

## **Appendix D:**

### **Dipole Calibration Parameters**

039924

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
**Schmid & Partner**  
**Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

**Client**      **Kyocera USA**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s)      D1900V2 - SN:5d003

Calibration procedure(s)      QA CAL-05.v2  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date:      April 15, 2004



Condition of the calibrated item      In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Judith Mueller	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: April 20, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Schmid &amp; Partner Engineering AG

**s p e a g**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779  
info@speag.com, <http://www.speag.com>

# DASY

## Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1900V2

Serial: 5d003

Manufactured: February 14, 2002  
Calibrated: April 15, 2004

## 1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **head simulating liquid** of the following electrical parameters at 1900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>40.1</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.45 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 4.96 at 1900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW  $\pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## 2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of tissue:	<b>39.3 mW/g</b> $\pm 16.8\%$ (k=2) <sup>1</sup>
averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of tissue:	<b>20.6 mW/g</b> $\pm 16.2\%$ (k=2) <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> validation uncertainty

### 3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	<b>1.188 ns</b>	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	<b>0.973</b>	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance spacer was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = $	<b>50.2 <math>\Omega</math></b>
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = $	<b>1.1 <math>\Omega</math></b>
Return Loss at 1900 MHz		<b>-39.3 dB</b>

### 4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **body simulating tissue** of the following electrical parameters at 1900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>52.2</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.58 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 4.57 at 1900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW  $\pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## 5. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1 W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of tissue: **41.6 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of tissue: **21.9 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

## 6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance spacer was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1900 MHz: **Re{Z} = 46.9 Ω**

**Im {Z} = 1.4 Ω**

Return Loss at 1900 MHz **-28.9 dB**

## 7. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

## 8. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Small end caps have been added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in Section 1. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

## 9. Power Test

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

---

<sup>2</sup> validation uncertainty

Date/Time: 04/15/04 15:14:36

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d003**

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1900 MHz;

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.96, 4.96, 4.96); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 91.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.002 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.4 mW/g


**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,

 $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 91.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.002 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.1 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 9.83 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.16 mW/g**


17201


15 Apr 2004 09:03:07  
CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 50.238  $\Omega$  1.0684  $\Omega$  89.492 pH 1 900.000 000 MHz

Del

Cor

Avg  
16


↑



CH2 S11 LOG 6 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-39.268 dB 1 900.000 000 MHz

Cor

↑





Date/Time: 04/14/04 12:04:25

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d003**

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz;

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.58 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DAS4 (High Precision Assessment)

DAS4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.57, 4.57, 4.57); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DAS4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 89.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 12 mW/g


**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm,

dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 89.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.7 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.5 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 10.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.47 mW/g**


See/

