaneous point SAR, measured. point SAR at P<sub>limit</sub>, and measured1gSAR or 10gSAR values at P<sub>limit</sub> corresponding to sub-6 transmission.

Note: cDASY6 measurement system by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) of Zurich, Switzerland measures relative E-field, and provides ratio of  $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$  versus time.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (14) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 5. SAR Time Averageing Validation Test configuration selection

This chapter provides the test plan and test procedure for validating Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for sub-6 transmission. The 100 seconds time window for operating f < 3GHz is used as an example to detail the test procedures in this chapter. The same test plan and test procedures described in this chapter apply to 60 seconds time window for operating f  $\geq$  3GHz.

# 5.1 Test sequence determination for validation

Following the FCC recommendation, two test sequences having time-variation in Tx power are predefined for sub-6 (f < 6 GHz) validation:

• <u>Test sequence 1</u>: request EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power, measured  $P_{max}$ , for 80s, then requesting for half of the maximum power, i.e., measured  $P_{max}/2$ , for the rest of the time.

• <u>Test sequence 2</u>: request EUT's Tx power to vary with time. This sequence is generated relative to measured P<sub>max</sub>, measured P<sub>limit</sub> and calculated P<sub>reserve</sub> (= measured P<sub>limit</sub> in dBm - Reserve\_power\_marginin dB) of EUT based on measured P<sub>limit</sub>.

The details for generating these two test sequences is described and listed in Appendix A.

NOTE: For test sequence generation, "measured P<sub>limit</sub>" and "measured P<sub>max</sub>" are used instead of the "P<sub>limit</sub>" specified in EFS entry and "P<sub>max</sub>" specified for the device, because Smart Transmit feature operates against the actual power level of the "P<sub>limit</sub>" that was calibrated for the EUT. The "measured P<sub>limit</sub>" accurately reflects what the feature is referencing to, therefore, it should be used during feature validation testing. The RF tune up and device- to-device variation are already considered in Part 0 report prior to determining P<sub>limit</sub>.

# 5.2 Test configuration selection criteria for validating Smart Transmit feature

For validating Smart Transmit feature, this section provides a general guidance to select test cases. In practice, a n adjustment can be made in test case selection. The justification/clarification may be provided.

# 5.2.1 Test configuration selection for time-varying Tx power transmission

The Smart Transmit time averaging feature operation is independent of bands, modes, and channels for a given technology. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in one band/mode/channel per technology is sufficient. Two bands per technology are proposed and selected for this testing to provide high confidence in this validation.

The criteria for the selection are based on the  $P_{limit}$  values determined in Part 0 report. Select two bands<sup>\*</sup> in each supported technology that correspond to least<sup>\*\*</sup> and highest<sup>\*\*\*</sup>  $P_{limit}$  values that are less than  $P_{max}$  for validating Smart Transmit.

\*If one  $P_{limit}$  level applies to all the bands within a technology, then only one band needs to be tested. In this case, within the bands having the same  $P_{limit}$ , the radio configuration (e.g., # of RBs, channel#) and device position that correspond to the highest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$  shown in Part 1 report is selected.

- \*\* In case of multiple bands having the same least P<sub>limit</sub> within the technology, then select the band having the highest measured 1gSAR at P<sub>limit</sub>.
- \*\*\* The band having a higher P<sub>limit</sub> needs to be properly selected so that the power limiting enforced by Smart Transmit can be validated using the pre-defined test sequences. If the highest P<sub>limit</sub> in a technolog y istoo high where the power limiting enforcement is not needed when testing with the pre-defined test sequences, then the next highest level is checked. This process is continued within the techn ology until the second band for validation testing is determined.



# 5.2.2 Test configuration selection for change in call

The criteria to select a test configuration for call-drop measurement is:

• Select technology/band with least P<sub>limit</sub> among all supported technologies/bands, and select the radio configuration (e.g., # of RBs, channel#) in this technology/band that corresponds to the highest measured 1gSAR at P<sub>limit</sub> listed in Part 1 report.

• In case of multiple bands having same least P<sub>limit</sub>, then select the band having the highest measured 1gSAR at P<sub>limit</sub> in Part 1 report.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power, the above band selection will result in Tx power enforcement (i.e., EUT forced to have Tx power at P<sub>reserve</sub>) for longest duration in one FCC defined time window. The call change (call drop/reestablish) is performed during the Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at P<sub>reserve</sub>). One test is sufficient as the feature operation is independent of technology and band.

## 5.2.3 Test configuration selection for change in technology/band

The selection criteria for this measurement is, for a given antenna, to have EUT switch from a technology/band with lowest  $P_{limit}$  within the technology group (in case of multiple bands having the same  $P_{limit}$ , then select the band with highest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$ ) to a technology/band with highest  $P_{limit}$  within the technology group, in case of multiple bands having the same  $P_{limit}$ , then select the band with lowest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$ , then select the band with lowest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$ , then select the band with lowest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$ , then select the band with lowest measured 1gSAR at  $P_{limit}$  in Part 1 report, or vice versa.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power, the technology/band switch is performed during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at P<sub>reserve</sub>).

# 5.2.4 Test configuration selection for change in antenna

The criteria to select a test configuration for antenna switch measurement is:

- Whenever possible and supported by the EUT, first select antenna switch configuration within the same technology/band (i.e., same technology and band combination).
- Then, select any technology/band that supports multiple Tx antennas, and has the highest difference in P<sub>limit</sub> among all supported antennas.
- In case of multiple bands having same difference in P<sub>limit</sub> among supported antennas, then select the band having the highest measured 1gSAR at P<sub>limit</sub> in Part 1 report.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power in selected technology/band, and antenna change is conducted during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at P<sub>reserve</sub>).

# 5.2.5 Test configuration selection for change in DSI

The criteria to select a test configuration for DSI change test is

• Select a technology/band having the  $P_{limit} < P_{max}$  within any technology and DSI group, and for the same technology/band having a different  $P_{limit}$  in any other DSI group. Note that the selected DSI transition need to be supported by the device.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power in selected technology/band, and DSI change is conducted during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at P<sub>reserve</sub>).

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (16) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 5.2.6 Test configuration selection for Time window change

FCC specifies different measurement durations for time averaging based on operating frequency. The change of operating frequency can result in change of time window for averaging, for e.g. change from 100s averaging for frequency below 3GHz to 60s averaging for frequency above 3GHz in FR1. The criteria for selecting test case to demonstrate compliance across time window change is to pick a technology/band corresponding to each time window such that Plimit is less than Pmax.

#### 5.2.7 Test configuration selection for SAR exposure switching

If supported, the test configuration for SAR exposure switching should cover

- 1. SAR exposure switch when two active radios are in the same time window.
- 2. SAR exposure switch when two active radios are in different time windows. One test with two act ive radios in any two different time windows is sufficient as Smart Transmit operation is the same for RF exposure switch in any combination of two different time windows. For device supporting L TE + mmW NR, this test is covered in SAR vs PD exposure switch validation.

The Smart Transmit time averaging operation is independent of the source of SAR exposure (for exam ple, LTE vs. Sub6 NR) and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure compliance. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in any one simultaneous SAR transmission scenario (i.e., one combination for LTE + Sub6 NR transmission) is sufficient, where the SAR exposure varies among SA<sub>Rradio1</sub> only, SAR<sub>radio1</sub> + S A<sub>Rradio2</sub>, and SAR<sub>radio2</sub> only scenarios.

The criteria to select a test configuration for validating Smart Transmit feature during SAR exposure switching scenarios is

- Select any two < 6GHz technologies/bands that the EUT supports simultaneous transmission (for example, LTE+Sub6NR).
- Among all supported simultaneous transmission configurations, the selection order is
  - 1. select one configuration where both P<sub>limit</sub> of radio1 and radio2 is less than their corresponding P<sub>max</sub>, preferably, with different P<sub>limits</sub>. If this configuration is not available, then,
  - 2. select one configuration that has P<sub>limit</sub> less than its P<sub>max</sub> for at least one radio. If this cannot be found, then,
  - 3. select one configuration that has P<sub>limit</sub> of radio1 and radio2 greater than P<sub>max</sub> but with least (P<sub>limit</sub> P<sub>max</sub>) delta.

Test for one simultaneous transmission scenario is sufficient as the feature operation is the same.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr



KCTL

# 6 Test procedures description

## 6.1 Test procedures for conducted power measurements

This section provides general conducted power measurement procedures to perform compliance test un der dynamic transmission scenarios described in Section 4. In practice, an adjustment can be made in these procedures. The justification/clarification may be provided.

#### 6.1.1 Time-varying Tx power transmission scenario

This test is performed with the two pre-defined test sequences described in Section 5.1 for all the tec hnologies and bands selected in Section 5.2.1. The purpose of the test is to demonstrate the effective ness of power limiting enforcement and that the time-averaged SAR (corresponding time-averaged Tx p ower) does not exceed the FCC limit at all times (see Eq. (1a) and (1b)).

#### Test procedure

- 1. Measure P<sub>max</sub>, measure P<sub>limit</sub> and calculate Preserve (= measured P<sub>limit</sub> in dBm Reserve\_power\_margin in dB) and follow Section 5.1 to generate the test sequences for all the technol
- Reserve\_power\_margin in dB) and follow Section 5.1 to generate the test sequences for all the technol ogies and bands selected in Section 5.2.1. Both test sequence 1 and test sequence 2 are created bas ed on measured  $P_{max}$  and measured  $P_{limit}$  of the EUT. Test condition to measure  $P_{max}$  and  $P_{limit}$  is:
- Measure P<sub>max</sub> with Smart Transmit disabled and callbox set to request maximumpower.
- Measure P<sub>limit</sub> with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and Reserve\_power\_marginset to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
- 2. Set Reserve\_power\_margin to actual (intended) value (3dB for this EUT based on Part 1 report) and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit, establish radio link in desired radio configuration, with callbox requesting the EUT's Tx power to be at pre-defined test sequence 1, measure and record Tx p ower versus time, and then convert the conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value (see Eq.(1 a)) using measured P<sub>limit</sub> from above Step 1. Perform running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time as illustrated in Figure 5-1 where using 10 0-seconds time window as an example.

**NOTE**: In Eq.(1a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P<sub>limit</sub> for the corresponding technology/ban d/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

**NOTE**: For an easier computation of the running time average, 0 dBm can be added at the beginning of the test sequences the length of the responding time window, for example, add 0dBm for 100-second s so the running time average can be directly performed starting with the first 100-seconds data using excel spreadsheet. This technique applies to all tests performed in this Part 2 report for easier time-averaged computation using excel spreadsheet.

**KCTL** 



Figure 5-1 100s running average illustration

- 3. Make one plot containing:
  - a. Instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step2,
  - b. Requested Tx power used in Step 2 (test sequence1),
  - c. Computed time-averaged power versus time determined in Step2,
  - d. Time-averaged power limit (corresponding to FCC SAR limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0W/kg for 10gSAR) given by

Time averaged power limit = meas. 
$$P_{limit} + 10 \times \log\left(\frac{\text{FCC SAR limit}}{\text{mass SAR Plimit}}\right)$$
 (5a)

where *meas*. *Plimit* and *meas*. *SAR\_Plimit* correspond to measured power at Plimit and measured SAR at Plimit.

- 4. Make another plot containing:
  - a. Computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step2
  - b. FCC1gSARlimit of 1.6W/kg or FCC 10gSAR of 4.0W/kg.
- 5. Repeat Steps 2 ~ 4 for pre-defined test sequence 2 and replace the requested Tx power (test se quence 1) in Step 2 with test sequence2.
- 6. Repeat Steps 2 ~ 5 for all the selected technologies and bands.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged power versus time shown in Step 3 plot shall not exceed the time-averaged power limit (defined in Eq. (5a)), in turn, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shown in Step 4 plot shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (1b)).

# 6.1.2 Change in call scenario

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature accurately accounts for the past Tx powers during timeaveraging when a new call is established.

The call disconnect and re-establishment needs to be performed during power limit enforcement, i.e., when the EUT's Tx power is at Preserve level, to demonstrate the continuity of RF exposure management and limiting in call change scenario. In other words, the RF exposure averaged over any FCC defined time window (including the time windows containing the call change) doesn't exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 1gSAR.

#### Test procedure

- 1. Measure P<sub>limit</sub> for the technology/band selected in Section 5.2.2. Measure P<sub>limit</sub> with Smart Transmit enabled and Reserve\_power\_marginset to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
- 2. Set Reserve\_power\_marginto actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit.
- 3. Establish radio link with callbox in the selected technology/band.
- 4. Request EUT's Tx power at 0 dBm for at least one time window specified for the selected technology/band, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~60 seconds, and then drop the call for ~10 seconds. Afterwards, re-establish another call in the same radio configuration (i.e., same technology/band/channel) and continue callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for the remaining time of at least another full duration of the specified time window. Measure and record Tx power versus time. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time, convert the measured conducted Tx power into 1g SAR or 10g SAR value using Eq. (1a), and then perform the running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1g SAR or 10g SAR versus time.

**NOTE:** In Eq.(1a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P<sub>limit</sub> for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

- 5. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time, (b) requested power, (c) computed timeaveraged power, (d) time-averaged power limit calculated using Eq.(5a).
- 6. Make another plot containing: (a) computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time, and (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for10gSAR.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged power versus time shall not exceed the time-averaged power limit (defined in Eq.(5a)), in turn, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (1b)).

# 6.1.3 Change in technology and band

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during technology switches and/or band handovers.

Similar to the change in call test in Section 6.1.2, to validate the continuity of RF exposure limiting during the transition, the technology and band handover needs to be performed when EUT's Tx power is at  $P_{reserve}$  level (i.e., during Tx power enforcement) to make sure that the EUT's Tx power from previous  $P_{reserve}$  level to the new *Preserve* level (corresponding to new technology/band). Since the P<sub>limit</sub> could vary with technology and band, Eq. (1a) can be written as follows to convert the instantaneous Tx power in 1gSAR or 10gSAR exposure for the two given radios, respectively:

 $1g_{or_{1}0gSAR(t)} = \frac{conducted_{Tx_power_{1(t)}}}{conducted_{Tx_power_{P_{limit_{1}}}}} * 1g_{or_{1}0gSAR_{P_{limit_{1}}}}$ (6a)

 $1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power_2(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_2}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit_2}$ (6b)

 $\frac{1}{T_{SAR}} \left[ \int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} \frac{1g\_or\_10gSAR_1(t)}{FCC SAR \ limit} dt + \int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} \frac{1g\_or\_10gSAR_2(t)}{FCC SAR \ limit} dt \right] \le 1$ (6c)

where,  $conducted_Tx_power_1(t)$ ,  $conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_1}$ , and  $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit_1}$  correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P<sub>limit</sub>, and measured 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P<sub>limit</sub> of technology1/band1;  $conducted_Tx_power_2(t)$ ,

conducted\_Tx\_power\_ $P_{limit}_2(t)$ , and 1g\_or\_10gSAR\_ $P_{limit}_2$  correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at  $P_{limit}$ , and measured 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at  $P_{limit}$  of technology2/band2. Transition from technology1/band1 to the technology2/band2 happens at time-instant 't1'.

#### Test procedure

- Measure P<sub>limit</sub> for both the technologies and bands selected in Section 5.2.3. Measure P<sub>limit</sub> with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve\_power\_margin*set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
- 2. Set *Reserve\_power\_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit
- 3. Establish radio link with callbox in first technology/band selected.
- 4. Request EUT's Tx power at 0 dBm for at least one time window specified for the selected technology/band, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~60 seconds, and then switch to second technology/band selected. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for the remaining time of at least another full duration of the specified time window. Measure and record Tx power versus time for the full duration of the test.
- 5. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time, and convert the conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using Eq. (6a) and (6b) and corresponding measured P<sub>limit</sub> values from Step 1 of this section. Perform the running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.
  NOTE: In Eq. (6a) % (6b) instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR.

**NOTE:** In Eq.(6a) & (6b), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P<sub>limit</sub> for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

- 6. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time, (b) requested power, (c) computed time-averaged power, (d) time-averaged power limit calculated using Eq.(5a).
- 7. Make another plot containing: (a) computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time, and (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for10gSAR

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (6c)).

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (21) of (81)

KCTL

# 6.1.4 Change in antenna

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during antenna switches from one antenna to another. The test procedure is identical to Section 6.1.3, by replacing technology/band switch operation with antenna switch. The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

# 6.1.5 Change in DSI

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during DSI switches from one DSI to another. The test procedure is identical to Section 6.1.3, by replacing technology/band switch operation with DSI switch. The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

# 6.1.6 Time window switch

This test is to demonstrate that the correct power control by Smart Transmit during change of consequently time window as necessary during handover scenarios.

Since both Plimit and window duration can change across bands, we have to use separate equations below for converting Tx power to SAR as well as apply some combined SAR exposure criteria as shown below.

 $SAR_{1}(t) = \frac{TxPower_{1}(t)}{P_{limit.1.FR1}} * SAR_design_target_{1}$  $SAR_{2}(t) = \frac{TxPower_{2}(t)}{P_{limit.2.FR1}} * SAR_design_target_{2}$ 

where Plimit, 1, FR1 would correspond to measured power at which first technology/band meets measured SAR level of  $SAR\_design\_target1$  as described in Table 6.2.1 with time-averaging duration of T1, SAR. Similarly, the quantities Plimit, 2, FR1,  $SAR\_design\_target2$ , T2, SAR are defined for the second technology/band. When first band is chosen below 3GHz, we would have T1, SAR = 100s, and by choosing second band to be above 3GHz, we would use T2, SAR = 60s. On the other hand, when first band is chosen above 3GHz and second band below 3GHz, we would use T1, SAR = 60s and T1, SAR = 100s.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr



#### Test procedure for switching from 100s to 60s and vice-versa

- 1. Establish radio connection of DUT with call box e.g. using LTE technology in band A (e.g B2) which has 100s averaging duration.
- 2. Configure call box to set DUT Tx power to a low value of -10dBm for 160s.
- 3. Configure call box to send "ALL UP" power control commands and continue LTE transmission From DUT so that maximum power of Pmax is achieved. Continue transmission at the maximum power for at least 140s.
- 4. Change band from band A (B2) to another LTE band B (e.g. B48), which should correspond to a change in averaging duration from 100s to 60s. Continue call in band B with call box requesting maximum power for at least 200s.
- 5. Change band from band B(B48) back to the first band A(B2) and continue call at maximum power for at least 120s.
- 6. Release LTE connection.
- 7. After the completion of the test, prepare one plot with the following information for each band (a) Instantaneous Tx power versus time (b) Time-averaged power for each band according to their averaging duration and (c) Plimit corresponding to each band.
- 8. Make a second plot containing the following information (a) Computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time for each band (b) Sum of time-averaged SAR computed according to Eqn (3.3.3.1) and (3.3.3.2), and (c) FCC 1gSAR limit of 1.6W/kg.

Pass condition is to demonstrate total time-averaged 1gSAR value versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg throughout the test duration. It is required to check if power limiting enforcement is operated as expected when band change occurs in-between.

#### Test procedure for switching from 60s to 100s and vice-versa :

- 1. Establish radio connection of DUT with call box e.g. using LTE technology in band B (B48) which has 60s averaging duration.
- 2. Configure call box to set DUT Tx power to a low value of -10dBm for 160s.
- 3. Configure call box to send "ALL UP" power control commands and continue LTE transmission from DUT so that maximum power of Pmax is achieved. Continue transmission at the maximum power for at least 140s.
- 4. Change band from band B (B48) to another LTE band A (B2), which should correspond to a change in averaging duration from 60s to 100s. Continue call in band A with call box requesting maximum power for at least 120s
- 5. Change band from band A(B2) back to the first band B(B48) and continue call at maximum power for at least 180s.
- 6. Release LTE connection
- 7. After the completion of the test, prepare one plot with the following information for each band (a) Instantaneous Tx power versus time (b) Time-averaged power for each band according to their averaging duration and (c) Plimit corresponding to each band
- 8. Make a second plot containing the following information (a) Computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time for each band (b) Sum of time-averaged SAR computed according to Eqn (3.3.3.1) and (3.3.3.2), and (c) FCC 1gSAR limit of 1.6W/kg.

Pass condition is to demonstrate total time-averaged 1gSAR value versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg throughout the test duration. It is required to check if power limiting enforcement is operated as expected when band change occurs in-between.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (23) of (81)

# 6.1.7 SAR exposure switching

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature is accurately accounts for switching in exposures among SAR from radio1 only, SAR from both radio1 and radio2, and SAR from radio2 only scenarios, and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure complies with the FCC limit. The detailed test procedure for SAR exposure switching in the case of LTE+Sub6 NR non- standalone mode transmission scenario is provided in Appendix B.2.

#### Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P<sub>limit</sub> for radio1 and radio2 in selected band. Test condition to measure conducted P<sub>limit</sub> is:

- Establish device in call with the callbox for radio1 technology/band. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to radio1 P<sub>limit</sub> with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and Reserve\_power\_margin set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.

- Repeat above step to measure conducted Tx power corresponding to radio2 Plimit. If radio2 is dependent on radio1 (for example, non-standalone mode of Sub6 NR requiring radio1 LTE as anchor), then establish radio1 + radio2 call with callbox, and request all down bits for radio1 LTE. In this scenario, with callbox requesting maximum power from radio2 Sub6 NR, measured conducted Tx power corresponds to radio2 Plimit (as radio1 LTE is at all-down bits)

- 2. Set Reserve\_power\_margin to actual (intended) value, with EUT setup for radio1 + radio2 call. In this description, it is assumed that radio2 has lower priority than radio1. Establish device in radio1+radio2 call, and request all-down bits or low power on radio1, with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power in radio2 for at least one time window. After one time window, set callbox to request EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power on radio1, i.e., all-up bits. Continue radio1+radio2 call with both radios at maximum power for at least one time window, and drop (or request all-down bits on) radio2. Continue radio1 at maximum power for at least one time window. Record the conducted Tx power for both radio1 and radio2 for the entire duration of this test.
- 3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both radio1 and radio2 links. Convert the conducted Tx power for both these radios into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value (see Eq. (6a) and (6b)) using corresponding technology/band Plimit measured in Step 1, and then perform the running time average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.
- 4. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step2.
- 5. Make another plot containing: (a) instantaneous 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, and (c) corresponding regulatory 1gSARlimit of 1.6W/kg or 10gSARlimit of4.0W/kg.

The validation criteria is, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the regulatory *1gSARlimit* of 1.6W/kg or *10gSARlimit* of 4.0W/kg.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (24) of (81)



# 6.2 Test procedure for time-varying SAR measurements

This section provides general time-varying SAR measurement procedures to perform compliance test under dynamic transmission scenarios described in Section 4. In practice, an adjustment can be made in these procedures. The justification/clarification may be provided.

To perform the validation through SAR measurement for transmission scenario 1 described in Section 4, the "path loss" between callbox antenna and EUT needs to be calibrated to ensure that the EUT Tx power reacts to the requested power from callbox in a radiated call. It should be noted that when signaling in closed loop mode, protocol-level power control is in play, resulting in EUT not solely following callbox TPC (Tx power control) commands. In other words, EUT response has many dependencies (RSSI, quality of signal, path loss variation, fading, etc.,) other than just TPC commands. These dependencies have less impact in conducted setup (as it is a controlled environment and the path loss can be very well calibrated) but have significant impact on radiated testing in an uncontrolled environment, such as SAR test setup. Therefore, the deviation in EUT Tx power from callbox requested power is expected, however the time- averaged SAR should not exceed FCC SAR requirement at all times as Smart Transmit controls Tx power at EUT.

#### The following steps are for time averaging feature validation through SAR measurement:

- 1. "Path Loss" calibration: Place the EUT against the phantom in the worst-case position determined based on Section 5.2.1. For each band selected, prior to SAR measurement, perform "path loss" calibration between callbox antenna and EUT. Since the SAR test environment is not controlled and well calibrated for OTA (Over the Air) test, extreme care needs to be taken to avoid the influence from reflections. The test setup is described in Section 7.1.
- 2. Time averaging feature validation:
- i. For a given radio configuration (technology/band) selected in Section 5.2.1, enable Smart Transmit and set Reserve\_power\_margin to 0 dB, with callbox to request maximum power, perform area scan, conduct point SAR measurement at peak location of the area scan. This point SAR value, *point SAR\_* Plimit, corresponds to point SAR at the measured Plimit (i.e., measured Plimit from the EUT in Step 1 of Section 6.1.1).
- ii. Set *Reserve\_power\_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit. *Note*, if Reserve\_power\_margin cannot be set wirelessly, care must be taken to re-position the EUT in the *exact* same position relative to the SAM phantom as in above Step 2.i. Establish radio link in desired radio configuration, with callbox requesting the EUT's Tx power at power levels described by test sequence 1 generated in Step 1 of Section 6.1.1, conduct point SAR measurement versus time at peak location of the area scan determined in Step 2.i of this section. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous point SAR vs time data, *point SAR(t)*, and convert it into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR vs. time using Eq. (3a), re-written below:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{PointSAR(t)}{pointSAR_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_{limit}$$

where, pointSAR\_ P<sub>limit</sub> is the value determined in Step 2.i, and pointSAR(t) is the instantaneous point SAR measured in Step 2.ii,1g-or10gSAR\_P<sub>limit</sub> is the measured1gSAR or 10gSAR value listed in Part 1 report.

- iii. Perform 100s running average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.
- iv. Make one plot containing: (a) time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 2.iii of this section, (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.
- v. Repeat 2.ii ~ 2.iv for test sequence 2 generated in Step 1 of Section 6.1.1.
- vi. Repeat 2.i ~ 2.v for all the technologies and bands selected in Section 5.2.1.

The time-averaging validation criteria for SAR measurement is that, at all times, the time- averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq.(3b)).

# 6.3 Time-Averaging Algorithm for RF Exposure Compliance

This Device is enabled with the Qualcomm® Smart Transmit Gen2 feature.

This feature performs time averaging algorithm in real time to control and manage transmitting power and ensure the time-averaged RF exposure is in compliance with FCC requirements all the time.

Note that WLAN operations are not enabled with Smart Transmit.

The Smart Transmit algorithm maintains the time-averaged transmit power, in turn, time-averaged RF exposure of SAR\_design\_target, below the predefined time-averaged power limit (i.e., Plimit for sub-6 radio), for each characterized technology and band.

Smart Transmit allows the device to transmit at higher power instantaneously, as high as  $P_{max}$ , when needed, but enforces power limiting to maintain time-averaged transmit power to  $P_{limit}$ 

Below table shows P<sub>limit</sub> EFS settings and maximum tune up output power P<sub>max</sub> configured for this EUT for various transmit conditions (Device State Index DSI).

Note that the device uncertainty for sub-6GHz WWAN is +1.0dB/-1.5dB for this EUT.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (26) of (81)



# 7. Test Configurations

# 7.1 WWAN (sub-6) transmission

The P<sub>limit</sub> values, corresponding to 1.0 W/kg (1gSAR) of SAR\_design\_target, for technologies and bands supported by EUT are derived in Part 0 report and summarized in Table 7-1.

#### Table 7-1

#### Plimit for supported technologies and bands (Plimit in EFS file)

P <sub>limit</sub> values in blue indi	cate P <sub>limit</sub> < P <sub>max</sub>	Plim values in grey indicate <i>P<sub>limit</sub> &gt; P<sub>max</sub></i>			
P <sub>limit</sub> col	rresponding to 1 W/kg(1g) S/	AR_Design_target			
		Tabl	₽ <sub>max</sub>		
SAR Exposure	Position	Body Max Power Free State	Body Grip sensor On Back-off Power	Maximum Tune-up Output power	
Averaging Vo	blume	1g	1g	(Frame Averaged Power)	
Separation Di	stance	19, 18, <mark>16, 15,11 mm</mark>	0 mm	[dBm]	
Mode	Band	DSI = 0	DSI = 1		
850	GSM/GPRS/EDGE (2TX)	<mark>35.0</mark>	-	31.0	
850	GSM/GPRS/EDGE (4TX)	-	18.0	27.0	
1000	GSM/GPRS/EDGE (2TX)	31.2	-	28.0	
1900	GSM/GPRS/EDGE (4TX)	-	14.0	24.0	
11	UMTS	23.6	11.0	23.0	
IV	UMTS	25.4	13.0	24.0	
V	UMTS	27.4	14.0	24.0	
0	LTE FDD (Main)	-	-	23.0	
2	LTE FDD (Sub)	22.4	12.5	22.0	
	LTE FDD (Main)	-	-	24.0	
4	LTE FDD (Sub)	-	-	22.0	
5	LTE FDD	26.8	15.0	24.0	
12	LTE FDD	30.1	16.0	24.0	
13	LTE FDD	28.0	14.0	24.0	
17	LTE FDD	-	-	24.0	
25	LTE FDD	23.9	11.5	23.0	
26	LTE FDD	27.1	16.0	23.0	
41	LTE TDD	28.8	13.5	24.0	
20	LTE FDD (Main)	26.2	12.5	24.0	
66	LTE FDD (Sub)	26.9	13.0	22.0	
n5	NR FDD	26.9	16.0	23.0	
n26	NR FDD	27.8	16.0	23.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 1)	27.8	10.0	24.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 2)	14.8	-	15.0	
n41	*NR TDD (SRS 3)	12.9	-	14.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 4)	17.6	-	17.0	
n66	NR FDD	25.9	12.5	24.0	
n71	NR FDD	29.9	16.0	24.0	
	*NR TDD (PC2)	22.1	9.5	25.0	
n//	*NR TDD (PC3)	18.0	-	24.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 1) (PC2)	19.0	9.5	25.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 2) (PC2)	19.9	-	21.5	
	*NR TDD (SRS 3) (PC2)	10.7	-	18.5	
~79	*NR TDD (SRS 4) (PC2)	13.9	-	19.0	
1170	*NR TDD (SRS 1) (PC3)	18.0	9.5	24.0	
	*NR TDD (SRS 2) (PC3)	15.5	-	21.5	
	*NR TDD (SRS 3) (PC3)	10.5	-	18.5	
	*NR TDD (SRS 4) (PC3)	13.0	-	19.0	

**Note:** \*NR TDD Band n41, n77, n78 are tested with FTM mode Tune-up Power, in Part 2 Tas Validation, these bands were tested with signaling conducted power, i.e, FTM tune-up power with signaling duty cycle correction.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (27) of (81)



Maximum Tune-up Target Power,  $P_{max}$  is configured in NV settings in DUT to limit maximum average transmitting power. The DUT maximum allowed output power is equal to  $P_{max} + 1.0$  dB device uncertainty. Based on selection criteria described in Section 5.2.1, the selected technologies/bands for testing time-varying test sequences are highlighted in Table 7-1. During Part 2 testing, the Reserve\_power\_margin (dB) is set to 3dB in EFS according to the manufacturer guide.

The radio configurations used in Part 2 test for selected technologies, bands, DSIs and antennas are listed in Table 7-2. The corresponding worst-case radio configuration 1gSAR values for selected technology/band/DSI are extracted from Part 1 report and are listed in the last column of Table 7-2.

Based on equations (1a), (2a), (3a) and (4a), it is clear that Part 2 testing outcome is normalized quantity, which implies that it can be applied to any radio configuration within a selected technology/band/DSI. Thus, as long as applying the worst-case SAR obtained from the worst radio configuration in Part 1 testing to calculate time-varying SAR exposure in equations (1a), (2a), (3a) and (4a), the accuracy in compliance demonstration remains the same. Therefore, there may be some differences between the radio configuration selected for Part 2 testing and the radio configuration associated with worst-case SAR obtained in the Part 1 evaluation.

#### Note:

In the case of marked as N/A (LTE Band 2, 4, 17), which frequency overlapping band with other bands. NR TDD Band (PC3) are shared a tune-up with PC2, so the test was omitted.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (28) of (81)

# 7.2 Test Radio Configurations

The measured Plimit for all the selected radio configurations given in Table 1-3 are listed in below Table 7-2.

P<sub>max</sub> was also measured for radio configurations selected for testing time-varying Tx power transmission scenarios in order to generate test sequences following the test procedures.

#### Table 7-2

TC#	Test Scenario	Tech	Band	Ant.	DSI	Frequency [MHz]	RB/RB Offset/Bandwidth [MHz]	Mode	SAR Exposure Scenario	Part 1 Worst Case Measured SAR at Plimit (W/kg)
1		GSM	850	Main.1	1	836.6	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.182
			1900	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.539
2	Time varving Tx	WCDMA	B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top 0.620	
-	power case		B4	Main.1	1	1 732.4	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.639
з	(Test Sequence 1)	ITE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	<b>Q</b> PSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604
0	Ocquerice. ()		B41	Main.2	1	2 593.0	50 / 0 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.625
4		ED1	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S-OF <mark>DM_QPSK</mark>	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611
4		T IXI	n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S-OFD <mark>M_QPSK</mark>	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.875
F		CSM	850	Main.1	1	836.6	N/A	GPRS <mark>4TX</mark>	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.182
5		GOW	19 <mark>00</mark>	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.539
0	Time vening Tv		B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.620
6	power case	WCDMA	B4	Main.1	1	1 732.4	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.639
7	(Test	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604
1	Sequence.2)		B41	Main.2	1	2 593.0	50 / 0 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.625
•		554	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611
8		FRI	n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.875
9	Change in call (Disconnect-Re- establishment)	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604
10	Tech/Band/Ant	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 2 <mark>4 / 20</mark>	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604
10	switch	WCDMA	B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.620
4.4	DCI Switch	LTC.	B25	Main.1	0	1 905.0	1/0/20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip Off_Top	0.775
	DSI Switch	LIE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / <mark>24 / 20</mark>	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604
40	Time Window	504	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 10 <mark>8 / 40</mark>	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611
12	(100-60-100)	FRI	n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.875
40	Time Window		n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.875
13	Switch (60-100-60)	FK1	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611
	SAR1 vs	LTE	B2	Sub.1	1	1 900.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.536
14	SAR2	FR1	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S-OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611

#### Measured $\mathsf{P}_{\text{limit}} \, \text{and} \, \mathsf{P}_{\text{max}} \, \text{of selected radio configurations}$

Notes:

Above guide are refer to Qualcomm guidance (Section.K in Qualcomm document\_80-W2115-5).

Reported SAR values in Part 1 SAR report are tested at *Plimit* + tolerance. Therefore, 100s(or 60s) average SAR is shown to be  $\pm$  1.0 dB from SAR design target.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (29) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# Table 7-3

## DSI and Corresponding Exposure Scenarios

Exposure Scenario (DSI = No.)	Description	KDB guide for SAR test
Standalone exposure Without triggering sensor (DSI = 0)	<ul> <li>Grip sensor is not triggered even if</li> <li>Device was touched to user's body or</li> <li>hands.</li> <li>Grip sensor is not triggered due to</li> <li>triggering distance.</li> </ul>	KDB 616217 D04
Standalone exposure With triggering sensor (DSI = 1)	Grip sensor is triggered, when Device was touched to user's body or hands.	KDB 616217 D04

Note: that the EUT has a proximity sensor to manage extremity exposure, which is represented using DSI = 1; Grip sensor is triggered, when Device was touched to user's body or hands. and is managed as the same exposure condition as extremity exposure at 0 mm; DSI = 0 represents all other exposures which cannot be distinguished, thus, in this case, the maximum 1gSAR among all remaining exposure scenarios or the minimum  $P_{limit}$  among all remaining exposure scenarios (i.e., body worn 1gSAR evaluation at 0mm spacing, body worn 1gSAR extremity evaluation at 13mm spacing, body worn 1gSAR extremity evaluation at 13, 17mm spacing for rear surfaces) is used in Smart Transmit feature for time averaging operation.

Reported SAR values in Part 1 SAR report are tested at Plimit + tolerance. Therefore, 100s(or 60s) average SAR is shown to be ± 1.0 dB from SAR design target.

Based on the selection criteria described in Section 5.2, the radio configurations for the Tx varying transmission test cases listed in Section 6 are:

- 1. <u>Technologies and bands for time-varying Tx power transmission:</u> The test case 1~3 listed in Table 7-1 are selected to test with the test sequences defined in Section 6.1.1 in both time- varying conducted power measurement and time-varying SAR measurement.
- 2. <u>Technology and band for change in call test</u>: WCDMA B4 (test case 5 in Table 7-2) / WCDMA B4 having the lowest *Plimit* among all technologies and bands is selected for performing the call drop test in conducted power setup.
- Technologies and bands for change in Ant/technology/band test: Following the guidelines in Section 5.2.3 and 5.2.4, test case 5 in Table 7-2 is selected for handover test from a technology/band/antenna with lowest *P<sub>limit</sub>* / within one technology group (WCDMA B5, Main1 Ant, DSI=1), to a technology/band in the same DSI with lowest *P<sub>limit</sub>* within another technology group (LTE B66, Main2 Ant, DSI=1) in conducted power setup.
- 4. <u>Technologies and bands for change in DSI</u>: Based on selection criteria in Section 5.2.5, for a given technology and band,test case 6 in Table7-2 is selected for DSI switch test by establishing a call in WCDMA B4 in Grip Sensor On (i.e., DSI=1), and then handing over to DSI = 0 with Grip Sensor Off scenario in conducted power setup.
- <u>Technologies and bands for switch in SAR exposure</u>: Based on selection criteria in Section
   5.2.7 Scenario 1, test case 7 in Table 7-2 is selected for SAR exposure switching test in one of the supported simultaneous WWAN transmission scenario, i.e., LTE + Sub6 NR active in the same 100s time window, in conducted power setup.

Note: Some parts of switching and EN-DC test cases (#5, #7) were done with modes/bands within the different antenna group, and test cases (#6) were done with modes/bands within the same antenna group.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (30) of (81)



# 8. Time-varying Tx power measurement for below 6GHz frequency

# 8.1 Conducted Measurement Test setup

## Legacy Test Setup

The Rohde & Schwarz CMW500 callbox is used in this test. The test setup picture and schematic are shown in Figures 8-2a for measurements with a single antenna of EUT. And in Figures 8-2b for measurements involving antenna switch.

For single antenna measurement, one port (RF1 COM) of the callbox is connected to the RF port of the EUT using a directional coupler. For antenna & technology / technology/band switch measurement, one port (RF1 COM) of the callbox used for signaling two different technologies are connected to a combiner or splitter, which is in turn connected to a directional coupler. The other end of the directional coupler is connected to a splitter to connect to two RF ports of the EUT corresponding to the two antennas of interest. In the setups, power meter is used to tap the directional coupler for measuring the conducted output power of the EUT. For all legacy conducted tests, only RF1 COM port of the callbox is used to communicate with the EUT.

Note that for this EUT, antenna switch test is included within technology/band switch test as the selected technology/band combinations for the technology/band switch are on two different antennas.

All the path losses from RF port of EUT to the callbox RF COM port and to the power meter are calibrated and automatically entered as offsets in the callbox and the power meter via test scripts on the PC used to control callbox and power meter.

#### LTE+Sub6 NR test setup:

The MT8000A, MT8821C callbox were used in this test. The test setup schematic is the same as the Legacy Test Setup shown in Figure 8-2a. Each port of the callbox is connected to the RF port of the DUT using a directional coupler. In the setup, the power meter is used to tap the directional coupler for measuring the conducted output power of the DUT.

Note: on this EUT, LTE conducted port and Sub6 NR conducted port are separated on test setup. each ports are connected via directional coupler separately, as shown in below Figures 8-2c.

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (31) of (81)



KCTL

WCDMA / LTE test setup using The Rohde & Schwarz CMW500 callbox The Rohde & Schwarz CMW500 callbox is used in this test.

# Table 8-1a: Conducted measurement test setup (legacy)

Test setup Schematic	Test item(s)	Description(s)	Test setup photo
Figure 8-2(a)	Time-varying Tx power transmission test (section 6.1.1)	Cingle entenne messurmente	A.1
	Change in DSI test (Section 6.1.5)	one port (RF1 COM) of callbox	
	Change in Call test (Section 6.1.2)		
Figure 8-2(b)	Change in technology and band test (Section 6.1.3)	Separate antenna measurments.	A.2
	Change in antenna (Section 6.1.4)	one port (RF1 COM) of callbox	

LTE + Sub6 NR(NSA mode) test setup using The MT8000A, MT8821C callbox The MT8000A, MT8821C callbox are used in this test.

# Table 8-1b: Conducted measurement test setup (LTE + Sub6 NR NSA)

Test setup Schematic	Test item(s)	Description(s)	Test setup photo
Figure 8-2(c)	Time-varying Tx power transmission test (section 6.1.1) -SA mode-	Single tech measurments, one port (RF1) of callbox	A.3
Figure 8-2(d)	Time Window Switch test (section 6.1.6) -SA mode-	Separate antenna measurments, one port (RF1) of callbox	A.4
Figure 8-2(e)	SAR exposure switch test (Section 6.1.7) -NSA Mode-	two different tech measurments, two port (RF1 & RF8 COM) of callbox	A.5

All the path losses from RF port of DUT to the callbox RF COM port and to the power meter are calibrated and automatically entered as offsets in the callbox and the power meter via test scripts on the PC used to control callbox and power meter.

Setup photos of Test setup Schematic are list in Appendix A.



8-2(c)

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval KCTL-TIA002-004/6(220705) KP24-07629





Figure 8-1 Conducted power measurement setup

KCTL

🛟 eurofins

Both the callbox and power meter are connected to the PC using LAN / USB cables.

Two test scripts are custom made for automation, and the test duration set in the test scripts is 500 seconds.

For time-varying Tx power measurement, the PC runs the 1st test script to send LAN commands to control the callbox's requested power versus time, while at the same time to record the conducted power measured at EUT RF port using the power meter. The commands sent to the callbox to request power are:

- 0dBm for 100 seconds
- test sequence 1 or test sequence 2 (defined in Section 5.1 and generated in Section 6.5.1), for 360 seconds
- stay at the last power level of test sequence 1 or test sequence 2 for the remaining time.

Power meter readings are periodically recorded every 100ms. A running average of this measured Tx power over 100 seconds is performed in the post-data processing to determine the 100s-time averaged power.

For call drop, technology/band/antenna switch, and DSI switch tests, after the call is established, the callbox is set to request the EUT's Tx power at 0dBm for 100 seconds while simultaneously starting the 2<sup>rd</sup> test script runs at the same time to start recording the Tx power measured at EUT RF port using the power meter. After the initial 100 seconds since starting the Tx power recording, the callbox is set to request maximum power from the EUT for the rest of the test.

Note that the technology/band/antenna switch or DSI switch is manually performed when the Tx power of EUT is at *P*<sub>reserve</sub> level.

See Section 6.1 for detailed technology/band/antenna switch test and DSI switch test.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (35) of (81)



**KCTL** 

## 8.2 Plimit and Pmax measurement results

This measured Plimit for all the selected radio configurations given in Table 7-2 are listed in below Table 8-1. Pmax was also measured for radio configurations selected for testing time-varying Tx power transmission scenarios in order to generate test sequences following the test procedures in Section 6.1.

#### Table 8-1 : Measured Plimit and Pmax of selected radio configurations

Note: the device uncertainty of  $P_{max}$  is +1.0dB/-1.5dB as provided by manufacturer.

TC #	Test Scenario	Tech	Band	Ant.	DSI	Frequncy [MHz]	RB/ RB Offset/ Bandwidth [MHz]	Mode	SAR Exposure Scenario	Part 1 Worst Case Measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (W/kg)	EFS Plimit Setting [dBm]	Pmax Setting [dBm]	Measured Plimit [dBm]	Measured Pmax (dBm)
			850	Main.1	1	836.6	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.182	18.0	27.0	17.32	26.82
1		GSM	1900	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.539	14.0	24.0	13.47	24.20
_		WCDMA	B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.620	11.0	23.0	11.61	23.09
2	Time varying Tx		B4	Main.1	1	1 732.4	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.639	13.0	24.0	13.21	24.01
	power case (Test Sequence.1)	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604	11.5	23.0	11.50	22.84
3			B41	Main.2	1	2 593.0	50 / 0 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.625	13.5	24.0	14.01	23.62
			n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S- OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611	12.5	24.0	13.17	24.14
4		FKI	n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S- OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm <mark>/Grip</mark> ON_Rear	0.875	9.5	25.0	9.79	25.99
_		0014	8 <mark>50</mark>	Main.1	1	836.6	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip <mark>ON_Top</mark>	0.182	18.0	27.0	17.32	26.82
5		GSM	1900	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	GPRS 4TX	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.539	14.0	24.0	13.47	24.20
			B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.620	11.0	23.0	11.61	23.09
6	Time varying Tx	WCDMA	B4	Main.1	1	1 732.4	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.639	13.0	24.0	13.21	24.01
pow S	power case (Test Sequence.2)	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604	11.5	23.0	11.50	22.84
7	- 1/		B41	Main.2	1	2 593.0	50 / 0 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.625	13.5	24.0	14.01	23.62
		FR1	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S- OFDM QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.611	12.5	24.0	13.17	24.14
8			n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S- OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.875	9.5	25.0	9.79	25.99
9	Change in call (Disconnect-Re- establishment)	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604	11.5	23.0	11.50	22.84
40	Tech/Band/Ant	LTE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604	11.5	23.0	11.50	22.84
10	switch	WCDMA	B2	Main.1	1	1 880.0	N/A	RMC	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.620	11.0	23.0	11.61	23.09
11	DSI Switch	ITE	B25	Main.1	0	1 905.0	1 / 0 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip Off_Top	0.775	23.0	23.0	22.84	22.84
	DSI Switch	LIE	B25	Main.1	1	1 860.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Top	0.604	11.5	23.0	11.50	22.84
10	Time Window Switch (100-60-100)	FR1 -	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S- OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611	12.5	24.0	13.17	24.14
12			n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S- OFDM QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.875	9.5	25.0	9.79	25.99
	Time Window Switch (60-100-60)		n77	Main.2	1	3 750.0	270 / 0 / 100	DFT-S- OFDM QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.875	9.5	25.0	9.79	25.99
13		FR1	n66	Main.1	1	1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S- OFDM_QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON_Rear	0.611	12.5	24.0	13.17	24.14
	SAR1 vs SAR2	LTE	B2	Sub.1	1	1 900.0	50 / 24 / 20	QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip	0.536	12.5	22.0	13.19	22.38
14		FR1	n66	Main.1		1 745.0	108 / 108 / 40	DFT-S- OFDM QPSK	1g/0mm/Grip ON Rear	0.611	12.5	24.0	13.17	24.14

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (36) of (81)

# 🔅 eurofins

KCTL

# 8.3 Time-varying Tx power Conducted measurement results

The measurement setup is shown in Figures 8-2(a), 8-2(b) and 8-2(c). The purpose of the time-varying Tx power measurement is to demonstrate the effectiveness of power limiting enforcement and that the time-averaged Tx power when represented in time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR values does not exceed FCC limit as shown in Eq. (1a) and (1b), rewritten below:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$$
(1a)

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g\_or\_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC SAR limit} \le 1$$
(1b)

where, conducted\_Tx\_Power(t), conducted\_Tx\_ $P_{limit}$ , and 1g\_or\_10g SAR\_Plimit1g\_or\_10gSAR\_ $P_{limit}$  correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at  $P_{limit}$ , and measured 1gSAR and 10gSAR values at  $P_{limit}$  reported in Part 1 test (listed in Table7-2 of this report as well).

Following the test procedure in Section 5.3, the conducted Tx power measurement for all selected configurations are reported in this section. In all the conducted Tx power plots, the dotted line represents the requested power by callbox (test sequence 1 or test sequence 2), the blue curve represents the instantaneous conducted Tx power measured using power meter, the green curve represents time-averaged power and red line represents the conducted power limit that corresponds to FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

Similarly, in all the 1g or 10gSAR plots (when converted using Eq. (1a)), the green curve represents the 100s time averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR value calculated based on instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR; and the red line limit represents the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

Time-varying Tx power measurements were conducted on test cases 1) ~ 3) in Table 7-2, by generating test sequence 1 and test sequence 2 given in Appendix A using measured  $P_{limit}$  and measured  $P_{max}$  for each of these test cases. Measurement results for test cases 1) ~ 3) are given in Sections 8.3.1 - 8.3.3.
Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (37) of (81)



## 8.3.1 GSM 850 (test case 1 in Table 7-2)

## **Conducted Plot No. 1**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.215 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (38) of (81)

🔅 eurofins **KCTL** 

# Conducted Plot No. 2 Test result for test sequence 2:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.224 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (39) of (81)



**KCTL** 

8.3.2 GSM 1900 (test case 1 in Table 7-2)

## **Conducted Plot No. 3**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.561 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (40) of (81)

🔅 eurofins **KCTL** 

# Conducted Plot No. 4 Test result for test sequence 2:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.576 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

#### **Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.** 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu,

TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (41) of (81)



8.3.3 WCDMA B2 (test case 2 in Table 7-2)

## **Conducted Plot No. 5**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.720 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (42) of (81)

🔅 eurofins **KCTL** 

# Conducted Plot No. 6 Test result for test sequence 2:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.724 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (43) of (81)



KCTL

# 8.3.4 WCDMA B4 (test case 2 in Table 7-2)

### **Conducted Plot No. 7**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.717 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (44) of (81)

🔅 eurofins **KCTL** 

# Conducted Plot No. 8 Test result for test sequence 2:



	1.6 VV/Kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.714 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (45) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 8.3.5 LTE Band 25 (test case 3 in Table 7-2)

#### **Conducted Plot No. 9**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.754 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (46) of (81) eurofins

Conducted Plot No. 10 Test result for test sequence 2:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.753 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (47) of (81)



**KCTL** 

8.3.6 LTE Band 41 (test case 3 in Table 7-2)

#### Conducted Plot No. 11



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.653 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (48) of (81) eurofins

# Conducted Plot No. 12



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.647 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of n SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	neasured

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (49) of (81)



8.3.7 NR n66 (test case 4 in Table 7-2)

## Conducted Plot No. 13



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.626 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plinit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (50) of (81)

KCTL

🔅 eurofins

Conducted Plot No. 14 Test result for test sequence 2:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.629 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (51) of (81)

KCTL

🔅 eurofins

# 8.3.8 NR n77 (test case 4 in Table 7-2)

## Conducted Plot No. 15



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.876 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu,

55, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (52) of (81)

KCTL

🔅 eurofins

# Conducted Plot No. 16



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.869 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

#### **Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.** 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu,

TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (53) of (81)



# 8.4 Change in Call Test results (test case 4 in Table 7-2)

This test was measured with LTE Band 25, DSI=1, and with callbox requesting maximum power. The call drop was manually performed when the EUT is transmitting at *Preserve* level as shown in the plot below (dotted black region). The measurement setup is shown in Figure 8-1(a) and (c). The detailed test procedure is described in Section 6.1.2.

#### Conducted Plot No. 17

#### Call drop test result:

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power kept the same *Preserve* level of LTE Band 25 after the call was re-established:



Note: The power level after the change in call kept the same *Preserve* level of LTE Band 25. The conducted power plot shows expected Tx transition.

Plot 2: Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1g SAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1g SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1g SAR:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.707 W/kg
Validated: The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in call change scenario.	

www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (54) of (81)

KCTL

🔅 eurofins

## 8.5 Change in technology/band test results (test case 5 in Table 7-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with technology switch from LTE Band 25, Main1 Antenna, DSI = 1 (Grip sensor On) to WCDMA Band 2, Main 2 Antenna, DSI = 1 (Grip sensor On). Following procedure detailed in Section 6.1.3 and Section 6.1.6, and using the measurement setup shown in Figure 8-1(b) the antenna/technology/band switch was performed when the EUT is transmitting at *Preserve* level as shown in the plot below (dotted black region).

#### Conducted Plot No. 18

Test result for change in technology/band:

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed from LTE Band 25, Main1 antenna, DSI = 1 *Preserve* level to WCDMA Band 2, Main2 antenna, DSI = 1 *Preserve* level (within 1dB device uncertainty):



Note: As per Part 1 report, *Reserve\_power\_margin=* 3dB. Based on Table 7-1, EFS  $P_{limit}$  = 11.5dBm for LTE Band 25 (DSI=1), and EFS  $P_{limit}$  = 11 dBm for WCDMA Band 2 (DSI=1), it can be seen from above plot that the difference in *Preserve* (=  $P_{limit}$  - 3dB Reserve\_power\_margin) power level corresponds to the expected difference in  $P_{limit}$  levels of 1dB (within 1dB of radio design related uncertainty). Therefore, the conducted power plot shows expected transition in Tx power.

Plot 2: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.0:



FCC normalized SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.0 W/kg
Max Norm. Total time-avg. 1g SAR (green curve)	0.687 W/kg
Validated: The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in technology/band switch scenario	

www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (55) of (81)



## 8.6 Change in DSI test results (test case 6 in Table 7-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with DSI switch from LTE Band 25, DSI = 0 (Grip sensor Off) to DSI = 1(Grip sensor On). Following procedure detailed in Section 6.1.5 using the measurement setup shown in Figure 8-1(a) and (c), the DSI switch was performed when the EUT is transmitting at *Preserve* level as shown in the plot below (dotted black circle).

#### Conducted Plot No.19

Test result for change in DSI:



Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed when DSI = 0 switches to DSI = 1:

Note: As per the manufacturer, *Reserve\_power\_margin* = 3dB. Based on Table 8-1, EFS  $P_{limit}$  = 24dBm for LTE Band 25, Grip sensor Off DSI = 0, and EFS  $P_{limit}$  = 11.5dBm for Grip sensor On DSI = 1.The difference in *Preserve* (=  $P_{limit}$  - 3dB *Reserve\_power\_margin*) level corresponds to the expected different in  $P_{limit}$  levels of 3.0 dB (within 1dB of radio design related uncertainty). Therefore, the conducted power plot shows expected transition in Tx power.

Plot 2: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit.



FCC normalized SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.0 W/kg
Max Norm. Total time-avg. 1g SAR (green curve)	0.500 W/kg
Validated: The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in DSI switch scenario.	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (56) of (81)



# 8.7 Time-window Switch (100s-60s-100s) test results (test case 7 in Table 7-2)

The test results in this section are obtained following the procedure in Section 5. The test cases correspond to TC07 in Table 8-1.

#### Conducted Plot No.20

#### Test result for Time-window switch:



**Plot.1** shows the instantaneous and time-averaged conducted Tx power for both NR n66 and n77 for the duration of the test. Around time stamp of ~240s, a handover from NR n66 to n77 was executed, resulting in reduction of time-averaged power of NR n66 and simultaneous increase in time-averaged power of n77. Around time stamp of ~320s, handover back to NR n66 was executed, resulting in reduction of time-averaged power of Band 41 and increase of time-averaged power of NR n66. It can be seen that transition time of time-averaged values for NR n66 is longer than n77, which is the consequence of 100s time averaging for NR n66 versus shorter 60s averaging for n77. Plot.2 shows the time-averaged 1gSAR value for each of NR n66 and n77, as well as the total SAR value. We can see that the total 1gSAR is higher during the band transitions, but is always under the total FCC limit of 1.6W/Kg.

**Plot 2**: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.0:



FCC nomarlized SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.0 W/kg
Max Norm. Total time-avg. 1g SAR (green curve)	0.551 W/kg
Device uncertainty	<b>1.0</b> dB

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (57) of (81)



## 8.8 Time-window Switch (60s-100s-60s) test results (test case 8 in Table 7-2)

The test results in this section are obtained following the procedure in Section 5. The test cases correspond to TC08 in Table 8-1.

#### Conducted Plot No.21

#### Test result for change in Time-window switch:



**Plot.1** shows the instantaneous and time-averaged conducted Tx power for both NR n66 and n77 for the duration of the test. Around time stamp of ~180s, a handover from NR n77 to n66 was executed, resulting in reduction of time-averaged power of n77 and simultaneous increase in time-averaged power of NR n66. Around time stamp of ~330s, handover back to n77 was executed, resulting in reduction of time-averaged power of NR n66 and increase of time-averaged power of n77. It can be seen that transition time of time-averaged values for Band 25 is longer than n77, which is the consequence of 100s time averaging for NR n66 versus shorter 60s averaging for n77.

**Plot 2**: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.0:



Total Normalized Time-averaged RF Exposure Tech: NR5G SUB6, Band n77 / Tech: NR5G SUB6, Band n66

FCC nomarlized SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.0 W/kg
Max Norm. Total time-avg. 1g SAR (green curve)	0.587 W/kg
Device uncertainty	<b>1.0</b> dB

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (58) of (81)



KCTL

## 8.9 Switch in SAR exposure test results (test case 12 in Table 7-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with the EUT in LTE B2 + Sub6 NR Band n66 call. Here, LTE B2, DSI = 1 (100s window, EFS  $P_{limit}$  = 12.5dBm,  $P_{max}$  = 24dBm, measured  $P_{limit}$  = 13.19dBm), and Sub6 NR Band n66, DSI = 1 (100s window,  $P_{limit}$  = 12.5dBm in EFS setting, EUT's average  $P_{max}$  = 24dBm, measured  $P_{limit}$  = 13.17dBm). Following procedure detailed in Section 6.1.7 and Appendix B.2, and using the measurement setup shown in Figure 8-2(c) since LTE and Sub6 NR are different antenna port. The SAR exposure switch measurement is performed with the EUT in various SAR exposure scenarios, i.e., in SAR sub6NR only scenario (t =10s ~125s), SARsub6NR + SARLTE scenario (t =125s ~ 245s) and SARLTE only scenario (t >245s).

Note: Due to the ENDC combination environment, Switch in SAR exposure test was conducted with LTE B2 (SUB ANT).

#### Conducted Plot No.22

Plot 1: SARsub6NR only scenario (t = 0s ~ 120s), SARsub6NR + SARLTE scenario (t =120s ~240s) and SARLTE only scenario (t > 240s).



Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (59) of (81)

🔅 eurofins

**KCTL** 

Plot 2: All the conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (7a), (7b) and (7c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit. Equation (7a) is used to convert the LTE Tx power of device to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B2 as shown in black curve. Similarly, equation (7b) is used to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in Sub6 NR n5 as shown in orange curve. Equation (7c) is used to obtain total time-averaged normalized SAR as shown in green curve (i.e., sum of black and orange curves).



LTE and Sub6NR	Instantaneous and Time-averaged TX Power
Tech: LTE,	Band 2 / Tech: NR5G SUB6, Band n66

FCC normalized SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.0 W/kg
Max Norm. Total time-avg. 1g SAR (green curve)	0.386 W/kg
Validated	

#### Plot Notes:

Device starts predominantly in Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario between 5s and 125s, and in LTE SAR + Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario between 125s and 245s, and in predominantly in LTE SAR exposure scenario after t=245s. Here, Smart Transmit allocates a maximum of 100% of exposure margin (based on 3dB reserve margin setting) for Sub6 NR. This corresponds to a normalized 1gSAR exposure value = 100% \* 0.385W/kg measured SAR at Sub6 NR  $P_{limit}$  / 1.6W/kg limit = 0.611 ± 1dB device related uncertainty (see orange curve between 5s~125s). For predominantly LTE SAR exposure scenario, maximum normalized 1gSAR exposure should correspond to 100% exposure margin = 0.335W/kg measured SAR at LTE  $P_{limit}$  / 1.6W/kg limit = 0.536 ± 1dB device related uncertainty (see black curve after t =245s). Additionally, in SAR exposure switch test, at all times the total time-averaged normalized RF exposure (green curve) should not exceed normalized SAR\_design\_target + 1dB device uncertainty. In this test, with a maximum normalized SAR of 0.386 being ≤ 0.794 (= 1/1.6 + 1dB device uncertainty), the above test result validated the continuity of power limiting in SAR exposure switch scenario.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (60) of (81)



# 8.10 Time-varying Tx power Radiated measurement results

# 8.10.1 GSM 850

#### Radiated Plot No. 1

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg	
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.185 W/kg	
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured		
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)		

### Radiated Plot No. 2



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.186 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (61) of (81)



# 8.10.2 GSM 1900

# **Radiated Plot No. 3**

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.653 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

## Radiated Plot No. 4



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.640 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

#### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea

TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (62) of (81)



**KCTL** 

8.10.3 WCDMA Band 2

## **Radiated Plot No. 5**

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.610 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

# Radiated Plot No. 6



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.592 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

www.kctl.co.kr

# 8.10.4 WCDMA Band 4

## **Radiated Plot No. 7**

Test result for test sequence 1:



Report No.:

KR25-SPF0007-A

Page (63) of (81)

🔅 eurofins

**KCTL** 

FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.518 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

## **Radiated Plot No. 8**



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.516 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (64) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 8.10.5 LTE Band 25

## **Radiated Plot No. 9**

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.674 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

## Radiated Plot No. 10



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.667 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (65) of (81)



# 8.10.6 LTE Band 41

### Radiated Plot No. 11

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.782 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

## Radiated Plot No. 12



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.785 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured	
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)	

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (66) of (81)



# 8.10.7 NR Band n66

## Radiated Plot No. 13

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.624 W/kg
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P</i> <sub>limit</sub> (last column in Table 7-2)	

# Radiated Plot No. 14



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg				
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.622 W/kg				
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)					

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (67) of (81)



**KCTL** 

# 8.10.8 NR Band n77

## Radiated Plot No. 15

Test result for test sequence 1:



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg				
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.858 W/kg				
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured					
SAR at <i>P<sub>limit</sub></i> (last column in Table 7-2)					

# Radiated Plot No. 16



FCC 1g SAR Limit [W/kg]	1.6 W/kg				
Max 100s-time averaged 1g SAR (green curve)	0.873 W/kg				
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at <i>Plimit</i> (last column in Table 7-2)					

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

#### Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (68) of (81)



**KCTL** 

**Equipment list** 9.

Test Platform	SPEAG DASY8 System								
Version	DASY8: 16.2.2.1588								
Location	Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea								
Manufacture	ufacture SPEAG								
	Hardware	e Reference							
Equipment	Model	Model Serial Number Data of Calibration							
Shield Room	-	8F - 5	-	-					
DASY8 Robot	TX2-60L	F/22/0040786/A/001	-	-					
Phantom	ELI Phantom V8.0	2182	-	-					
Mounting Device	Laptop Holder	-	-	-					
DAE	DAE4	1567	2024-03-14	2025-03-14					
Broho	EX3DV4	7770	2024-11-22	2025-11-22					
FIDDE	EX3DV4	7540	2024-05-23	2025-05-23					
PSG Analog Signal Generator	E8257D	MY49280020	2024-07-03	2025-07-03					
Dual Power Meter	E4419B	GB40202622	2024-11-04	2025-11-04					
Davida Canada	E9301A	MY41499102	2024-11-04	2025-11-04					
Power Sensor	E9301A	US39210857	2024-11-04	2025-11-04					
	PE7005-10	2228-7	2024-12-11	2025-12-11					
Attenuator	PE7005-10	2228-8	2024-12-11	2025-12-11					
	PE7005-10	2228-9	2024-12-11	2025-12-11					
Combiner	RP2-06-417	2149-#2	2025-01-13	2026-01-13					
Dual Directional Coupler	772D	2839A160504	2024-04-26	2025-04-26					
Directional Coupler	778D	17185	2024-11-04	2025-11-04					
Dual Directional Coupler	CS10-19-436/19	2243-1	2024-12-11	2025-12-11					
Dual Directional Coupler	CS10-19-436/19	2243-2	2024-12-11	2025-12-11					
Power Amplifier	AMP2027	10010	2024-07-03	2025-07-03					
Low Doop Filter	VLF-3000+	31831	2024-04-26	2025-04-26					
LOW FASS FILLEI	VLF-6000+	<mark>3183</mark> 8	2024-04-26	2025-04-26					
	D1750V2	1195	2024-09-13	2026-09-13					
Dipole Validation Kita	D1900V2	5d248	2024-09-16	2026-09-16					
Dipole Validation Kits	D2600V2	1200	2024-09-13	2026-09-13					
	D3700V2	1027	2024-08-21	2026-08-21					
ENA Series Network Analyzer	E5071B	MY42403524	2024-02-13	2025-02-13					
Dielectric Assessment Kit	DAK-3.5	1078	2024-06-10	2025-06-10					
Humidity/Temp	PC-5400TRH	PC-5400TRH-1	2024-11-06	2025-11-06					
Wideband Radio Communication Tester	CMW500	132120	2024-04-26	2025-04-26					
Radio Communication Analyzer	MT8821C	6262170371	2024-11-04	2025-11-04					
Radio Communication Test Station	MT8000A	6261987911	2024-08-13	2025-08-13					

Notes:

1. Each equipment item is used solely within its respective calibration period.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (69) of (81)



# 10. Test System Verification Results

Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

Measurement Report for D850V2 - SN1006, FRONT, D850, UID 0 -, Channel 50 (850.000MHz)

Model. Manu	facturer	Dimensions	[mm] §	Serial Number	DUT	Type	
D850V2 - Spe	ag,	10.0 x 10.0	x 346.0 1	.006	Valida	ation Dipole	
Exposure Cond	ditions						
Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz]	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, Head Simulating Liquid	FRONT, 15.00	D850	CW, 0	850.000	7.93	0.944	40.5
Hardware Setu	ւթ						
Phantom		TSL, Meası	ired Date	Probe, Cal	libration Date	DAE, Cal	ibration Date
ELI V8.0 - 2	2182	HBBL-600- 17	10000, 2025-02	2- EX3DV4 - 22	SN7770, 2024	-11- DAE4 Sn1	.567, 2024-03-
Scan Setup		6	7	Measurem	ent Res <mark>ults</mark>	A	7
0.115-4-4	10	Area Scan	<b>Zoom Sca</b>			Area Scan	<b>Zoom Scan</b>
Grid Extents	10	0.0 X 180.0	32.0 X 32.0	x Date $0$ $r_{a}SAD1a$	[W/leal	2023-02-17	2023-02-17
[IIIII] Crid Stores		15.0 - 15.0	50. 2 0 y 2 0 y 5	0 psSARIg	[W/kg]	2.38	2.30
[mm]		13.0 x 13.0	8.0 X 8.0 X 3.	psSARog	[W/Kg]	1.70	1.//
Sensor Surfa [mm]	ce	3.0	1.	4 psAPD (1 [W/m2]	.0 cm2, sq)	1.00	N/A
Graded Grid		No	Ye	s psAPD (4	.0cm2, sq)		N/A
Grading Rati	0	N/A	1.	5 [W/m2]			
MAIA		N/A	N/A	A Power Dr	ift [dB]		-0.02
Surface		VMS + 6p	VMS + 6	p Peak SAF	<mark>R [W/kg]</mark>		3.80
Detection				M2/M1 [9	%]		67.6
Scan Method	l	Measured	Measure	d Dist 3dB	Peak [mm]	_	16.0
		Interpolated	SAR [W/kg]				



65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

#### Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (70) of (81)



#### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

#### Measurement Report for D1750V2 - SN1195, FRONT, D1750, UID 0 -, Channel 50 (1750.000MHz)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Model, Man	ufacturer	Dimensions	5 [mm]	Serial Number	DUT	Гуре	
D1750V2 - S	peag	10.0 x 10.0	) x 302.0	1195	Valida	tion Dipole	
Exposure Con Phantom Section, TSL	nditions Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz]	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, Head Simulating Liquid	FRONT, 10.00	D1750	CW, 0	1750.000	7.05	1.38	39.9

#### Hardware Setup

Phantom	•	TSL, Measured Date	Pr <mark>obe, Calibrati</mark> on Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 -	2182	HBBL-600-10000, 2025-02- 14	EX3DV4 - SN7770, 2024-11- 22	DAE4 Sn1567, 2024-03- 14

Scan Setup	Measurement Results						
	Area Scan	Zoom Scan		Area Scan	Zoom Scan		
Grid Extents	80.0 x 100.0	32.0 x 32.0 x	Date	2025-02-14	2025-02-14		
[mm]		30.0	psSAR1g [W/kg]	9.15	9.07		
Grid Steps	15.0 x 15.0	8.0 x 8.0 x 5.0	psSAR8g [W/kg]	5.55	5.62		
[mm]			psSAR10g [W/kg]	5.14	5.24		
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4	psAPD (1.0cm2, sq)		N/A		
[mm]			[W/m2]				
Graded Grid	No	Yes	psAPD (4.0cm2, sq)		N/A		
Grading Ratio	N/A	1.5	[W/m2]				
MAIA	N/A	N/A	Power Drift [dB]		-0.03		
Surface	VMS + 6p	VMS + 6p	Peak SAR [W/kg]		14.7		
Detection			M2/M1 [%]		61.6		
Scan Method	Measured	Measured	Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		12.9		



65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (71) of (81)

#### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

#### Measurement Report for D1900V2 - SN5d248, FRONT, D1900, UID 0 -, Channel 50 (1900.000MHz)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Model, Man	ufacturer	Dimensions	[mm]	Serial Number	DUT	Гуре	
D1900V2 - S	peag	10.0 x 10.0	) x 300.0	5d248	Valida	tion Dipole	
Exposure Con Phantom Section	nditions Position, Test	Band	Group,	Frequency [MHz]	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity	TSL Permittivity
TSL	Distance [mm]		UID		ractor	[S/m]	i ci inittivity
Flat, Head Simulating Liquid	FRONT, 10.00	D1900	CW, 0	1900.000	6.81	1.39	40.1

#### Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Pr <mark>obe, Calibrati</mark> on Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 - 2182	HBBL-600-10000, 2025-02-	EX3DV4 - SN7770, 2024-11-	DAE4 Sn1567, 2024-03-
	13	22	14

Scan Setup	Measurement Results				
	Area Scan	Zoom Scan		Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents	90.0 x 120.0	32.0 x 32.0 x	Date	2025-02-13	2025-02-13
[mm]		30.0	psSAR1g [W/kg]	9.74	10.2
Grid Steps	15.0 x 15.0	8.0 x 8.0 x 5.0	psSAR8g [W/kg]	5.61	5.91
[mm]			psSAR10g [W/kg]	5.15	5.45
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4	psAPD (1.0cm2, sq)		N/A
[mm]			[W/m2]		
Graded Grid	No	Yes	psAPD (4.0cm2, sq)		N/A
Grading Ratio	N/A	1.5	[W/m2]		
MAIA	N/A	N/A	Power Drift [dB]		0.01
Surface	All points	All points	Peak SAR [W/kg]		17.7
Detection			M2/M1 [%]		56.9
Scan Method	Measured	Measured	Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		9.7



65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (72) of (81)



#### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

#### Measurement Report for D2600V2 - SN1200, FRONT, D2600, UID 0 -, Channel 50 (2600.000MHz)

			<i>.</i>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Device under	Test Propert	ties					
Model, Man	ufacturer	Dimensions	[mm]	Serial Number	DUT	Гуре	
D2600V2 - S	peag	10.0 x 10.0	) x 290.0	1200	Valida	tion Dipole	
Exposure Cor	nditions						
Phantom	Position,	Band	Group,	Frequency	Conversion	TSL	TSL
Section,	Test		UID	[MHz]	Factor	Conductivity	Permittivity
TSL	Distance					[S/m]	
	[mm]						
Flat,	FRONT,	D2600	CW,	2600.000	6.37	2.01	38.0
Head	10.00		0				
Simulating							
Liquid							

#### Hardware Setup

Phantom		TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 -	2182	HBBL-600-10000, 2025-02- 14	EX3DV4 - SN7770, 2024-11- 22	DAE4 Sn1567, 2024-03- 14

Scan Setup			Measurement Results		
	Area Scan	Zoom Scan		Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents	100.0 x 140.0	30.0 x 30.0 x	Date	2025-02-14	2025-02-14
[mm]		30.0	psSAR1g [W/kg]	5.78	5.86
Grid Steps	12.0 x 12.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0	psSAR8g [W/kg]	2.90	2.94
[mm]			psSAR10g [W/kg]	2.62	2.65
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4	psAPD (1.0cm2, sq)		N/A
[mm]			[W/m2]		
Graded Grid	No	Yes	psAPD (4.0cm2, sq)		N/A
Grading Ratio	N/A	1.4	[W/m2]		
MAIA	N/A	N/A	Power Drift [dB]		0.00
Surface	VMS + 6p	VMS + 6p	Peak SAR [W/kg]		11.8
Detection			M2/M1 [%]		49.2
Scan Method	Measured	Measured	Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		9.0


# Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (73) of (81)

### Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd.

## Measurement Report for D3700V2 - SN1027, FRONT, Custom Band, UID 0 -, Channel 3700000 (3700.000MHz)

Device under Test Properties											
Model, Manufacturer D3700V2 - Speag		<b>Dimensions [mm]</b> 10.0 x 10.0 x 285.0		Serial Number	<b>DUT Type</b> Validation Dipole						
				1027							
Exposure Con	nditions										
Phantom	Position,	Band	Group,	Frequency	Conversion	TSL	TSL				
Section,	Test		UID	[MHz]	Factor	Conductivity	Permittivity				
TSL	Distance					[S/m]					
	[mm]										
Flat,	FRONT,	Custom	CW,	3700.000	6.75	3.01	39.1				
Head	10.00	Band	0								
Simulating											
Liquid											

#### Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Pr <mark>obe, Calibrati</mark> on Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 - 2182	HBBL-600-10000, 2025-02-	EX <mark>3DV4 - SN785</mark> 1, 2024-	DAE4 Sn1567, 2024-03-
	14	07-17	14

Scan Setup	Measurement Results						
	A <mark>rea Scan</mark>	Zoom Scan		Area Scan	Zoom Scan		
Grid Extents	100.0 x 120.0	24.0 x 24.0 x	Date	2025-02-14	2025-02-14		
[mm]		22.0	psSAR1g [W/kg]	6.37	6.89		
Grid Steps	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.4	psSAR8g [W/kg]	2.85	2.95		
[mm]			psSAR10g [W/kg]	2.52	2.61		
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4	psAPD (1.0cm2, sq)		N/A		
[mm]			[W/m2]				
Graded Grid	No	Yes	psAPD (4.0cm2, sq)		N/A		
Grading Ratio	N/A	1.4	[W/m2]				
MAIA	N/A	N/A	Power Drift [dB]		0.07		
Surface	VMS + 6p	VMS + 6p	Peak SAR [W/kg]		17.7		
Detection			M2/M1 [%]		76.1		
Scan Method	Measured	Measured	Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		8.8		



Eurofins KCTL Co.,Ltd. 65, Sinwon-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea TEL: 82-31-285-0894 FAX: 82-505-299-8311 www.kctl.co.kr

Report No.: KR25-SPF0007-A Page (74) of (81)

🔅 eurofins

# 11. Conclusion

Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature employed in Samsung Tablet PC (FCC ID A3LSMX356B) has been validated through the conducted/radiated power measurement (as demonstrated in Chapters 8),

As demonstrated in this report, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized timeaveraged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0 for all the transmission scenarios described in Section 6.

Therefore, the EUT complies with FCC RF exposure requirement.

### 11.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the DUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.