

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	Not numbered	Date of report:	2003-10-10
Number of pages:	51	Contact person:	Petri Visuri
		Responsible test engineer:	Kai Niskala
Testing laboratory:	Nokia Corporation Yrttipellontie 6 P.O. Box 300 FIN-90401 OULU Finland Tel. +358-7180-08000 Fax. +358-7180-47222	Client:	Nokia Inc. Nokia Tower, Pacific Century Place 2-A Gong Ti Bei Lu Chaoyang District 100027 BEIJING, China Tel. +8610-65392828 Fax. +86-10-65393838
Tested device:	QTLRH-6		
Supplement reports:			
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices IEEE P1528/D1.2, April 21, 2003 Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Oulu		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		

Date and signatures:

2003-10-10

For the contents:



Kai Niskala
Test Engineer

CONTENTS

1.	SUMMARY FOR SAR TEST REPORT	3
1.1	MAXIMUM RESULTS FOUND DURING SAR EVALUATION.....	3
1.1.1	Head Configuration	3
1.1.2	Body Worn Configuration	3
1.1.3	Measurement Uncertainty.....	3
2.	DESCRIPTION OF TESTED DEVICE	4
2.1	PICTURE OF PHONE.....	4
2.2	DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA	4
2.3	BATTERY OPTIONS.....	4
2.4	BODY WORN ACCESSORIES.....	4
3.	TEST CONDITIONS	5
3.1	AMBIENT CONDITIONS.....	5
3.2	RF CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TEST SITE.....	5
3.3	TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER	5
4.	DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT.....	5
4.1	SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION.....	6
4.2	TISSUE SIMULANTS.....	6
4.2.1	Head Tissue Simulant	7
4.2.2	Muscle Tissue Simulant.....	7
4.3	PHANTOMS.....	7
4.4	ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE ET3DV6.....	8
5.	DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE.....	8
5.1	TEST POSITIONS	8
5.1.1	Against Phantom Head.....	8
5.1.2	Body Worn Configuration	10
5.2	SCAN PROCEDURES.....	11
6.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	12
6.1	DESCRIPTION OF INDIVIDUAL MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	12
6.1.1	Assessment Uncertainty	12
7.	RESULTS.....	13
7.1	HEAD CONFIGURATION	13
7.2	BODY WORN CONFIGURATION	14
APPENDIX A: Validation Test Printouts (2 pages)		
APPENDIX B: SAR Distribution Printouts (12 pages)		
APPENDIX C: Calibration Certificate(s) (20 pages)		

1. SUMMARY FOR SAR TEST REPORT

Date of test	2003-09-22, 2003-09-24
Contact person	Petri Visuri
Test plan referred to	
FCC ID	QTLRH-6
SN, HW, SW and DUT numbers of tested device	SN: 004400/25/170120/5, HW: 0301; SW: 1.05
Accessories used in testing	Battery: BL-4C; Headsets: HS-5, HS-1C
Notes	
Document code	DTX08365-EN
Responsible test engineer	Kai Niskala
Measurement performed by	Kai Niskala

1.1 Maximum Results Found during SAR Evaluation

The equipment is deemed to fulfil the requirements if the measured values are less than or equal to the limit. Maximum found results are reported per operating band.

1.1.1 Head Configuration

Ch / f (MHz)	Power EIRP	Position	1g Limit	Measured	Result
512/1850.20	30.2 dBm	Right cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.61 W/kg	PASSED

1.1.2 Body Worn Configuration

Ch / f (MHz)	Power EIRP	Distance	1g Limit	Measured	Result
512/1850.20	30.2 dBm	15mm	1.6 W/kg	0.94 W/kg	PASSED

1.1.3 Measurement Uncertainty

Combined Standard Uncertainty	± 13.6%
Expanded Standard Uncertainty (k=2)	± 27.1%

2. DESCRIPTION OF TESTED DEVICE

Device category	Portable device	
Exposure environment	Uncontrolled exposure	
Unit type	Prototype unit	
Case type	Clam-shell case	
Modes of Operation	GSM1900	GPRS1900
Modulation Mode	Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying	Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying
Duty Cycle	1/8	2/8
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8

Outside of USA, transmitter of tested device is capable of operating also in GSM900 and GSM1800 modes, which are not part of this filing.

2.1 Picture of Phone



RH-6

2.2 Description of the Antenna

Type	Internal integrated antenna
Location	Inside the back cover, near the top of the device

2.3 Battery Options

There device was tested with battery BL-4C.

2.4 Body Worn Accessories

Compliance to the FCC body-worn RF exposure guidelines was measured using Twin SAM v4.0 flat phantom region. Separation distance between QTLRH-6 and flat-phantom was 15 mm.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Ambient Conditions

Ambient temperature (°C)	22±2
Tissue simulating liquid temperature (°C)	22±1
Humidity	35%

3.2 RF characteristics of the test site

Tests were performed in an enclosed RF shielded environment.

3.3 Test Signal, Frequencies, and Output Power

The device was controlled by using a special test mode.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The phone was set to maximum power level during the all tests and at the beginning of the each test the battery was fully charged. Power output was measured by accredited test laboratory, Nemko Oy, on the same unit used in SAR testing.

DASY4 system measures power drift during SAR testing by comparing e-field in the same location at the beginning and at the end of measurement. These records were used to monitor stability of power output.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

The measurements were performed with an automated near-field scanning system, DASY4, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland.

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Due Date
DAE V1	555	03/04
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1765	04/04
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d030	04/05

E-field probe calibration records are presented in Appendix C.

Additional equipment needed in validation

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Due Date
Signal Generator	HP 8657B	3630U08114	04/04
Amplifier	Amplifier Research 5S1G4	306024	-
Power Meter	R&S NRT	101143	03/04
Power Sensor	R&S NRT-Z43	100239	03/04
Thermometer	Fluke 52 II	82810048	-
Network Analyzer	HP 8753D	3410A08934	05/04
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent 85070D	US01440162	-

4.1 System Accuracy Verification

The probes are calibrated annually by the manufacturer. Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids are measured using a dielectric probe kit and a network analyzer.

The SAR measurement of the DUT were done within 24 hours of system accuracy verification, which was done using the dipole validation kit.

The dipole antenna, which is manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, is matched to be used near flat phantom filled with tissue simulating solution. Length of 1900 MHz dipole is 68 mm with overall height of 300mm. A specific distance holder is used in the positioning of antenna to ensure correct spacing between the phantom and the dipole. Manufacturer's reference dipole data is presented in Appendix C.

Power level of 250 mW was supplied to a dipole antenna placed under the flat section of SAM phantom. The validation results are in the table below and printout of the validation test is presented in Appendix A. All the measured parameters were within the specification.

Tissue	f (MHz)	Description	SAR (W/kg), 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp (°C)
Head	1900	Measured 09/22/03	10.4	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	22
		Reference Result	10.5	39.0	1.43	N/A
Muscle	1900	Measured 09/24/03	10.0	38.8	1.44	22
		Reference Result	10.7	51.7	1.53	N/A
				51.2	1.59	

4.2 Tissue Simulants

All dielectric parameters of tissue simulants were measured within 24 hours of SAR measurements. The depth of the tissue simulant in the ear reference point of the phantom was $15\text{cm} \pm 5\text{mm}$ during all the tests. Volume for each tissue simulant was 26 liters.

4.2.1 Head Tissue Simulant

The composition of the brain tissue simulating liquid for 1900MHz is

44.91% 2-(2-butoxyethoxy) Ethanol
54.88% De-Ionized Water
0.21% Salt

f (MHz)	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp (°C)
		ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	
1880	Measured 09/22/03	39.1	1.41	22
	Recommended Values	40.0	1.40	20-26

Recommended values are adopted from OET Bulletin 65 (97-01) Supplement C (01-01).

4.2.2 Muscle Tissue Simulant

The composition of the muscle tissue simulating liquid for 1900MHz is

69.02% De-Ionized Water
30.76% Diethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether
0.22% Salt

f (MHz)	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp (°C)
		ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	
1880	Measured 09/24/03	51.8	1.51	22
	Recommended Values	53.3	1.52	20-26

Recommended values are adopted from OET Bulletin 65 (97-01) Supplement C (01-01).

4.3 Phantoms

"SAM v4.0" phantom", manufactured by SPEAG, was used during the measurement. It has fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. It enables the dosimetric

evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.



The thickness of phantom shell is 2 mm except for the ear, where an integrated ear spacer provides a 6 mm spacing from the tissue boundary. Manufacturer reports tolerance in shell thickness to be ± 0.1 mm.

4.4 Isotropic E-Field Probe ET3DV6

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycolether)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Test Positions

The device was placed in holder using a special positioning tool, which aligns the bottom of the device with holder and ensures that holder contacts only to the sides of the device. After positioning is done, tool is removed. This method provides standard positioning and separation, and also ensures free space for antenna.



Device holder was provided by SPEAG together with DASY4.

5.1.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made on both the "left hand" and "right hand" side of the phantom.

The device was positioned against phantom according to OET Bulletin 65 (97-01) Supplement C (01-01). Definitions of terms used in aligning the device to a head phantom are available in IEEE Draft Standard P1528-2001 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

5.1.1.1 Initial Ear Position

The device was initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom parallel to the "Neck-Front" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane".

5.1.1.2 Cheek Position

"Initial ear position" alignments are maintained and the device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting along the "Neck-Front" line until any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom or when any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.



RH-6, flip closed



RH-6, flip open



5.1.1.3 Tilt Position

In the "Cheek Position", if the earpiece of the device is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer and the peak SAR location for the "cheek position" is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device is returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer. Otherwise, the device is moved away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" by 15°. After the tilt, it is then moved back toward the head perpendicular to the line

passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process is repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously.



RH-6, flip closed



RH-6, flip open




5.1.2

Body Worn Configuration

Body worn measurements were performed with antenna facing towards the flat part of the phantom with separation distance of 15 mm. Headsets HS-5 and HS-10 were connected during measurements. All body worn measurements were performed in GPRS mode.



RH-6, without stylus



RH-6, with stylus

5.2 Scan Procedures

First coarse scans are used for quick determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, 5x5x7 points; spacing between each point 7.5x7.5x5 mm, is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 1g.

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the cube scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the cube scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

6.1 Description of Individual Measurement Uncertainty

6.1.1 Assessment Uncertainty

Uncertainty Component	P1528 Sec	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	c_i	u_i (%)	v_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±4.8	N	1	1	±4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±10.0	R	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±13.6	161
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Standard Uncertainty						±27.1	

7. RESULTS

Corresponding SAR distribution printouts of maximum results in every operating mode and position are shown in Appendix B. It also includes Z-plots of maximum measurement results in head and body worn configurations. The SAR distributions are substantially similar or equivalent to the plots submitted regardless of used channel in each mode and position. The coarse scans used in the head configuration measurements cover the whole head region.

7.1 Head Configuration

Flip closed, with stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)			
			Left-hand		Right-hand	
			Cheek	Tilted	Cheek	Tilted
GSM 1900	661/1880.00	29.7	0.33	0.33	0.57	0.58

Flip open, with stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)			
			Left-hand		Right-hand	
			Cheek	Tilted	Cheek	Tilted
GSM 1900	661/1880.00	29.7	0.31	0.29	0.55	0.52

Flip closed, without stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)			
			Left-hand		Right-hand	
			Cheek	Tilted	Cheek	Tilted
GSM 1900	512/1850.20	30.2			0.61	
	661/1880.00	29.7	0.32	0.34	0.59	0.58
	810/1909.80	27.8			0.44	

Flip open, without stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)			
			Left-hand		Right-hand	
			Cheek	Tilted	Cheek	Tilted
GSM 1900	661/1880.00	29.7	0.31	0.30	0.56	0.51

7.2 Body Worn Configuration

Without stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)	
			Headset HS-5	Headset HS-1C
GPRS 1900	512/1850.20	30.2	0.94	0.81
	661/1880.00	29.7	0.89	0.91
	810/1909.80	27.8	0.59	0.51

With stylus

Mode	Channel/ <i>f</i> (MHz)	Power EIRP (dBm)	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)	
			Headset HS-5	Headset HS-1C
GPRS 1900	512/1850.20	30.2	0.84	
	661/1880.00	29.7		0.68
	810/1909.80	27.8		

APPENDIX A.

Validation Test Printouts

Date/Time: 09/22/03 11:34:08

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial:5d030

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.43212$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 38.9794$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Head 1900, t=21.4 C/Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 95.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 12 mW/g

Head 1900, t=21.4 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.4 mW/g

Reference Value = 95.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.6 mW/g



Date/Time: 09/24/03 10:03:57

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d030

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: BSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.52905$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 51.7361$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Muscle 1900 t=21.7/Area Scan (61x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 90.9 V/m

Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.7 mW/g

Muscle 1900 t=21.7/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.96 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.17 mW/g

Reference Value = 90.9 V/m

Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.2 mW/g



APPENDIX B.

SAR Distribution Printouts

Date/Time: 09/22/03 12:28:44

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip closed, with stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Cheek, $t = 21.4$ C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 14 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.34 mW/g

Cheek, $t = 21.4$ C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0 (upper): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.712 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.328 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.171 mW/g

Reference Value = 14 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.342 mW/g

Cheek, $t = 21.4$ C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1 (lower): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.484 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.247 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.138 mW/g

Reference Value = 14 V/m

Power Drift = -0.0004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.263 mW/g



Date/Time: 09/22/03 16:07:56

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip closed, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Tilted, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 14 V/m

Power Drift = 0.003 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.344 mW/g

Tilted, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.702 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.338 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.175 mW/g

Reference Value = 14 V/m

Power Drift = 0.003 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.358 mW/g



0 dB = 0.358mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 19:09:30

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip closed, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.3836$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.2082$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Cheek, t= 21.8 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 16.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.555 mW/g

Cheek, t= 21.8 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.43 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.607 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.287 mW/g

Reference Value = 16.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.663 mW/g



0 dB = 0.663mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 16:53:48

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip closed, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Tilted, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 15.6 V/m

Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.552 mW/g

Tilted, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.39 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.583 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.27 mW/g

Reference Value = 15.6 V/m

Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.623 mW/g



0 dB = 0.623mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 18:19:16

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip open, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Cheek, t= 21.7 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 12.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.296 mW/g

Cheek, t= 21.7 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0 (lower): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.574 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.296 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.161 mW/g

Reference Value = 12.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.321 mW/g

Cheek, t= 21.7 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1 (upper): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.667 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.306 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.156 mW/g

Reference Value = 12.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.322 mW/g



0 dB = 0.322mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 18:42:11

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip open, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Tilted, $t = 21.8$ C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m

Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.307 mW/g

Tilted, $t = 21.8$ C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.642 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.301 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.153 mW/g

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m

Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.318 mW/g



0 dB = 0.318mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 17:19:20

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip open, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Cheek, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 13.2 V/m

Power Drift = 0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.512 mW/g

Cheek, t= 21.6 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.35 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.555 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.254 mW/g

Reference Value = 13.2 V/m

Power Drift = 0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.611 mW/g



Date/Time: 09/22/03 14:21:44

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip open, with stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.41315$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.0816$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Tilted, $t = 21.5$ C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 14.2 V/m

Power Drift = -0.003 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.52 mW/g

Tilted, $t = 21.5$ C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.25 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.524 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.238 mW/g

Reference Value = 14.2 V/m

Power Drift = -0.003 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.574 mW/g



0 dB = 0.574mW/g

Date/Time: 09/24/03 10:45:24

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Headset: HS-5; Without stylus

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: BSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.48322$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 51.9472$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid:

dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 16 V/m

Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.975 mW/g

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.94 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.935 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.512 mW/g

Reference Value = 16 V/m

Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.971 mW/g



0 dB = 0.971mW/g

Date/Time: 09/24/03 12:44:34

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Headset: HS-1C; Without stylus

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: BSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.51109$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 51.8252$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid:

dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 14.6 V/m

Power Drift = 0.004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.944 mW/g

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.93 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.913 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.497 mW/g

Reference Value = 14.6 V/m

Power Drift = 0.004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.946 mW/g



0 dB = 0.946mW/g

Date/Time: 09/22/03 19:09:30

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Flip closed, without stylus

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.3836$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.2082$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Cheek, $t = 21.8$ C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 16.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.555 mW/g

Cheek, $t = 21.8$ C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.43 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.607 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.287 mW/g

Reference Value = 16.3 V/m

Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.663 mW/g



Date/Time: 09/24/03 10:45:24

Test Laboratory: Nokia Mobile Phones, Oulu; DTX08365-EN

DUT: RH-6; Serial: 004400/25/170120/5; Headset: HS-5; Without stylus

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: BSL1900 ($\sigma = 1.48322$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 51.9472$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 16.04.2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn555; Calibrated: 06.03.2003
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1215
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid:

dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 16 V/m

Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.975 mW/g

Body worn, t=21.3 C, worst case extrapolation/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.94 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.935 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.512 mW/g

Reference Value = 16 V/m

Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 0.971 mW/g



APPENDIX C.

Calibration Certificate(s)

Client **Nokia Oyj, Oulu**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **ET3DV6 - SN:1765**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v2**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **April 16, 2003**



Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	2-Apr-03	Apr-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02	Sep-03
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	13-Sep-02	Sep-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00	In house check: May 03
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01	Sep-03

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: April 17, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Probe ET3DV

SN:1765

Manufactured:	January 20, 2003
Last calibration:	April 16, 2003

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV SN:1765

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	1.60 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	1.81 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	1.86 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	95	mV
DCP Y	95	mV
DCP Z	95	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head 835 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.66
ConvF Z	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 1.81

Head 1880 MHz $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.59
ConvF Z	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.38

Boundary Effect

Head 835 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm





Probe Tip to Boundary	1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%] Without Correction Algorithm	9.4	4.8
SAR _{be} [%] With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.3


Head 1880 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Probe Tip to Boundary	1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%] Without Correction Algorithm	14.0	9.2
SAR _{be} [%] With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.3


Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	1.2 \pm 0.2	mm

Receiving Pattern (ϕ , $\theta = 0^\circ$) $f = 30 \text{ MHz}$, TEM cell ifi110 $f = 100 \text{ MHz}$, TEM cell ifi110 $f = 300 \text{ MHz}$, TEM cell ifi110 $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$, TEM cell ifi110




Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{brain}})$ (Waveguide R22)



Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 835 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X


ConvF X	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.66
ConvF Z	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	1.81

Head 1880 MHz $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.59
ConvF Z	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.38

Conversion Factor Assessment



Body 835 MHz $\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C


ConvF X	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.50
ConvF Z	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.16

Body 1880 MHz $\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.65
ConvF Z	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.41

Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=2400-2500 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	5.1 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	5.1 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 1.30
ConvF Z	5.1 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Depth 1.60


Body 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=2400-2500 MHz with BODY Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 1.57
ConvF Z	4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)	Depth 1.54

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ($\theta\phi$), $f = 900$ MHz



Client **Nokia Oyj, Oulu**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **D1900V2 - SN:5d030**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **April 8, 2003**



Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002	In house check: Mar-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02	Oct-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	30-Oct-02	Oct-03
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	30-Oct-02	Oct-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00	In house check: May 03

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Date issued: April 11, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 international Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1900V2

Serial: 5d030

Manufactured: December 17, 2002
Calibrated: April 8, 2003

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 1900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	38.8	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	1.44 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 5.2 at 1900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of tissue:	42.0 mW/g $\pm 16.8\%$ $(k=2)^1$
averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of tissue:	21.7 mW/g $\pm 16.2\%$ $(k=2)^1$

¹ validation uncertainty

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.189 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.990	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 50.8 \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = 3.5 \Omega$
Return Loss at 1900 MHz	-28.8 dB

4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with body simulating glycol solution of the following electrical parameters at 1900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	51.2	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	1.59 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 4.8 at 1900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

5. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of tissue: **42.8 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)²**

averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of tissue: **22.1 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)²**

6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1900 MHz: **Re{Z} = 46.9 Ω**

Im {Z} = 4.0 Ω

Return Loss at 1900 MHz **-25.5 dB**

7. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

8. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Small end caps have been added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in Section 1. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

9. Power Test

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

² validation uncertainty

Date/Time: 04/01/03 15:53:35

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

File Name: [SN5d030_SN1507_HSL1900_010403.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d030****Program: Dipole Calibration**

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1900 MHz; ($\sigma = 1.44$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 38.78$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 33; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 109

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.5 V/m

Peak SAR = 18.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.42 mW/g

Power Drift = 0.03 dB



5J030

1 Apr 2003 10:11:32
CH1 S11 1 U FS 1150.048 3.5273 295.47 μ H 1 900.000 000 MHz

y

De1


PRa

Cor

Avg

16

f




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF 0 dB 11-28.845 dB 1 900.000 000 MHz

PRa

Cor

f



START 1 700.000 000 MHz

STOP 2 100.000 000 MHz

Date/Time: 04/08/03 14:15:07

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

File Name: SN5d030_SN1507_M1900_080403.da4**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d030****Program: Dipole Calibration**

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz; ($\sigma = 1.59$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 51.2$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.8, 4.8, 4.8); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 33; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 109


Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 91.4 V/m

Peak SAR = 18.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.52 mW/g

Power Drift = 0.03 dB




52030
Body

8 Apr 2003 09:58:49
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 46.982 α 3.9512 α 338.97 μ H 1 900.000 000 MHz


De1

PRn
Cor
Avg
16

↑



CH2 S11 L08 5 dB/REF -15 dB 1: -25.491 dB 1 900.000 000 MHz



PRn
Cor

↑