

## TEST REPORT

### SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR) EVALUATION REPORT

For Video Monitor - Parent Unit

Model Number: LF815HD PU

Brand Name: vtech

FCC ID: EW780-2517-01

Prepared for  
VTech Telecommunications Ltd.  
23/F., Tai Ping Industrial Centre, Block 1,  
57 Ting Kok Road, Tai Po,  
Hong Kong.

**PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:**

**APPROVED BY:**

Signed On File  
Chan Tsz Yu, Ezra  
Assistant Engineer  
Date: Jul 07, 2021

Siu Yiu Nam, Edwin  
Senior Lead Engineer  
Date: Jul 07, 2021

## TEST REPORT

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Test Result Summary.....	3
2 General Information.....	4
3 SAR Measurement System Description .....	8
4 Tissue Verificaiton .....	16
5 SAR Measurement System Verification .....	19
6 SAR Evaluation.....	21
7 Test Equipment List .....	34
8 Measurement Uncertainty.....	35
9 E-Field Probe and Dipole Antenna Calibration .....	35
APPENDIX A – System Check Data .....	36
APPENDIX B – SAR Evaluation Data .....	38
APPENDIX C – E-Field Probe and Dipole Antenna Calibration .....	40

## TEST REPORT

### 1. TEST RESULT SUMMARY

<b>Applicant:</b>	VTech Telecommunications Ltd.
<b>Applicant Address:</b>	23/F., Tai Ping Industrial Centre, Block 1, 57 Ting Kok Road, Tai Po, Hong Kong.
<b>Model:</b>	LF815HD PU, LF815-2HD PU, LF815-abHD PU, LF925HD PU, LF925-2HD PU, LF925-abHD PU
<b>Brand Name:</b>	vtech
<b>Serial Number:</b>	N/A
<b>FCC ID:</b>	EW780-2517-01
<b>Test Device:</b>	Production Unit
<b>EUT Exercising Software</b>	Tera Terms Version 4.57
<b>Exposure Category:</b>	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure
<b>Date of Test:</b>	Jun 28, 2021
<b>Place of Testing:</b>	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Unit 3, G/F, World-Wide Industrial Centre, 43-47 Shan Mei Street, Fo Tan, Sha Tin.
<b>Environmental Conditions:</b>	Temperature: +18 to 25°C Humidity 25 to 75%  ANSI/IEEE C95.1 IEEE Std 1528: 2013
<b>Test Specification:</b>	FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01 v06 FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02 v01r02 FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01 V02r02

The maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Band	Operating Mode	TX Frequency (MHz)	Highest Reported SAR	
			In-front-of mouth	Body
2.4GHz WiFi	Data	2412 – 2462	0.2702 W/kg	1.4296 W/kg

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment / general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1.

## TEST REPORT

### 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 2.1. Description of Equipment under test (EUT)

<b>Manufacturer:</b>	VTech (Dongguan) Telecommunications Limited.
<b>Manufacturer Address:</b>	VTech Science Park, Xia Ling Bei Management Zone, Liaobu, Dongguan, Guangdong, China.
<b>Device dimension (L x W) :</b>	159 (mm) x 142 (mm)
<b>Device thickness:</b>	24 (mm)
<b>Antenna Gain:</b>	2 dBi
<b>Operating Configuration(s) / mode:</b>	In-front-of mouth (Data) Body (Data)
<b>Tx Frequency (MHz):</b>	2412 - 2462MHz
<b>Duty Cycle*:</b>	100%
<b>H/W Version:</b>	N/A
<b>S/W Version:</b>	N/A
<b>Battery Type:</b>	3.7VDC (1 x 3.7V 5000mAh 18.5Wh Li-Polymer rechargeable battery)
<b>Body-worn Accessories:</b>	N/A


**\*Note:**

1. Worst case was selected to present by client request. SAR test was tested and present in test mode with 100% to represent the worst case.

## TEST REPORT

### 2.2. EUT Antenna Locations

#### Antenna closed configuration




Exposure Position	Separation Distance from the Antenna to the Outer Surface (Antenna Closed)
Front	12
Top	5
Left	60
Right	30
Back	4
Bottom	55

Details of antenna specification are shown in separate antenna dimension document.

## TEST REPORT

### 2.2 EUT Antenna Locations (Cont'd)

#### Antenna extended configuration



Exposure Position	Separation Distance from the Antenna to the Outer Surface (Antenna Extended)
Front	12
Top	5
Left	125
Right	30
Back	4
Bottom	55

Details of antenna specification are shown in separate antenna dimension document.

## TEST REPORT

### 2.3. Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

The EUT operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498.

Band	Operating Mode	TX Frequency (MHz)	Output Power	
			Nominal (dBm)	Maximum (dBm)
2.4GHz	802.11b	2412 – 2462	16	18
2.4GHz	802.11g	2412 – 2462	19	21
2.4GHz	802.11n (HT20)	2412 - 2462	19	21

## TEST REPORT

### 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and occupational/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of given mass density ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/Kg)

SAR can be obtained using either of the following equations:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

$$SAR = c_h \left. \frac{dT}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$$

Where

- SAR is the specific absorption rate in watts per kilogram;
- E is the r.m.s. value of the electric field strength in the tissue in volts per meter;
- $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue in siemens per metre;
- $\rho$  is the density of the tissue in kilograms per cubic metre;
- $c_h$  is the heat capacity of the tissue in joules per kilogram and Kelvin;


$\left. \frac{dT}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$  is the initial time derivative of temperature in the tissue in kelvins per second



## TEST REPORT

An SAR measurement system usually consists of a small diameter isotropic electric field probe, a multiple axis probe positioning system, a test device holder, one or more phantom models, the field probe instrumentation, a computer and other electronic equipment for controlling the probe and making the measurements. Other supporting equipment, such as a network analyzer, power meters and RF signal generators, are also required to measure the dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue media and to verify the measurement accuracy of the SAR system.

The SAR measurement system being used is COMOSAR system, which consists following items for performing compliance tests



*Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system*

- The PC. It controls most of the bench devices and stores measurement data. A computer running WinXP and the Opensar software
- The E-Field probe. The probe is a 3-axis system made of 3 distinct dipoles. Each dipole returns a voltage in function of the ambient electric field.
- The Keithley multimeter measures each probe dipole voltages.
- The SAM phantom simulates a human head. The measurement of the electric field is made inside the phantom.
- The liquids simulate the dielectric properties of the human head tissues
- The network emulator controls the mobile phone under test.
- The validation dipoles are used to measure a reference SAR. They are used to periodically check the bench to make sure that there is no drift of the system characteristics over time.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

## TEST REPORT

### ROBOT

The COMOSAR system uses the KUKA robot from SATIMO SA (France). For the 6-axis controller COMOSAR system, the KUKA robot controller version from SATIMO is used.

The XL robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)
- 6-axis controller



## TEST REPORT

### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dissymmetric probe manufactured by SATIMO. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dissymmetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. SATIMO conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE Std 1528-2013 and relevant KDB files). The calibration data are in Appendix C.

<b>Model</b>	SSE2
<b>Manufacture</b>	MVG
<b>Frequency</b>	0.45GHz-6GHz Linearity:±0.08dB
<b>Dynamic Range</b>	0.01W/Kg-100W/Kg Linearity:±0.08dB
<b>Dimensions</b>	Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:2mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:2.5mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:1mm


## TEST REPORT

### VIDEO POSITIONING SYSTEM

The video positioning system is used in OpenSAR to check the probe. Which is composed of a camera, LED, mirror and mechanical parts. The camera is piloted by the main computer with firewire link.

During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.



## TEST REPORT

### SAM TWIN PHANTOM

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with  $2\text{mm} \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$  shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to  $6\text{mm} \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$ ), relative permittivity  $\epsilon_r = 3.4$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ . It has three measurement areas:

- Left head
- Right head
- Flat phantom



The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

## TEST REPORT

### ELLIPTICAL PHANTOM

The elliptical phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with

- $2\text{mm} \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$  shell thickness
- relative permittivity  $\epsilon_r = 3.4$
- loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$




### DEVICE HOLDER

The COMOSAR device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The COMOSAR device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon_r = 3.7$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.005$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.



## TEST REPORT

During measurement, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom scanning area is greater than the projection of EUT and antenna.

Area Scan Parameters extracted from KDB 865664

	$\leq 3$ GHz	$> 3$ GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	5 mm $\pm$ 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2)$ mm $\pm$ 0.5 mm
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location	30° $\pm$ 1°	20° $\pm$ 1°
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Area}$ , $\Delta y_{Area}$	$\leq 2$ GHz: $\leq 15$ mm 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq 12$ mm	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 12$ mm 4 – 6 GHz: $\leq 10$ mm
	When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be $\leq$ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	

When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a zoom (3D) scan centered at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

Zoom Scan Parameters extracted from KDB 865664

Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{\text{Zoom}}, \Delta y_{\text{Zoom}}$			$\leq 2 \text{ GHz}: \leq 8 \text{ mm}$ $2 - 3 \text{ GHz}: \leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz}: \leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz}: \leq 4 \text{ mm}^*$
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n)$		$\leq 5 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz}: \leq 4 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz}: \leq 3 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz}: \leq 2 \text{ mm}$
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(1)$ : between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	$\leq 4 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz}: \leq 3 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz}: \leq 2.5 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz}: \leq 2 \text{ mm}$
		$\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n>1)$ : between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n-1) \text{ mm}$	
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		$\geq 30 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz}: \geq 28 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz}: \geq 25 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz}: \geq 22 \text{ mm}$
Note: $\delta$ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see IEEE Std 1528-2013 for details.				
* When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the <u>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</u> procedures of KDB Publication 447498 is $\leq 1.4 \text{ W/kg}$ , $\leq 8 \text{ mm}$ , $\leq 7 \text{ mm}$ and $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$ zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.				

## TEST REPORT

### 4. TISSUE VERIFICATION

For SAR measurement of field distribution inside phantom, homogeneous tissue simulating liquid as below liquid recipes were filled to a depth of 15cm  $\pm$  0.5cm for below 3GHz measurement and of 10cm  $\pm$  0.5cm for above 3GHz.

#### HEAD TISSUE RECIPES

Frequency	De-ionized Water	Salt	Ingredients			
			1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	33.5%	3.4%	63.1%			
750 MHz	34.2%	1.4%	64.4%			
900 MHz	35.3%	1.0%	63.7%			
1800 MHz	55.2%	0.6%		13.8%		30.4%
1900 MHz	55.3%	0.5%		13.8%		30.4%
2000 MHz	55.3%	0.4%		13.8%		30.5%
2450 MHz	55.7%	0.3%		18.7%		25.3%
5000 MHz	65.3%				17.2%	17.5%

#### BODY TISSUE RECIPES

Frequency	De-ionized Water	Salt	Ingredients			
			1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	52.4%	1.9%	45.7%			
750 MHz	55.4%	1.3%	43.3%			
900 MHz	52.9%	1.0%	46.1%			
1800 MHz	70.8%	0.5%		8.7%		20.0%
1900 MHz	70.1%	0.4%		8.9%		20.6%
2000 MHz	70.2%	0.3%		8.6%		20.9%
2450 MHz	70.8%	0.3%		8.7%		20.2%
5000 MHz	77.8%				11.7%	11.5%



## TEST REPORT

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE Std 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. For other head and body tissue parameters, they are recommended by KDB 865664.

Target Frequency (MHz)	head		body	
	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	1.01	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

( $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

When a transmission band overlaps with one of the target frequencies, the tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the middle of a device transmission band should be within  $\pm 5\%$  of the parameters specified at that target frequency.

## TEST REPORT

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using SATIMO Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6.

The dielectric parameters were:

### Head Liquid

Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	$\epsilon_r$ / Relative Permittivity			$\sigma$ / Conductivity			$\rho$ **(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	
2410	21.3	39.05	39.20	-0.38	1.88	1.80	4.44	1000
2440	21.3	38.74	39.20	-1.17	1.84	1.80	2.22	1000
2450	21.3	39.53	39.20	0.84	1.86	1.80	3.33	1000
2470	21.3	40.21	39.20	2.58	1.83	1.80	1.67	1000

\* Target values refer to KDB 865664

\*\* Worst-case assumption

Note:

1. Date of tissue verification measurement: Jun 28, 2021
2. Ambient temperature: 21.2 deg C
3. The temperature condition is within +/- 2 deg. C during the SAR measurements.

### Body Liquid

Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	$\epsilon_r$ / Relative Permittivity			$\sigma$ / Conductivity			$\rho$ **(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	
2410	21.4	51.78	52.70	-1.75	1.99	1.95	2.05	1000
2440	21.4	50.84	52.70	-3.53	1.93	1.95	-1.03	1000
2450	21.4	51.63	52.70	-2.03	2.03	1.95	4.10	1000
2470	21.4	51.17	52.70	-2.90	1.90	1.95	-2.56	1000

\* Target values refer to KDB 865664

\*\* Worst-case assumption

Note:


1. Date of tissue verification measurement: Jun 28, 2021
2. Ambient temperature: 21.9 deg C
3. The temperature condition is within +/- 2 deg. C during the SAR measurements.

## TEST REPORT

### 5. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system check kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable user to conduct the system check. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system check setup is shown as below.



## TEST REPORT

### VALIDATION DIPOLE



The dipoles used is based on the IEEE Std 1528, and is complied with mechanical and electrical specifications in line with the requirements of both FCC and KDB requirement.

### SYSTEM CHECK RESULTS

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	System Diople	System Verification				
				Serial No.	Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (±10%)
Jun 28, 2021	2450	Head	2450MHz	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	54.14	5.188	51.88	-4.17

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

\* Input power level = 20dBm (0.1W)

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	System Diople	System Verification				
				Serial No.	Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (±10%)
Jun 28, 2021	2450	Body	2450MHz	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	51.71	5.494	54.94	6.25

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

\* Input power level = 20dBm (0.1W)

SAR<sub>1g</sub> ambient measured value < 12 mW/kg

Details of System Verification plots are shown in the Appendix A - plot 1 and 2.

## TEST REPORT

### 6. SAR EVALUATION

#### 6.1. Device test positions relative to the head


This practice specifies two handset test positions against the head phantom—the “cheek” position and the “tilt” position. These two test positions are defined in the following subclauses. The handset should be tested in both positions on left and right sides of the SAM phantom. If handset construction is such that the handset positioning procedures described below to represent normal use conditions cannot be used, e.g., some asymmetric handsets, alternative alignment procedures should be adapted with all details provided in the test report. These alternative procedures should replicate intended use conditions as closely as possible according to the intent of the procedures described in this subclause.

## TEST REPORT

### DEFINITION OF THE CHEEK POSITION


The cheek position is established as follows:

1. Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece (flip cover), open the cover. If the handset can transmit with the cover closed, both configurations must be tested.
2. Define two imaginary lines on the handset—the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset—the midpoint of the width  $w_t$  of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A in below figure), and the midpoint of the width  $w_b$  of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see below left figure). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output; however, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see right figure), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip covers, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.
3. Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see the figure as next page), such that the plane defined by the vertical centerline and the horizontal line of the handset is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
4. Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until handset point A touches the pinna at the ERP.



## TEST REPORT

5. While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to the plane containing B-M and N-F lines, i.e., the Reference Plane.
6. Rotate the handset around the vertical centerline until the handset (horizontal line) is parallel to the N-F line.
7. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the Reference Plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the handset contact with the pinna, rotate the handset about the N-F line until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna on the cheek.




## TEST REPORT

### DEFINITION OF THE TILT POSITION

The tilt position is established as follows:

1. Repeat steps to place the device in the cheek position.
2. While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset away from the pinna along the line passing through RE and LE far enough to allow a rotation of the handset away from the cheek by 15°.
3. Rotate the handset around the horizontal line by 15°.
4. While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset towards the phantom on the line passing through RE and LE until any part of the handset touches the ear. The tilt position is obtained when the contact point is on the pinna. See the figure as below. If contact occurs at any location other than the pinna, e.g., the antenna at the back of the phantom head, the angle of the handset should be reduced.
5. In this case, the tilt position is obtained if any point on the handset is in contact with the pinna and a second point on the handset is in contact with the phantom, e.g., the antenna with the back of the head.





## TEST REPORT

### 6.2. Device test positions relative to body-worn accessory

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. Per FCC KDB Publication 648474, Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in FCC KDB Publication 447498 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode, when applicable. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is  $>1.2\text{W/kg}$ , the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be reported for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.

SAR evaluation is required for body-worn accessories supplied with the host device. The test configurations must be conservative for supporting the body-worn accessory use conditions expected by users. Body-worn accessories that do not contain metallic or conductive components may be tested according to worst-case exposure configurations, typically according to the smallest test separation distance required for the group of body-worn accessories with similar operating and exposure characteristics. All body-worn accessories containing metallic components, either supplied with the product or available as an option from the device manufacturer, must be tested in conjunction with the host device to demonstrate compliance

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid.


## TEST REPORT

### 6.3. General Device Setup

The device was first charged on a charger over a duration defined by the applicant to make sure the installed battery was fully charged.

The device was then placed into test mode to simulate the worst case configuration through the highest power channel, where the operating parameters established in this test mode is identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequency is corresponded to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use.

During testing, the device was evaluated with a fully charged battery, power saving function disabled and was configured to operate at maximum output power. A receive antenna and a spectrum analyzer were placed with a distance > 50cm away from the device to monitor the transmission states.



## TEST REPORT

### 6.4. RF Output Power Measurements

Operating Mode / Band		Date Rate	Channel	Freq. (MHz)	Measured Time-averaged Conducted Power (dBm)
802.11b	2.4G	1Mbps	1	2412	16.1
			6	2437	16.4
			11	2462	16.2
802.11g	2.4G	6Mbps	1	2412	19.1
			6	2437	19.9
			11	2462	19.6
802.11n (HT20)	2.4G	MCS0	1	2412	19.3
			6	2437	19.8
			11	2462	19.5

Note:

1. Time Average power (dBm) = Peak power (dBm) + Time Average factor.
2. Time Average factor =  $10 \cdot \log(\text{duty cycle})$
3. Per KDB 447498, the tested device was within the specified tune-up tolerances range, but not more than 2dB lower than the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.
4. Per KDB 447498, when antenna port was not available on the device to support conducted power measurement and test software was used to establish transmitter power levels, the power level was verified separately according to design and component specifications and product development information specified by the manufacturer.

## TEST REPORT

### 6.5. SAR Test Exclusion Consideration for Adjacent Edges

The SAR test Exclusion Thresholds Evaluation in KDB 447498 D01 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.

The test separation distance for SAR test exclusion of adjacent edges is determined by the closest distance between the antenna and outer housing on the adjacent edge of the device.

According to the antenna to outer housing separation distance and maximum time-averaged output power as below, SAR evaluation of **left and bottom** edges are not required.

Exposure Position	Antenna to outer housing separation distance	Calculated SAR Exclusion Threshold	Maximum Time-averaged Conducted Power	SAR Exclusion Result
Front	12 mm	22.9 mW	97.7 mW	Test required
Top	5 mm	9.6 mW		Test required
Top (antenna extended)	5 mm	9.6 mW		Test required
Left	60 mm	195.6 mW		Excluded
Left (antenna extended)	125 mm	845.6 mW		Excluded
Right	30 mm	57.4 mW		Test required
Back	4 mm	7.6 mW		Test required
Bottom	55 mm	145.6 mW		Excluded

## TEST REPORT

### 6.6. Exposure Conditions

#### In-Front-of Mouth Exposure Conditions

Test Configurations	Distance to phantom	Operation Mode	SAR Required	Note
Front 25mm Separation	Closed	Data	Yes	
Front 25mm Separation	Extended	Data	Yes	

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01, if the device is designed to operate in front of the mouth, such as PTT radio, it shall be evaluated with the front of the device positioned at 2.5 cm from a flat phantom.

#### Body Exposure Conditions

Test Configurations	Distance to phantom	Operation Mode	SAR Required	Note
Front 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	Yes	
Top 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	Yes	
Left 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Right 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	Yes	
Back 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	Yes	
Bottom 0mm Separation	Closed	Data	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Front 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	Yes	
Top 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	Yes	
Left 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Right 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	Yes	
Back 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	Yes	
Bottom 0mm Separation	Extended	Data	No	SAR test exclusion applied

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01, SAR test exclusion can be applied to determine the test configuration for adjacent edge.

## TEST REPORT

### 6.7. Test Result

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix B.

#### In-Front-of Mouth SAR

Measurement Setup					Measurement Result						
Chan	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Band	Test Position	Maximum Allowed Power (dBm)	Measured Power (dBm)	SAR Drift (%)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Plot
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Front 25mm	18.0	16.4	0.85	0.1795	1.45	0.2595	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Front 25mm (antenna extended)	18.0	16.4	1.64	0.1869	1.45	0.2702	1
6	2437	3.7V	802.11g	Front 25mm (antenna extended)	21.0	19.9	0.55	0.0843	1.29	0.1086	

Note:

- Fully charged batteries were used at the beginning of each SAR measurement.
- There was no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in this device.
- Reported SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power with the scaling factor equation  $-10^{[(\text{Maximum power} - \text{measured power}) / 10]}$ .
- Per KDB 447498 D01, when the maximum output power variation across the required test channels was less than 0.5 dB above the output power of the mid-channel, mid-channel shall first be tested.
- Per KDB 447498 D01, if the reported SAR value was  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg and the transmission band was  $\leq 100$  MHz, SAR testing was not required for the other test channels in the band.
- Per KDB 248227 D01, When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, the lowest order 802.11 mode is selected; i.e., 802.11a is chosen over 802.11n then 802.11ac or 802.11g is chosen over 802.11n.
- Per KDB 865664 D01, repeated measurement was not required when the original highest measured SAR was  $< 0.8$  W/kg.

## TEST REPORT

### 6.8. Test Result (Cont'd)

#### Body SAR

Chan	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Band	Test Position	Measurement Result						Plot
					Maximum Allowed Power (dBm)	Measured Power (dBm)	SAR Drift (%)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Front 0mm	18.0	16.4	0.31	0.289	1.45	0.4177	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Top 0mm	18.0	16.4	0.96	0.554	1.45	0.8008	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Right 0mm	18.0	16.4	1.23	0.133	1.45	0.1922	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm	18.0	16.4	0.32	0.942	1.45	1.3616	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Front 0mm (antenna extended)	18.0	16.4	0.83	0.326	1.45	0.4712	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Top 0mm (antenna extended)	18.0	16.4	1.15	0.053	1.45	0.0766	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm (antenna extended)	18.0	16.4	0.44	0.894	1.45	1.2922	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Right 0mm (antenna extended)	18.0	16.4	0.68	0.148	1.45	0.2139	
11	2462	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm	18.0	16.2	0.76	0.925	1.51	1.4000	
1	2412	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm	18.0	16.1	0.55	0.917	1.55	1.4203	
1	2412	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm	18.0	16.1	1.10	0.923	1.55	1.4296	2
6	2462	3.7V	802.11g	Back 0mm	21.0	19.9	1.16	0.754	1.29	0.9713	
11	2412	3.7V	802.11g	Back 0mm	21.0	19.6	0.89	0.736	1.38	1.0160	
1	2412	3.7V	802.11g	Back 0mm	21.0	19.1	1.19	0.748	1.55	1.1585	
6	2437	3.7V	802.11b	Back 0mm	18.0	16.4	0.73	0.950	1.45	1.3732	

**Note:**

- Fully charged batteries were used at the beginning of each SAR measurement.
- There was no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in this device.

## TEST REPORT

3. Reported SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power with the scaling factor equation  $-10^{[(\text{Maximum power} - \text{measured power}) / 10]}$ .
4. Per KDB 447498 D01, when the maximum output power variation across the required test channels was less than 0.5 dB above the output power of the mid-channel, mid-channel shall first be tested.
5. Per KDB 447498 D01, when the reported SAR is  $> 0.8$  W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is  $> 1.2$  W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
6. Per KDB 248227 D01, When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, the lowest order 802.11 mode is selected; i.e., 802.11a is chosen over 802.11n then 802.11ac or 802.11g is chosen over 802.11n.
7. Per KDB 865664 D01, repeated measurement was required when the original highest measured SAR was  $> 0.8$ W/kg and analysis details were shown as below,

### Measurement Variability

Per KDB 865664 D01, measurement variability was assessed using the following procedures,

- 1) When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 2) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is  $> 1.20$  or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 3) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.5$  W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is  $> 1.20$ .

Measurement Variability Result										
Chan	Freq. (MHz)	Band	Test Position	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 <sup>st</sup> repeated SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Ratio	2 <sup>nd</sup> repeated SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Ratio	3 <sup>rd</sup> repeated SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Ratio
6	2437	802.11b	Back Omm	0.942	0.950	1.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



## TEST REPORT

### 6.8. SAR Limits

The following FCC limits (Std. C95.1-1992) for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure and Controlled environment:

#### GENERAL POPULATION / UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS:

Defined as location where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

EXPOSURE (General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	SAR (W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (Head)*	1.60
Spatial Peak SAR (Partial Body)*	1.60
Spatial Peak SAR (Whole Body)*	0.08
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands / Wrists / Feet / Ankles)**	4.00

#### OCCUPATIONAL / CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS:

Defined as location where there is the exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation)

EXPOSURE (Occupational/Controlled Exposure environment)	SAR (W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (Head)*	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR (Partial Body)*	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR (Whole Body)*	0.40
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands / Wrists / Feet / Ankles)**	20.00

#### Notes:

\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.  
(defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time

\*\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 gram of tissue.  
(defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time

## TEST REPORT

### 7. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
SAR System	EW-3211	MVG	SATIMO System (OpenSAR Software V4_02_34)	N/A	N/A
Phantom	EW-3211	SATIMO	COMOSAR SAM PHANTOM	N/A	N/A
Digital Multimeter	EW-3206	KEITHLEY	2000	27 Apr 2021	27 Apr 2022
SAR Probe	EW-3210	MVG	SSE2 (SN 36/20 EPO347)	12 Nov 2020	12 Nov 2021
SAR Dipole	EW-3212	MVG	SN 22/16 DIP2G450-411	08 Apr 2019	08 Apr 2022
Dielectric Probe for SAR Test	EW-3213	EW-3213	Liquid Measurement Kit (SN 24/16 OCPG 76)	29 Jun 2020	29 Jun 2021
Head Liquid Tissue	N/A	MVG	Head Liquid 2450MHz	Refer to Section 4	
Body Liquid Tissue	N/A	MVG	Body Liquid 2450MHz	Refer to Section 4	
Network Analyzer	EW-3192	Rhode & Schwarz	ZVL6	27 Apr 2021	27 Apr 2022
Signal Generator	EW-3087	ROHDESCHWARZ	SMB100A	13 Jan 2021	13 Jan 2022
Dual-directional coupler (0.1- 2.0)GHz	EW-3189	KEYSIGHT	778D	07 Dec 2020	07 Dec 2021
Dual-directional coupler (2-18)GHz	EW-3188	KEYSIGHT	773D	23 Dec 2020	23 Dec 2021
Power Sensor (Average) (8kHz to 6GHz)	EW-3367	ROHDESCHWARZ	NRP6A	16 Oct 2020	16 Oct 2021
VTL 5400 Amplifier 10 - 2500 MHz 3W	EW-3185	INDEXSAR	VTL 5400	07 Dec 2020	07 Dec 2021

## TEST REPORT

### 8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Per FCC KDB 865884, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis was not required when the highest measured SAR was  $< 1.5\text{W/kg}$  for all frequency band.

### 9. E-FIELD PROBE AND DIPOLE ANTENNA CALIBRATION

Probe calibration factors and dipole antenna calibration are included in Appendix C.

## TEST REPORT


### APPENDIX A – SYSTEM CHECK DATA

Plot #1

Operating Frequency : 2450MHz

Test Date: Jun 28, 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Head
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 39.53
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 1.86
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.04
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: Dipole
SAR Drift (%)	: -0.49%
Maximum location	: X=0.00, Y=0.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 9.84 W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 2.189 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 5.188 W/kg



## TEST REPORT


### APPENDIX A – SYSTEM CHECK DATA

Plot #2

Operating Frequency : 2450MHz

Test Date: Jun 28, 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Body
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 51.63
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 2.03
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.29
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: Dipole
SAR Drift (%)	: -0.22%
Maximum location	: X=0.00, Y=0.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 10.48 W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 2.308 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 5.494 W/kg



## TEST REPORT

### APPENDIX B – SAR EVALUATION DATA

#### Plot #1


Operating Frequency : 2437MHz

Product Description: Video Monitor - Parent Unit

Model: LF815HD PU (3.7VDC (1 x 3.7V 5000mAh 18.5Wh Li-Polymer rechargeable battery))

Test Date: Jun 28, 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Head
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 39.53
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 1.86
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.04
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: front 25mm separation (antenna extended)
SAR Drift (%)	: 1.64%
Maximum location	: X=-26.00, Y=-5.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 0.32W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 0.0845 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 0.1869 W/kg



## TEST REPORT

### APPENDIX B – SAR EVALUATION DATA

Plot #2


Operating Frequency : 2412MHz

Product Description: Video Monitor - Parent Unit

Model: LF815HD PU (3.7VDC (1 x 3.7V 5000mAh 18.5Wh Li-Polymer rechargeable battery))

Test Date: Jun 28, 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Head
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 51.63
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 2.03
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.29
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: Back 0mm separation (antenna close)
SAR Drift (%)	: 1.10%
Maximum location	: X=-24.00, Y=-7.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 1.43W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 0.3756 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 0.9232 W/kg



## TEST REPORT

### APPENDIX C – E-FIELD PROBE AND DIPOLE ANTENNA CALIBRATION



## Performance Verification Report


For MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

Frequency: 2450 MHZ

Serial No.: SN 22/16 DIP2G450-411


Date of Test: Jan 15, 2021

**PREPARED AND CHECKED BY:**

  
Intertek  
Testing  
Services Hong  
Kong Ltd.

Siu Yiu Nam  
Senior Lead Engineer  
Date: Feb 10, 2021

**APPROVED BY:**

  
Digitally signed by Chow Chi Ming,  
Billy  
DN: cn=Chow Chi Ming, Billy, o,  
ou=Intertek Testing Services HK  
Ltd,  
email=billy.chow@intertek.com,  
c=HK

Chow Chi Ming, Billy  
Manager  
Date: Feb 10, 2021

Intertek's standard Terms and Conditions can be obtained at our website <http://www.intertek.com/terms/>.

The test report only allows to be revised within the retention period unless further standard or the requirement was noticed.

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

© 2017 Intertek

**TEST REPORT****1. INTRODUCTION**

According to KDB 865664 SAR measurement 100MHz to 6GHz, it is necessary to re-calibrate reference dipoles at regular intervals to confirm the electrical specifications and SAR targets. A dipole must be calibrated using a fully validated SAR system according to the tissue dielectric parameters and SAR probe calibration frequency required for device testing. It is generally unacceptable to calibrate a dipole using the SAR system that has been validated by the same dipole; therefore, dipoles should be returned to the SAR system manufacturer or its designated calibration facilities for re-calibration. However, instead of the typical annual calibration recommended by measurement standards, longer calibration intervals of up to three years may be considered when it is demonstrated that the SAR target, impedance and return loss of a dipole have remain stable according to the following requirements.

1. The test laboratory must ensure that the required supporting information and documentation are included in the SAR report to qualify for the three-year extended calibration interval; otherwise, the IEEE Std 1528 recommended annual calibration applies.
2. Immediate re-calibration is required for the following conditions.
  - a. After a dipole is damaged and properly repaired to meet required specifications.
  - b. When the measured SAR deviates from the calibrated SAR value by more than 10% due to changes in physical, mechanical, electrical or other relevant dipole conditions; i.e., the error is not introduced by incorrect measurement procedures or other issues relating to the SAR measurement system.
  - c. When the most recent return-loss result, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 20% from the previous measurement (i.e. value in dB x 0.2) or not meeting the required 20 dB minimum return-loss requirement.
  - d. When the most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 5  $\Omega$  from the previous measurement.

And the purpose of this dipole validation report is to demonstrate the SAR target, impedance and return loss of the dipole are still remain stable with reference to the annual calibration data.

## 2. MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

Measurements were performed using a SAM TWIN phantom with 2mm wall thickness.

A Rhode & Schwarz vector network analyzer was used for the return loss measurements. The dipole was placed in a special holder made of low permittivity, low-loss materials. This holder enables the dipole to be positioned accurately in the centre of the wall of the SAM TWIN phantom used for flat surface testing and verification checks.

### 2.1. Equipment List

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
SAR System	EW-3211	MVG	SATIMO System (OpenSAR Software V4_02_34)	N/A	N/A
Phantom	EW-3211	SATIMO	COMOSAR SAM PHANTOM	N/A	N/A
Digital Multimeter	EW-3206	KEITHLEY	2000	28 Aug 2019	28 Feb 2021
SAR Probe	EW-3210	MVG	SSE2 (SN 36/20 EPGO347)	12 Nov 2020	12 Nov 2021
SAR Dipole	EW-3212	MVG	SN 22/16 DIP2G450-411	08 Apr 2019	08 Apr 2022
Dielectric Probe for SAR Test	EW-3213	EW-3213	Liquid Measurement Kit (SN 24/16 OCPG 76)	29 Jun 2020	29 Jun 2021
Head Liquid Tissue	N/A	MVG	Head Liquid 1900MHz	Refer to Section 2.2	
Body Liquid Tissue	N/A	MVG	Body Liquid 1900MHz	Refer to Section 2.2	
Network Analyzer	EW-3192	Rhode & Schwarz	ZVL6	26 Aug 2019	26 Feb 2021
Vector Signal Generator	EW-3457	ROHDESCHWARZ	SMBV100B	25 Feb 2020	25 Feb 2021
Dual-directional coupler (0.1-2.0)GHz	EW-3189	KEYSIGHT	778D	07 Dec 2020	07 Dec 2021
Wideband power sensor	EW-3309	ROHDESCHWARZ	NRP-Z81	18 May 2020	18 May 2021
VTL 5400 Amplifier 10 - 2500 MHz 3W	EW-3185	INDEXSAR	VTL 5400	07 Dec 2020	07 Dec 2021

## TEST REPORT

### 2.2. Tissue Simulating Liquid

For SAR measurement of field distribution inside phantom, homogeneous tissue simulating liquid as below liquid recipes were filled to a depth of  $15\text{cm} \pm 0.5\text{cm}$  for below 3GHz measurement and of  $10\text{cm} \pm 0.5\text{cm}$  for above 3GHz.

#### HEAD TISSUE RECIPES

Frequency	De-ionized Water	Salt	Ingredients			
			1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	33.5%	3.4%	63.1%			
750 MHz	34.2%	1.4%	64.4%			
900 MHz	35.3%	1.0%	63.7%			
1800 MHz	55.2%	0.6%		13.8%		30.4%
1900 MHz	55.3%	0.5%		13.8%		30.4%
2000 MHz	55.3%	0.4%		13.8%		30.5%
2450 MHz	55.7%	0.3%		18.7%		25.3%
5000 MHz	65.3%				17.2%	17.5%

#### BODY TISSUE RECIPES

Frequency	De-ionized Water	Salt	Ingredients			
			1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	52.4%	1.9%	45.7%			
750 MHz	55.4%	1.3%	43.3%			
900 MHz	52.9%	1.0%	46.1%			
1800 MHz	70.8%	0.5%		8.7%		20.0%
1900 MHz	70.1%	0.4%		8.9%		20.6%
2000 MHz	70.2%	0.3%		8.6%		20.9%
2450 MHz	70.8%	0.3%		8.7%		20.2%
5000 MHz	77.8%				11.7%	11.5%

## TEST REPORT

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE Std 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. For other head and body tissue parameters, they are recommended by KDB 865664.

Target Frequency (MHz)	head		body	
	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	1.01	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

( $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

When a transmission band overlaps with one of the target frequencies, the tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the middle of a device transmission band should be within  $\pm 5\%$  of the parameters specified at that target frequency.

## TEST REPORT

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using SATIMO Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6.

The dielectric parameters were:

### Head Liquid

Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	$\epsilon_r$ / Relative Permittivity			$\sigma$ / Conductivity			$\rho$ **(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	
2450	21.3	39.33	39.20	0.33	1.78	1.80	-1.11	1000

\* Target values refer to KDB 865664

\*\* Worst-case assumption

Note:

1. Date of tissue verification measurement: Jan 15, 2021
2. Ambient temperature: 21.9 deg C
3. The temperature condition is within +/- 2 deg. C during the SAR measurements.

### Body Liquid

Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	$\epsilon_r$ / Relative Permittivity			$\sigma$ / Conductivity			$\rho$ **(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 5\%$ )	
2450	21.3	51.99	52.70	-1.35	1.93	1.95	-1.03	1000

\* Target values refer to KDB 865664

\*\* Worst-case assumption

Note:

1. Date of tissue verification measurement: Jan 15, 2021
2. Ambient temperature: 21.9 deg C
3. The temperature condition is within +/- 2 deg. C during the SAR measurements.


## 3. DIPOLE IMPEDANCE AND RETURN LOSS

The dipoles are designed to have low return loss ONLY when presented against a lossy-phantom at the specified distance. A Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) was used to perform a return loss measurement on the specific dipole when in the measurement-location against the SAM TWIN phantom. The distance was as specified in the standard i.e. 10mm from the liquid (for 2450MHz).

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with the network analyzer.

### Head Liquid

#### DIPOLE IMPEDANCE




Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Dipole impedance $\text{Re}\{Z\}$			Dipole impedance $\text{Im}\{Z\}$		
		measured	Target*	$\Delta (\pm 5\Omega)$	measured	Target*	$\Delta (\pm 5\Omega)$
2450	21.5	44.1	46.9	-2.8	-1.4	-3.1	-1.7

## TEST REPORT

### RETURN LOSS

2/F., Garment Centre,  
576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon,  
Hong Kong.

Telephone: (852) 2173 8888  
Facsimile: (852) 2785 5487  
www.intertek.com



Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Return loss		
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 20\%$ )
2450	21.7	-24.34	-26.96	9.72%

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report




## TEST REPORT

2/F., Garment Centre,  
576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon,  
Hong Kong.

Telephone: (852) 2173 8888  
Facsimile: (852) 2785 5487  
www.intertek.com

## Body Liquid

## DIPOLE IMPEDANCE




Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Dipole impedance $\text{Re}\{Z\}$			Dipole impedance $\text{Im}\{Z\}$		
		measured	Target*	$\Delta (\pm 5\Omega)$	measured	Target*	$\Delta (\pm 5\Omega)$
2450	21.5	47.0	50.5	-3.5	-2.8	-4.8	2

## TEST REPORT

2/F., Garment Centre,  
576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon,  
Hong Kong.

Telephone: (852) 2173 8888  
Facsimile: (852) 2785 5487  
www.intertek.com

## RETURN LOSS




Freq. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Return loss		
		measured	Target*	$\Delta$ ( $\pm 20\%$ )
2450	21.5	-27.49	-26.43	-4.01%

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

#### 4. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Routine record keeping procedures should be established for tracking the calibration and performance of SAR measurement system. When SAR measurements are performed, the entire measurement system should be checked daily within the device transmitting frequency ranges to verify system accuracy. A flat phantom irradiated by a half-wavelength dipole is typically used to verify the measurement accuracy of a system. According to KDB 865664, at 300MHz to 6GHz, measurements must be within  $\pm 100\text{MHz}$  of the probe calibration point frequency or the valid frequency range supported by the probe calibration, whichever is less. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target values specified for the specific phantom and RF source used in the system verification measurement.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system check setup is shown as below.



### SYSTEM CHECK RESULTS

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	System Diople	System Verification				
				Serial No.	Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (±10%)
Jan 15	2450	Head	2450MHz	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	54.14	5.023	50.23	-7.22

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

\* Input power level = 20dBm (0.1W)

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	System Diople	System Verification				
				Serial No.	Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (±10%)
Jan 15	2450	Body	2450MHz	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	51.71	5.295	52.95	2.40

\* the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

\* Input power level = 20dBm (0.1W)

SAR<sub>1g</sub> ambient measured value < 12 mW/kg

Details of System Verification plots are shown in the Appendix A - plot 1 and 2.

## TEST REPORT


### APPENDIX A – SYSTEM CHECK DATA

Plot #1

Operating Frequency : 2450MHz

Test Date: Jan 15 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Head
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 39.331
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 1.782
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.04
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: Dipole
SAR Drift (%)	: -0.40%
Maximum location	: X=0.00, Y=0.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 9.51 W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 2.121 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 5.023 W/kg



## TEST REPORT

2/F., Garment Centre,  
576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon,  
Hong Kong.

Telephone: (852) 2173 8888  
Facsimile: (852) 2785 5487  
www.intertek.com


## APPENDIX A – SYSTEM CHECK DATA (CONT'D)

Plot #2

Operating Frequency : 2450MHz

Test Date: Jan 15 2021

Medium (Liquid Type)	: 2450 Body
Relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$	: 51.994
Conductivity $\sigma$ :	: 1.929
Probe	: Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 36/20 EPG0347
Crest factor	: 1.0
Conversion Factor	: 2.29
Area Scan	: dx=8mm, dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	: 7x7x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	: SAM phantom
Device Position	: Dipole
SAR Drift (%)	: -1.73%
Maximum location	: X=0.00, Y=0.00
SAR Peak (W/kg)	: 10.06 W/kg
SAR 10g (W/kg)	: 2.240 W/kg
SAR 1g (W/kg)	: 5.295 W/kg





## **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref : ACR.98.9.19.SATU.A

### **INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES HONG KONG LIMITED**

**WORKSHOP NO. 3 G/F, WORLD-WIDE INDUSTRIAL  
CENTRE, 43-47 SHAN MEI STREET,  
FO TAN, SHA TIN, N.T. HONG KONG  
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE**

**FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ**

**SERIAL NO.: SN 22/16 DIP2G450-411**

**Calibrated at MVG US**




**2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144**



**Calibration Date: 04/08/2019**

#### *Summary:*

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/8/2019	
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/8/2019	
<i>Approved by :</i>	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	4/8/2019	

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	4/8/2019	Initial release





---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test .....	4
3	Product Description .....	4
3.1	General Information .....	4
4	Measurement Method .....	5
4.1	Return Loss Requirements .....	5
4.2	Mechanical Requirements.....	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty .....	5
5.1	Return Loss .....	5
5.2	Dimension Measurement .....	5
5.3	Validation Measurement.....	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results .....	6
6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid .....	6
6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid .....	6
6.3	Mechanical Dimensions .....	6
7	Validation measurement .....	7
7.1	Head Liquid Measurement .....	7
7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid.....	8
7.3	Body Liquid Measurement .....	9
7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid .....	10
8	List of Equipment .....	11

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

## 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 22/16 DIP2G450-411
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

## 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

### 3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



**Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole**

## 4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

## 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

### 5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

### 5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT


The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

10 g	20.1 %
------	--------


## 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

### 6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-26.96	-20	46.9 $\Omega$ - 3.1 j $\Omega$

### 6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-26.43	-20	50.5 $\Omega$ - 4.8 j $\Omega$

### 6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 $\pm$ 1 %.		250.0 $\pm$ 1 %.		6.35 $\pm$ 1 %.	



450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0 ±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7 ±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

## 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

### 7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity ( $\epsilon_r'$ )		Conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	


## 7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 37.5 sigma : 1.80
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	

1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	54.14 (5.41)	24	24.16 (2.42)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	



## 7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT


Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity ( $\epsilon_r'$ )		Conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 $\pm$ 5 %		0.80 $\pm$ 5 %	
300	58.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.92 $\pm$ 5 %	
450	56.7 $\pm$ 5 %		0.94 $\pm$ 5 %	
750	55.5 $\pm$ 5 %		0.96 $\pm$ 5 %	
835	55.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.97 $\pm$ 5 %	
900	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.05 $\pm$ 5 %	
915	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.06 $\pm$ 5 %	
1450	54.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.30 $\pm$ 5 %	
1610	53.8 $\pm$ 5 %		1.40 $\pm$ 5 %	
1800	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
1900	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2000	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2100	53.2 $\pm$ 5 %		1.62 $\pm$ 5 %	

2300	52.9 ±5 %		1.81 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
3700	51.0 ±5 %		3.55 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

## 7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: $\epsilon_{ps}'$ : 53.2 sigma : 1.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	51.71 (5.17)	23.51 (2.35)







## 8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2019	02/2022
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	01/2017	01/2020
Reference Probe	MVG	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020



## Dielectric Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.181.1.20.MVGB.A

### **INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES HONG KONG LIMITED**

**WORKSHOP NO. 3 G/F, WORLD-WIDE INDUSTRIAL  
CENTRE, 43-47 SHAN MEI STREET,  
FO TAN, SHA TIN, N.T. HONG KONG  
MVG LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE**

**FREQUENCY: 0.4-6 GHZ**

**SERIAL NO.: SN 24/16 OCPG76**

**Calibrated at MVG**

**Z.I. de la pointe du diable**

**Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon**

**29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE**




**Calibration date: 6/29/2020**



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814  
Scope available on [www.cofrac.fr](http://www.cofrac.fr)

#### *Summary:*

This document presents the method and results from an accredited Dielectric Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the LIMESAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	6/29/2020	
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	6/29/2020	
<i>Approved by :</i>	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	6/29/2020	

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	Jérôme LUC	6/29/2020	Initial release



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test .....	4
3	Product Description .....	4
3.1	General Information .....	4
4	Measurement Method .....	5
4.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurements .....	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty .....	5
5.1	Dielectric Permittivity Measurement .....	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results .....	5
6.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurement .....	6
7	List of Equipment .....	7

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the suggested methods and requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for liquid permittivity measurements and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

## 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SCLMP
Serial Number	SN 24/16 OCPG76
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

## 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

### 3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's Dielectric Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the LIMESAR test bench only.



**Figure 1 – MVG LIMESAR Dielectric Probe**

## 4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01 and CEI/IEC 62209-1 & 2 standards outline techniques for dielectric property measurements. The LIMESAR test bench employs one of the methods outlined in the standards, using a contact probe or open-ended coaxial transmission-line probe and vector network analyzer. The standards recommend the measurement of two reference materials that have well established and stable dielectric properties to validate the system, one for the calibration and one for checking the calibration. The LIMESAR test bench uses De-ionized water as the reference for the calibration and either DMS or Methanol as the reference for checking the calibration. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

The permittivity of a liquid with well established dielectric properties was measured and the measurement results compared to the values provided in the fore mentioned standards.

## 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of  $k=2$ , traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

### 5.1 DIELECTRIC PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the Dielectric Permittivity measurement:

Uncertainty analysis of Permittivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%, $k = 2$ )					10 %

Uncertainty analysis of Conductivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%, $k = 2$ )					8.2%


## 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

### Measurement Condition

Software	LIMESAR
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-80 %

## 6.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

A liquid of known characteristics (methanol or ethanediol) is measured with the probe and the results (complex permittivity  $\epsilon' + j\epsilon''$ ) are compared with the reference values for this liquid.



## 7 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
LIMESAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Methanol CAS 67-56-1	Alpha Aesar	Lot D13W011	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020





## COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.317.1.20.MVGB.A

### **INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES HONG KONG LIMITED**

**WORKSHOP NO. 3 G/F, WORLD-WIDE INDUSTRIAL  
CENTRE, 43-47 SHAN MEI STREET,  
FO TAN, SHA TIN, N.T. HONG KONG  
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE  
SERIAL NO.: SN 36/20 EPG0347**

**Calibrated at MVG**

**Z.I. de la pointe du diable**

**Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon**

**29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE**



**Calibration date: 11/12/2020**



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814  
Scope available on [www.cofrac.fr](http://www.cofrac.fr)

#### *Summary:*

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	11/12/2020	
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	11/12/2020	
<i>Approved by :</i>	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	11/16/2020	

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	Jérôme LUC	11/12/2020	Initial release

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Device Under Test .....	4
2	Product Description .....	4
2.1	General Information .....	4
3	Measurement Method .....	4
3.1	Linearity .....	4
3.2	Sensitivity .....	5
3.3	Lower Detection Limit .....	5
3.4	Isotropy .....	5
3.1	Boundary Effect .....	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty .....	6
5	Calibration Measurement Results .....	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air .....	6
5.2	Linearity .....	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid .....	8
5.4	Isotropy .....	9
6	List of Equipment .....	10

## 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 36/20 EPGO347
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.228 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.232 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.213 MΩ

## 2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



**Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole**

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

## 3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

### 3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and  $d_{be} + d_{step}$  along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$SAR_{uncertainty} [\%] = \Delta SAR_{be} \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{(e^{-d_{be}/\delta})}{\delta/2} \quad \text{for } (d_{be} + d_{step}) < 10 \text{ mm}$$

where

$SAR_{uncertainty}$  is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect

$d_{be}$  is the distance between the surface and the closest *zoom-scan* measurement point, in millimetre

$\Delta_{step}$  is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect at the second location is negligible

$\delta$  is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent liquids defined in this standard, i.e.,  $\delta \approx 14$  mm at 3 GHz;

$\Delta SAR_{be}$  in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the distance  $d_{be}$  from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

#### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

#### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-80 %

##### 5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR


Normx dipole 1 (μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup> )	Normy dipole 2 (μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup> )	Normz dipole 3 (μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup> )
0.80	0.60	0.79

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
110	109	108

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:


$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

### Calibration curves



## 5.2 LINEARITY

### Linearity



Linearity: +/-1.17% (+/-0.05dB)

### 5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

<u>Liquid</u>	<u>Frequency</u> <u>(MHz +/-</u> <u>100MHz)</u>	<u>ConvF</u>
HL450*	450	1.77
BL450*	450	1.74
HL750	750	1.67
BL750	750	1.82
HL850	835	1.65
BL850	835	1.78
HL900	900	1.75
BL900	900	1.81
HL1800	1800	1.43
BL1800	1800	1.88
HL1900	1900	1.99
BL1900	1900	2.08
HL2000	2000	2.12
BL2000	2000	2.21
HL2300	2300	2.01
BL2300	2300	2.25
HL2450	2450	2.04
BL2450	2450	2.29
HL2600	2600	2.07
BL2600	2600	2.20
HL5200	5200	1.65
BL5200	5200	1.50
HL5400	5400	1.79
BL5400	5400	1.69
HL5600	5600	2.11
BL5600	5600	1.91
HL5800	5800	1.99
BL5800	5800	1.81


\* Frequency not covered by COFRAC scope, calibration not accredited

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg



## 5.4 ISOTROPY

### HL1800 MHz





## 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023

## TEST REPORT

### APPENDIX D – SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION

Per KDB 865664, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. SAR measurement systems are validated according to procedures in KDB 865664. The validation status is documented according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probe and tissue dielectric parameters. When multiple SAR system is used, the validation status of each SAR system is needed to be documented separately according to the associated system components.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probe and tissue dielectric parameters are shown as below.

Date	Probe S/N	Tested Freq. (MHz)	Tissue Type	Perm	Cond	CW Validation			Mod. Validation		
						Sensitivity	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	Mod. Type	Duty Factor	Peak to average power ratio
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Head	38.64	1.87	PASS	PASS	PASS	FHSS	PASS	PASS
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Body	51.77	2.00	PASS	PASS	PASS	FHSS	PASS	PASS
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Head	38.64	1.87	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Body	51.77	2.00	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Head	38.64	1.87	PASS	PASS	PASS	DSSS	PASS	N/A
21/01/2021	EPGO 283	2450	Body	51.77	2.00	PASS	PASS	PASS	DSSS	PASS	N/A