

0659



FCC SAR Test Report

FCC ID: CJ6PYT0XPC

Report No. : BTL-FCC SAR-1-2103T163

Equipment: Notebook Computer

Model Name : dynabook E10-S

Series Model : SATELLITE PRO E10-S, dynabook E10W-S, SATELLITE PRO E10W-S

Brand Name : dynabook

Applicant: Dynabook Inc.

Address: 6-15, Toyosu 5-chome, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8505, Japan

Manufacturer : Dynabook Inc.

Address : 6-15, Toyosu 5-chome, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8505, Japan

Radio Function : WLAN 2.4G, WLAN 5G, Bluetooth

Standard(s) : KDB447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

KDB248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02

KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04

KDB865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02 KDB616217 D04 SAR for laptop and Tablets

 Date of Receipt
 : 2021/3/30

 Date of Test
 : 2021/4/13

 Issued Date
 : 2021/4/26

The above equipment has been tested and found in compliance with the requirement of the above standards by BTL Inc.

Prepared by :

Jerry Chuang, Engineer

Peter Chen, Section Manager

BTL Inc.

Approved by

No.18, Ln. 171, Sec. 2, Jiuzong Rd., Neihu Dist., Taipei City 114, Taiwan

Tel: +886-2-2657-3299 Fax: +886-2-2657-3331 Web: www.newbtl.com

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 1 of 35 Report Version: R00



Declaration

BTL represents to the client that testing is done in accordance with standard procedures as applicable and that test instruments used has been calibrated with standards traceable to international standard(s) and/or national standard(s).

BTL's reports apply only to the specific samples tested under conditions. It is manufacture's responsibility to ensure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with the identical electrical and mechanical components. **BTL** shall have no liability for any declarations, inferences or generalizations drawn by the client or others from **BTL** issued reports.

This report is the confidential property of the client. As a mutual protection to the clients, the public and ourselves, the test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without our written approval.

BTL's laboratory quality assurance procedures are in compliance with the ISO/IEC 17025 requirements, and accredited by the conformity assessment authorities listed in this test report.

BTL is not responsible for the sampling stage, so the results only apply to the sample as received.

The information, data and test plan are provided by manufacturer which may affect the validity of results, so it is manufacturer's responsibility to ensure that the apparatus meets the essential requirements of applied standards and in all the possible configurations as representative of its intended use.

Limitation

For the use of the authority's logo is limited unless the Test Standard(s)/Scope(s)/Item(s) mentioned in this test report is (are) included in the conformity assessment authorities acceptance respective.

Please note that the measurement uncertainty is provided for informational purpose only and are not use in determining the Pass/Fail results.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 2 of 35 Report Version: R00





Table of Contents	Page
1 GENERAL INFORMATION	6
1.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	6
2 RF EMISSIONS MEASUREMENT	7
2.1 TEST FACILITY	7
2.2 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	7
2.3 WLAN ANTENNA INFORMATION:	9
2.4 THE MAXIMUM SAR-1G VALUES	9
2.5 LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT	9
2.6 MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS	10
3 SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	11
3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	11
3.1.1 TEST SETUP LAYOUT	11
3.2 DASY5 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	12
3.2.1 EX3DV4 PROBE SPECIFICATION	12
3.2.2 E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION	13
3.2.3 OTHER TEST EQUIPMENT	14
3.2.4 SCANNING PROCEDURE	15
3.2.5 DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION	16
3.2.6 DATA EVALUATION BY SEMCAD	17
4 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID	19
4.1 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID INGREDIENTS	19
4.2 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID PROPERTIES	20
5 SYSTEM CHECK	21
5.1 DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK	21
5.2 DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK	22
6 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST	23
6.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF TEST PROCEDURES	23
6.2 TEST POSITION ANTENNA LOCATION	23
6.3 TEST POSITION OF PORTABLE DEVICES	23
6.4 TEST POSITION	24
6.4.1 BODY TEST CONFIGURATION	24
6.3 SAR EXCLUSION CALCULATIONS FOR WI-FI ANTENNA < 50MM FROM THE USEF 6.4 SAR EXCLUSION CALCULATIONS FOR WI-FI ANTENNA > 50MM FROM THE USEF	
	_
7 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY	26
7.1 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	26
7.2 TEST CONFIGURATION 7.2.1 WIFI TEST CONFIGURATION	27 27
7.2.1 WIFI TEST CONFIGURATION 7.2.2 WLAN 2.4G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS	27 27
7.2.2 WLAN 2.4G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS 7.2.3 WLAN 5G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS	2 <i>1</i> 28
7.2.4 OFDM TRANSMISSION MODE AND SAR TEST CHANNEL SELECTION	28
7.2.5 INITIAL TEST CONFIGURATION PROCEDURE	28
	20





8 CONDUCTED POWER RESULTS	29
8.1 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF BLUETOOTH	29
8.2 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF WI-FI 2.4GHZ BAND	29
8.3 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G	30
8.4 SARTEST RESULTS	31
9 SAR TEST RESULTS	32
9.1 BODY SAR TEST RESULTS	32
10. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS	33
10.1 ESTIMATED SAR FOR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION SAR ANALYSIS	33
10.1.1 ESTIMATED SAR FOR BLUETOOTH	33
11. TEST LAYOUT	34



			Report No.: B	ΓL-FCC SAR-1-2103T1
	DI	TRADE ICCUED HICEORY		
Papart Varsian	RI	EPORT ISSUED HISTORY Description		Issued Date
Report Version R00	Original Issue.	Description		Issued Date 2021/4/22
1100	Original 133dc.			2021/4/22



1GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

Equipment	Notebook Computer	Notebook Computer			
Model Name	dynabook E10-S	dynabook E10-S			
Series Model	SATELLITE PRO E1	0-S, dynabook E10W-S, SAT	ELLITE PRO E10W-S		
Brand Name	Dynabook Inc				
Model Difference	Different model distr	ibute to different area.			
Power Source	DC voltage supplied	from External Power Supply.	(BSY/BSY065T1902102D)		
Power Rating	I/P:100 -240V~1.5A O/P: 19.0Vdc 2.1A 3				
Battery Information	Brand:dynabook Model:4588105-2S Rating:7.6V / 6000mAh / 45.6Wh				
WIFI+BT Module	Fn-Link / 6221C-PU	C			
	Function	Band	Frequency (MHz)		
		2.4G	TX : 2412 - 2472		
	Wi-Fi	5G_UNII 1	TX : 5180 - 5240		
Operation Frequency		5G_UNII 3	TX : 5745 - 5825		
		Basic Rate (BR)	TX : 2402 - 2480		
	Bluetooth	Enhance Data Rate	TX : 2402 - 2480		
		Bluetooth Low Energy	TX : 2402 - 2480		
Test Model	dynabook E10-S				
Sample Status	Engineering Sample				
EUT Modification(s)	N/A				

The above equipment has been tested and found compliance with the requirement of the relative standards by BTL Inc. The test data, data evaluation, and equipment configuration contained in our test report were obtained utilizing the test procedures, test instruments, test sites that has been accredited by the Authority of TAF according to the ISO/IEC 17025 quality assessment standard and technical standard(s).

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 6 of 35 Report Version: R00

2 SUMMARY OF SAR MEASUREMENT

2.1 TEST FACILITY

The test facilities used to collect the test data in this report is **SAR Test room** at the location of No. 68-1, Ln. 169, Sec.2, Datong Rd., Xizhi Dist., New Taipei City 221, Taiwan.

2.2 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Uncertainty Budget for Frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz

Error Description	Uncert Valu	ainty ue	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)	Vi V _{eff}
	•		Measureme	ent Syster	n				
Probe Calibration	6.0)5	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.05 %	± 6.05 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.	7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	8
Boundary Effects	1		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	8
Linearity	4.7	7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞
Detection Limits	1		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation response	2.4	4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	3	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response Time	0.0	8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5%	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	2.6	6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient – Noise	3		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient– Reflections	3		Rectangula	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	0.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	8
Probe Positioning	2.9	9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	±1.7 %	8
Post-processing	4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	8
Max.SAR Evaluation	2		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.15 %	± 1.15 %	8
			Test Samp	le Related	ł				
Device Positioning	1.6	1.8	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.6 %	± 1.8 %	145
Device Holder	1.5	1.7	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.7 %	5
Power Drift	5.0	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
	T		Phantom a	and Setup	1				ī
Phantom Production Tolerances	6.	1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.52	3.52	∞
SAR correction	1.9		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	1.10	1.10	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.08	1.08	∞
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	0.36	0.36	∞
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.53	1.53	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.05	0.05	∞
Combined	Combined Standard Uncertainty (K = 1)							± 10.48 %	361
Expanded Uncertainty (K = 2)							± 20.84 %	± 20.97 %	



Uncertainty Budge Error Description	Uncertainty Value (± %)		Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)	Vi V _{eff}
			Measu	rement Sy	stem				
Probe Calibration	6	.65	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.65 %	± 6.65 %	8
Axial Isotropy	4	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	Ş	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	~
Boundary Effects		2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %	∞
Linearity	4	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞
Detection Limits		1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation response	2	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Readout Electronics	().3	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response Time	(0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5%	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	2	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient – Noise		3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient– Reflections	3		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	0.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	8
Probe Positioning	(6.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 3.9 %	±3.9 %	8
Post-processing		4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
Max.SAR Evaluation		4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
			Test S	ample Rel	ated				
Device Positioning	1.6	1.8	Normal	1	1	1	±1.6 %	± 1.8 %	145
Device Holder	1.5	1.7	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.7 %	5
Power Drift	į	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
			Phant	om and Se	etup				
Phantom Production Tolerances	(6.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.81	3.81	∞
SAR correction	,	1.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	1.10	0.92	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.08	0.98	∞
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	0.36	0.36	∞
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.53	1.39	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.05 ± 11.65 %	0.06	∞
Comb	Combined Standard Uncertainty (K = 1)							± 11.66 %	361
Expanded Uncertainty (K = 2)							± 23.29 %	± 23.33 %	



2.3 WLAN ANTENNA INFORMATION:

Ant.	Brand	Model	Туре	Frequency Range (MHz)	Gain (dBi)
				2400-2500	1.95
Main	SLEing	SLEingB222060295	PIFA	5150-5250	1.64
				5725-5850	1.48
				2400-2500	1.79
Aux SLEing	SLEingB222070515	PIFA	5150-5250	1.63	
	_			5725-5850	1.96

2.4 THE MAXIMUM SAR-1G VALUES

Band	Mode	Highest Body Reported SAR-1g(W/kg)
DTS	Wi-Fi 2.4G	0.715
UNII	Wi-Fi 5.2	0.234
	Wi-Fi 5.8G	0.326

Note:

1) The device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate(SAR)for general population uncontrolled exposure limits according to the FCC rule §2.1093, the ANSI C95.1:2019/IEEE C95.1:2019, the NCRP Report Number 86 for uncontrolled environment and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013.

2.5 LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT

Temperature	Min. = 18°C, Max. = 25°C			
Relative humidity	Min. = 30%, Max. = 70%			
Ground system resistance	< 0.5 Ω			
Application in the short and sound construct and in according to the sound and according to the standards.				

Ambient noise is checked and found very low and in compliance with requirement of standards. Reflection of surrounding objects is minimized and in compliance with requirement of standards.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 9 of 35 Report Version: R00



2.6 MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS

Item	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
1	Data Acquisition Electronics	Speag	DAE4	1486	June. 04, 2020	1 Year
2	E-field Probe	Speag	EX3DV4	7369	May. 29, 2020	1 Year
3	System Validation Dipole	Speag	D2450V2	973	Feb. 08, 2021	3 Year
4	System Validation Dipole	Speag	D5GHzV2	1221	Feb. 09, 2021	3 Year
5	ELI4 Phantom	Speag	ELI4 Phantom V5.0	1240	N/A	N/A
6	ENA Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46524658	Mar. 17, 202	1 Year
7	EXG Vector Signal Generator	Agilent	N5172B	MY53051229	Jun. 20, 2020	1 Year
8	Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A	MY54200240	Jun. 11, 2020	1 Year
9	Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1128008	Jun. 11, 2020	1 Year
10	Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1126001	Jun. 11, 2020	1 Year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070E	2593	N/A	N/A
12	Low pass filter	Mini-Circuits	SLP-2950+	M108294	N/A	N/A
13	Power Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-2W-272+	N650001538	N/A	N/A
14	Power Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G+	N628801631	N/A	N/A
15	Thermometer	PA	O-230PK	N/A	Mar. 10, 2021	1 Year

Remark: "N/A" denotes no model name, serial No. or calibration specified.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 10 of 35 Report Version: R00




3 SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- 1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- 2. A dosimetric probe, i.e. an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- 3. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- 4. A unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.
- 5. The Electro-Optical Coupler (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the DASY5 measurement server.
- The DASY5 measurement server, which performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. A computer operating Windows.
- 7. DASY5 software and SEMCAD data evaluation software.
- 8. Remote control with teach panel and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- 9. The generic twin phantom enabling the testing of left-hand and right-hand usage.
- 10. The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- 11. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- 12. System validation dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1.1 TEST SETUP LAYOUT



Project No.: 2103T163 Page 11 of 35 Report Version: R00



3.2 DASY5 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

3.2.1 EX3DV4 PROBE SPECIFICATION

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0 mm





EX3DV4 E-field Probe

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 12 of 35 Report Version: R00



3.2.2 E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a wave guide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\mathbf{SAR} = \mathbf{C} \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where: $\Delta t = \text{Exposure time (30 seconds)},$

C = Heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle), ΔT = Temperature increase due to RF exposure.

Or SAR =
$$\frac{|E|^2 \sigma}{\rho}$$

Where: σ = Simulated tissue conductivity, ρ = Tissue density (kg/m3).

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 13 of 35 Report Version: R00



3.2.3 OTHER TEST EQUIPMENT

3.2.3.1. DEVICE HOLDER FOR TRANSMITTERS

Construction: Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.) It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner. The extension is fully compatible with the Twin SAM, ELI4 and SAM v6.0 Phantoms.

Material: POM, Acrylic glass, Foam

3.2.3.2 PHANTOM

Model	ELI4 Phantom
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of
	handheld and body-mounted wireless
	devices in the frequency range of 30
	MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible
	with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all
	known tissue simulating liquids. ELI
	has been optimized regarding its
	performance and can be integrated
	into our standard phantom tables. A
	cover prevents evaporation of the
	liquid. Reference markings on the
	phantom allow installation of the
	complete setup, including all
	predefined phantom positions and
	measurement grids, by teaching three
	points. The phantom is compatible
	with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and
	dipoles.
Shell Thickness	2±0.1 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 30 liters
Dimensions	Length: 600 mm; Width: 190mm
	Height: adjustable feet
Aailable	Special



Model	Twin SAM
Construction	The shell corresponds to the
	specifications of the Specific
	Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM)
	phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC
	62209-1. It enables the dosimetric
	evaluation of left and right hand phone
	usage as well as body mounted usage
	at the flat phantom region. A cover
	prevents evaporation of the liquid.
	Reference markings on the phantom
	allow the complete setup of all
	predefined phantom positions and
	measurement grids by teaching three
	points with the robot.
Shell Thickness	$2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	Length:1000mm; Width: 500mm
פווטופווזווט	Height: adjustable feet
Aailable	Special




Project No.: 2103T163 Page 14 of 35 Report Version: R00





3.2.4 SCANNING PROCEDURE

The SAR test against the head and body-worn phantom was carried out as follow:



After an area scan has been done at a fixed distance of 1.4mm from the surface of the phantom on the source side, a 3D scan is set up around the location of the maximum spot SAR. First, a point within the scan area is visited by the probe and a SAR reading taken at the start of testing. At the end of testing, the probe is returned to the same point and a second reading is taken. Comparison between these start and end readings enables the power drift during measurement to be assessed.

Above is the scanning procedure flow chart and table from the IEEE1528 standard.

This is the procedure for which all compliant testing should be carried out to ensure that all variations of the device position and transmission behavior are tested.



3.2.5 DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION

3.2.5.1 DATA STORAGE

The DASY5 software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension "DAE4". The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 16 of 35 Report Version: R00



3.2.6 DATA EVALUATION BY SEMCAD

The SEMCAD software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: Sensitivity Normi, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}

Conversion factor ConvF_i

Diode compression point Dcp_i

Device parameters: Frequency f

Crest factor cf

Media parameters: Conductivity

Density

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY5 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.

If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot cf/dcp_i$$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 U_i = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcpi = diode compression point (DASY parameter)



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $Ei = (Vi / Normi \cdot ConvF)^{1/2}$

H-field probes: Hi = $(Vi)^{1/2} \cdot (ai0 + ai1 f + a_i 2f^2) / f$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

[mV/(V/m)²] for E-field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

Ei = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

Etot =
$$(EX^2 + EY^2 + EZ^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

SAR = (Etot)
$$^2 \cdot \sigma / (\rho \cdot 1000)$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

= conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

= equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = E_{tot}^{2} / 3770 \text{ or } P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^{2} \cdot 37.7$$

With P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 18 of 35 Report Version: R00



4 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID

4.1 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID INGREDIENTS

The liquid is consisted of water, salt and Glycol, Sugar, Preventol and Cellulose. The liquid has previously been proven to be suited for worst-case. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values. The below table shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEC 62209.

Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Tissue Type	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
Head 2450	-	45.0	-	0.1	-	-	54.9	-
Head 5G	-	-	-	-	-	17.2	65.5	17.3

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 19 of 35 Report Version: R00



4.2 TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID PROPERTIES

Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

				Tissue \	/erificatio	า			
Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (εr)	Targeted Conductivity (σ)	Targeted Permittivity (εr)	Deviation Conductivity (σ) (%)	Deviation Permittivity (ɛr) (%)	Limit (%) ±5
2021/4/13	Head	2402	1.77	38.62	1.76	39.29	0.68	-1.71	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2412	1.78	38.58	1.77	39.27	0.74	-1.76	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2422	1.79	38.54	1.78	39.25	0.85	-1.81	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2437	1.81	38.49	1.79	39.22	1.23	-1.86	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2441	1.81	38.47	1.79	39.21	1.00	-1.89	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2450	1.82	38.44	1.80	39.20	1.11	-1.94	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2452	1.82	38.43	1.80	39.19	1.00	-1.94	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2457	1.83	38.41	1.81	39.19	1.27	-1.99	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2462	1.83	38.39	1.81	39.18	0.99	-2.02	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2467	1.84	38.37	1.82	39.17	1.21	-2.04	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2472	1.84	38.35	1.82	39.17	0.93	-2.09	±5
2021/4/13	Head	2480	1.85	38.32	1.83	39.16	0.98	-2.15	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5180	4.56	35.54	4.64	36.02	-1.66	-1.34	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5200	4.59	35.49	4.66	36.00	-1.59	-1.42	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5220	4.61	35.44	4.68	35.98	-1.47	-1.50	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5240	4.64	35.39	4.70	35.96	-1.35	-1.57	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5745	5.24	34.16	5.22	35.35	0.48	-3.37	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5765	5.26	34.12	5.24	35.33	0.48	-3.42	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5785	5.29	34.08	5.26	35.31	0.67	-3.48	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5800	5.30	34.05	5.27	35.30	0.57	-3.54	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5805	5.31	34.04	5.28	35.29	0.66	-3.54	±5
2021/4/13	Head	5825	5.34	34.00	5.30	35.27	0.83	-3.60	±5

Note:

- 1)The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquid should be measured under similar ambient conditions and within 2 °C of the conditions expected during the SAR evaluation to satisfy protocol requirements.
- 2)KDB 865664 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50MHz of the EUT frequencies.
- 3)The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies. The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY rounds to three significant digits.
- 4) According to FCC TCB workshop April, 2019 RF Exposure Procedures Update(Effective February 19,2019, FCC has permitted the use of single head-tissue simulating liquid specified in IEEE 62209-1- for all SAR tests.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 20 of 35 Report Version: R00



5 SYSTEM CHECK


5.1 DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyzer. A system check measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the simulant, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW(below 3GHz) or 100mW(3-6GHz), which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The system check results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the 6.2.

System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration with the relevant liquids and test system (±10 %).

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the DASY5 system.

System Check Set-up





5.2 DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK

System Check in Tissue Simulating Liquid

The system check is performed for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The system check is performed with tissue equivalent material according to IEEE P1528 (described above). The following table shows system check results for all frequency bands and tissue liquids used during the tests.

rr

Date	S	ystem Dipole	•	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation	Limited
Date	Туре	Serial No.	Liquid	raiailleteis	[W/kg]	[W/kg]	[%]	[%]
2021/4/13	D2450V2	973	Head	1g SAR	52.5	49.2	-6.29	± 10
2021/4/13	D5GHzV2 (5.2GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	79.8	76.3	-4.39	± 10
2021/4/13	D5GHzV2 (5.8GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	81.7	79.9	-2.20	± 10

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 22 of 35 Report Version: R00




6 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

6.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF TEST PROCEDURES

Connection to the EUT is established via air interface with base station An, and the EUT is Set to maximum output power by base station. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power output. The antenna connected to the output of the base station simulator shall be placed at least 50cm away from the EUT. The signal transmitted by the simulator to the antenna feeding point shall be lower than the output power level of the EUT by at least 30dB.

6.2 TEST POSITION ANTENNA LOCATION

NB mode Bottom



6.3 TEST POSITION OF PORTABLE DEVICES

Minimum Separation Distance									
Mode	Mode Antenna Position Distance (mm) Evaluation Test								
Wi-Fi & Bluetooth	Wi-Fi & Bluetooth Main Bottom 11.1 Yes								

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 23 of 35 Report Version: R00



6.4 TEST POSITION

6.4.1BODY TEST CONFIGURATION

The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 D01can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an EUT edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned adjacent the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

SAR test reduction and exclusion guidance

(1) The SAR exclusion threshold for distances < 50mm is defined by the following equation:

The test exclusions are applicable only when the minimum test separation distance is ≤50mm and for transmission frequencies between 100MHz and 6GHz. When the minimum test separation distance is<5mm, a distance of 5mm according to 5) in section 4.1 is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

(2)The SAR exclusion threshold for distances>50mm is defined by the following equation, as illustrated in KDB 447498 D01 Appendix B:

a) at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz

[Power allowed at numeric Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm) · (f (MHz)/150)] mW

b) at >1500MHz and ≤6GHz

[Power allowed at numeric Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm) ·10] mW

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 24 of 35 Report Version: R00



$6.3~{ m SAR}$ EXCLUSION CALCULATIONS FOR WI-FI ANTENNA < 50MM FROM THE USER

According to KDB 447498 v06 in section 4.3.1, if the calculated threshold value is > 3 then SAR testing is required.

Antonno	intenna Band	Frequency		Power	Separation Distances(mm)	Caculated Threshold Value
Antenna	Band	(MHz)	dBm	mW	Bottom	Bottom
	2.4GHz	2412	17.00	50.00	11.10	7.00
Main	5.2GHz	5180	13.50	22.00	11.10	4.51
Main	5.8GHz	5785	14.50	28.00	11.10	6.07
	Bluetooth	2402	8.00	6.00	11.10	0.84

$6.4~{\rm SAR}$ EXCLUSION CALCULATIONS FOR WI-FI ANTENNA > 50MM FROM THE USER

According to KDB 447498 v06, if the calculated Power threshold is less than the output power then SAR testing is required.

Antenna	Antenna Band	nd Frequency		Power	Separation Distances(mm)	Calculated Threshold Value
		(MHz)	dBm	mW	Bottom	Bottom
	2.4GHz	2412	17.00	50.00	11.10	<50mm
Main	5.2GHz	5180	13.50	22.00	11.10	<50mm
Iviain	5.8GHz	5785	14.50	28.00	11.10	<50mm
Bluetooth		2402	8.00	6.00	11.10	<50mm

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 25 of 35 Report Version: R00



7 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY

7.1 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. The additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The detailed repeated measurement results are shown in Section 8.2.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 26 of 35 Report Version: R00



7.2 TEST CONFIGURATION

7.2.1 WIFI Test Configuration

For WLAN SAR testing, WLAN engineering testing software installed on the DUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal.

Wi-Fi 2.4GHz Band

Modo	802.11b	902 11 a	802.11n	802.11n		
Mode	002.110	802.11g	HT20	HT40		
Duty cycle	100%					
Crest factor			1			

Wi-Fi 5GHz Band

Mode	802 115	802.11n	802.11n	802.11	802.11	802.11			
Mode	802.11a	HT20	HT40	ac20	ac40	ac80			
Duty cycle		100%							
Crest factor		1							

For WiFi SAR testing, a communication link is set up with the test mode software for WiFi mode test. During the test, at the each test frequency channel, the EUT is operated at the RF continuous emission mode. The RF signal utilized in SAR measurement has 100% duty cycle and its crest factor is 1. The test procedures in KDB 248227 D01 are applied.

7.2.2 WLAN 2.4G SAR Test Requirements

802.11b DSSS SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either a fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is \leq 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Requirements

When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- 1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- 2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is \leq 1.2 W/kg.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 27 of 35 Report Version: R00



SAR Test Requirements for OFDM configurations

When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, each standalone and frequency aggregated band is considered separately for SAR test reduction. In applying the initial test configuration and subsequent test configuration procedures, the 802.11 transmission configuration with the highest specified maximum output power and the channel within a test configuration with the highest measured maximum output power should be clearly distinguished to apply the procedures.

7.2.3 WLAN 5G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS

U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A Band

For devices that operate in both U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, when the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band for that configuration (802.11 mode and exposure condition); otherwise, both bands are tested independently for SAR. When different maximum output power is specified for the bands, begin SAR measurement in the band with higher specified maximum output power. The highest reported SAR for the tested configuration is adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands. When the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for the band with lower maximum output power in that test configuration; otherwise, both bands are tested independently for SAR.

U-NII-2C, U-NII-3 Bands

The frequency range covered by these bands is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements. When Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification. Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, they must be considered for SAR testing. To maintain SAR measurement accuracy and to facilitate test reduction, the channels in U-NII-2C band above 5.65 GHz may be grouped with the 5.8 GHz channels in U-NII-3 or §15.247 band to enable two SAR probe calibration frequency points to cover the bands, including the band gap channels.11 When band gap channels are supported and the bands are not aggregated for SAR testing, band gap channels must be considered independently in each band according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurement and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

7.2.4 OFDM TRANSMISSION MODE AND SAR TEST CHANNEL SELECTION

For the 2.4GHz and 5GHz bands, when the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations(for example 802.11a,802.11n and 802.11ac,or 802.11g and 802.11n,with the same channel bandwidth, modulation, and data rate, etc.), the lower order 802.11 mode(i.e.802.11a then 802.11n and 802.11ac,or 802.11g then 802.11n) is used for SAR measurement. When the maximum output power are the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

7.2.5 INITIAL TEST CONFIGURATION PROCEDURE

For OFDM, in both 2.4G and 5GHz bands, an initial test configuration is determined for each frequency band and aggregated band, according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum output power specified for SAR measurements. When the same maximum output power is specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration(s) with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, and lowest data rate. If the average RF output powers of the highest identical transmission modes are within 0.25 dB of each other, mid channel of the transmission mode with highest average RF output powers is the initial test channel. Otherwise, the channel of the transmission mode with the highest average RF output power will be the initial test configuration. When the reported SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no additional measurements on other test channels are required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated using the subsequent highest average RF output channel until the reported SAR result is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all channels are measured. When there are multiple untested channels having the same subsequent highest average RF output power, the channel with higher frequency from the lowest 802.11 mode is considered for SAR measurement.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 28 of 35 Report Version: R00



8 CONDUCTED POWER RESULTS

8.1 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF BLUETOOTH

Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Max Power (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)
		0	2402	8.00	7.75
BR	DH5	39	2441	8.00	7.27
		78	2480	8.00	5.20
		0	2402	5.50	
	2DH5	39	2441	5.50	
EDR		78	2480	5.50	
EDK		0	2402	7.50	
	3DH5	39	2441	7.50	Not Required
		78	2480	7.50	
		0	2402	1.00	
BLE	4.0	19	2440	1.00	
		39	2480	1.00	

Note:

1. As per FCC OET KDB 447498 D01, conducted output power and SAR testing are not required for BLE channels when the Max power is under 10 dBm and the separation distance is 5mm.

8.2 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF WI-FI 2.4GHZ BAND

			Frequency	Data	Max Tune-Up	AVG Power (dBm)
Band	Band Mode	Channel	(MHz)	Rate	Power (dBm)	Main
		1	2412	1	17.00	16.52
	802.11b	6	2437	1	17.00	16.00
		11	2462	1	17.00	15.41
2.4G	802.11g	1-11	2412-2462	6	12.50	Not Required
2.40	802.11n20	1-11	2412-2462	HT0	13.50	Not Required
	802.11n40	3	2422	HT0	13.50	13.01
		6	2437	HT0	13.50	13.43
		9	2452	HT0	13.50	13.39

Note:

1. As per FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, conducted output power and SAR testing are not required for 802.11g/n20 channels when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2W/kg.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 29 of 35 Report Version: R00



8.3 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G

			Frequency	Data	Max Tune-Up	AVG Power (dBm)
Band	Band Mode		(MHz)	Rate	Power (dBm)	Main
		36	5180	6	13.50	13.37
	802.11a	40	5200	6	13.50	13.08
UNII 1		48	5240	6	13.50	12.94
OINII_1	802.11 n20	36-48	5180-5240	HT0	13.00	
	802.11 n40	38-46	5190-5230	HT0	13.00	Not Required
	802.11 ac80	42	5210	VHT0	11.00	
		149	5745	6	14.50	14.22
	802.11a	157	5785	6	14.50	14.28
UNII 3		165	5825	6	14.50	13.72
UIVII_3	802.11 n20	132-165	5745-5825	HT0	14.00	
	802.11 n40	151-159	5755-5795	HT0	12.50	Not Required
	802.11 ac80	155	5755	VHT0	11.00	

Note:

- 1. When the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band (see §B.5.2 in this document).
- 2. The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance, in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a, g, n, ac).

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 30 of 35 Report Version: R00



8.4 SARTEST RESULTS

General Notes:

- 1. Per KDB447498 D01, all measurement SAR results are scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit to demonstrate compliant.
- 2. Per KDB447498 D01, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:≤0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is≤100 MHz. When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is > ½ dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.
- 3. Per KDB865664 D01,for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/kg; if the deviation among the repeated measurement is ≤20%,and the measured SAR <1.45W/kg, only one repeated measurement is required.

WLAN Notes:

- 1. For exposure conditions with multiple test positions, such as handset operating next to the ear, devices with hotspot mode, procedures for initial test position can be applied. Using the transmission mode determined by the DSSS procedure or initial test configuration, area scans are measured for all positions in an exposure condition. The test position with the highest extrapolated(peak) SAR is used as the initial test position. When the reported SAR of the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, further SAR measurement is not required for the other (remaining) test positions. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR position until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- 2. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 for 2.4GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power Channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes(2.4GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section7.1.4 for more information.
- 3. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 for 5GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum allowed power. Other transmission mode was not investigated since the highest reported SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than 1.2W/kg. See Section 7.1.4 for more information.

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 31 of 35 Report Version: R00



9 SAR TEST RESULTS

9.1 BODY SAR TEST RESULTS

1.SAR test reults of WiFi

SAR test results of 2.4G WiFi

Band	Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Distance (mm)	Max une-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan SAR 1g	SAR 1g	Reported SAR 1g	Note
2.4G	802.11b	1	Bottom	Main	0	17.00	16.52	0.694	0.640	0.715	

SAR test results of 5G WiFi

Band	Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Distance (mm)	Max une-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan SAR 1g	SAR 1g	Reported SAR 1g	Note
5G UNII 1	802.11 a	36	Bottom	Main	0	13.50	13.37	0.193	0.227	0.234	
5G UNII 3	802.11 a	157	Bottom	Main	0	14.50	14.28	0.247	0.310	0.326	

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 32 of 35 Report Version: R00



10. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS

10.1 ESTIMATED SAR FOR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION SAR ANALYSIS

Considerations for SAR estimation

- When standalone SAR test exclusion applies, standalone SAR must also be estimated to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion.
- 2. Dedicated Host Approach criteria for SAR test exclusion is likewise applied to SAR estimation, with certain distinctions between test exclusion and SAR estimation:
- When the separation distance from the antenna to an adjacent edge is ≤ 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied for SAR estimation; this is the same between test exclusion and SAR estimation calculations.
- When the separation distance from the antenna to an adjacent edge is > 5 mm but ≤ 50 mm, the actual
 antenna-to-edge separation distance is applied for SAR estimation.
- When the minimum test separation distance is > 50 mm, the estimated SAR value is 0.4 W/kg

10.1.1 ESTIMATED SAR FOR BLUETOOTH

According to section 8.1, the Bluetooth must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

- (max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW) / (min. test separation distance, mm)]· $[\sqrt{f_{(GHz)}/x}]$ W/kg for test separation distances \leq 50 mm; where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.
- 0.4 W/kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0 W/kg for 10-g SAR, when the test separation distances is > 50 mm.

NB Mode

M	Mode	Band	Frequency	Output	Power	Separation Distances(mm)	Estimated 1-g SAR (W/Kg)	
	Wiode	ballu	(MHz)	dBm	mW	NB Bottom	NB Bottom	
	Bluetooth	2.4GHz	2402	8.00	6.00	11.10	0.112	

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 33 of 35 Report Version: R00



11. TEST LAYOUT


Specific Absorption Rate Test Layout



Liquid depth in the flat Phantom (≥15cm depth)









Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2103T163_Appendix A.)

Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2103T163_Appendix B.)

Appendix C. Calibration Certificate

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2103T163_Appendix C.)

Appendix D. Photographs of the Test Set-Up

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2103T163_Appendix D.

End of Test Report

Project No.: 2103T163 Page 35 of 35 Report Version: R00