



SAR Evaluation Report

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
FCC OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C
IC RSS 102 ISSUE 1 : 1999**

FOR

BROADCOM 802.11AG/DRAFT 802.11N WIRELESS LAN PCI-E

MODEL: BCM94321MC

FCC ID: QDS-BRCM1024

REPORT NUMBER: 06U10375-6, REVISION C

ISSUE DATE: AUGUST 7, 2006

Prepared for

**BOARDCOM CORP.
190 MATHILDA PLACE
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086, USA**

Prepared by

**COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES
561F MONTEREY ROAD,
MORGAN HILL, CA 95037, USA
TEL: (408) 463-0885**



Revision History

Rev.	Issued date	Revisions	Revised By
--	June 30, 2006	Initial issue	MH
B	July 06, 2006	Update EUT name and Applicant info	MH
C	August 7, 2006	Mark the exact location of the WLAN antennas	ND

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)**DATES OF TEST:** June 26, 27, and 28, 2006

APPLICANT: ADDRESS:	BOARDCOM CORP. 190 MATHILDA PLACE, SUNNYVALE, CA 94086, USA
FCC ID: MODEL:	QDS-BRCM1024 BCM94321MC
DEVICE CATEGORY: EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	Portable Device General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure


Broadcom 802.11ag/Draft 802.11n Wireless LAN PCI-E is installed in Apple Macbook Pro.		
Test Sample is a:	Prototype	
Modulation type:	Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS) for 802.11b Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) for 802.11agn	
Rule Parts	Frequency Range [MHz]	The Highest SAR Values [1g_mW/g]
FCC 15.247	2412 - 2462	0.779
	5745 - 5820	0.325
FCC 15.401	5180 - 5320	0.324

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and RSS 102.

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

Approved & Released For CCS By:

Tested By:



Mike Heckrotte
Engineering Manager
Compliance Certification Services

Ninous Davoudi
EMC Engineer
Compliance Certification Services

Table Of Contents

1	EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION.....	5
2	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	6
3	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	7
3.1	COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	8
4	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK.....	9
4.1	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT.....	11
5	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	14
5.1	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS.....	16
6	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	17
6.1	DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	18
7	PROCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL	19
8	SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	22
8.1	2.4GHZ.....	22
8.1.1	802.11B.....	22
8.1.2	802.11AN	23
8.2	5GHZ.....	24
8.2.1	5.2 GHZ BAND.....	24
8.2.2	5.8 GHZ BAND.....	25
9	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	26
9.1	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ – 3000 MHZ	26
9.2	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 3 GHZ – 6 GHZ	27
10	EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION.....	28
11	PHOTOS	29
12	ATTACHMENTS.....	32

1 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION

Broadcom 802.11ag/Draft 802.11n Wireless LAN PCI-E is installed in Apple Macbook Pro.	
Normal operation:	Lap-held position
Accessory:	N/A
Earphone/Headset Jack:	N/A
Duty cycle:	97% for all modes
Host Device(s):	Apple MacBook Pro
Antenna(s)	Tyco, PIFA antenna, part # 056-1579
Power supply:	Power supplied through the laptop computer (host device).

2 FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 561F Monterey Road, Morgan Hill, California, USA. The sites are constructed in conformance with the requirements of ANSI C63.4, ANSI C63.7 and CISPR Publication 22. All receiving equipment conforms to CISPR Publication 16-1, "Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and




Measurement Methods."

CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at <http://www.ccsemc.com>.

No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1 COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATIG LIQUIDS

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride

Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose

Water: De-ionized, 16 MΩ+ resistivity


HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

4 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the values given in the table below.



Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom (for 150 – 3000 MHz and 5800 MHz)

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom (for 3000 MHz – 5800 MHz)

In the current guidelines and draft standards for compliance testing of mobile phones (i.e., IEEE P1528, OET 65 Supplement C), the dielectric parameters suggested for head and body tissue simulating liquid are given only at 3.0 GHz and 5.8 GHz. As an intermediate solution, dielectric parameters for the frequencies between 5 to 5.8 GHz were obtained using linear interpolation (see table below).

SPEAG has developed suitable head and body tissue simulating liquids consisting of the following ingredients: de-ionized water, salt and a special composition including mineral oil and an emulgators. Dielectric parameters of these liquids were measured using a HP 8570C Dielectric Probe Kit in conjunction with HP 8753ES Network Analyzer (30 kHz – 6G Hz). The differences with respect to the interpolated values were well within the desired $\pm 5\%$ for the whole 5 to 5.8 GHz range.

f (MHz)	Head Tissue		Body Tissue		Reference
	rel. permittivity	conductivity	rel. permittivity	conductivity	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	Standard
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	Standard
5000	36.2	1.45	49.3	5.07	Interpolated
5100	36.1	4.55	49.1	5.18	Interpolated
5200	36.0	4.66	49.0	5.30	Interpolated
5300	35.9	4.76	48.9	5.42	Interpolated
5400	35.8	4.86	48.7	5.53	Interpolated
5500	35.6	4.96	48.6	5.65	Interpolated
5600	35.5	5.07	48.5	5.77	Interpolated
5700	35.4	5.17	48.3	5.88	Interpolated

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

4.1 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 2450 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 50%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Simulating Liquid			Parameters			Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)							
2450	22	15	e'	52.0436	Relative Permittivity (ϵ_r):	52.0436	52.7	-1.25	± 5
			e"	14.8131	Conductivity (σ):	2.01898	1.95	3.54	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 23.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 22.0 deg C

June 27, 2006 09:09 AM

Frequency	e'	e"
2400000000.	52.2310	14.5883
2410000000.	52.1898	14.6161
2420000000.	52.1521	14.6514
2430000000.	52.1321	14.7145
2440000000.	52.0872	14.7565
2450000000.	52.0436	14.8131
2460000000.	52.0117	14.8479
2470000000.	51.9744	14.8980
2480000000.	51.9474	14.9547
2490000000.	51.9050	15.0130
2500000000.	51.8593	15.0497

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$

$$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 5200 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 40%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Simulating Liquid			Parameters			Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)							
5200	23	15	e'	46.8404	Relative Permittivity (ε _r):	46.8404	49.0	-4.41	± 5
			e''	18.2995	Conductivity (σ):	5.29373	5.30	-0.12	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 24.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 23.0 deg C

June 26, 2006 01:27 PM

Frequency	e'	e''
4600000000.	48.1330	17.3995
4650000000.	48.0639	17.5823
4700000000.	47.9729	17.5413
4750000000.	47.8006	17.7245
4800000000.	47.7933	17.7433
4850000000.	47.5917	17.8137
4900000000.	47.5623	17.8893
4950000000.	47.3992	17.9356
5000000000.	47.2966	18.0586
5050000000.	47.2333	18.0286
5100000000.	47.0506	18.2043
5150000000.	47.0451	18.1825
5200000000.	46.8404	18.2995
5250000000.	46.8161	18.3403
5300000000.	46.6715	18.3966
5350000000.	46.6254	18.4726
5400000000.	46.4894	18.4586
5450000000.	46.3808	18.5942
5500000000.	46.3421	18.5778
5550000000.	46.2361	18.7745
5600000000.	46.1394	18.7545
5650000000.	45.9944	18.8590
5700000000.	46.0155	18.8472
5750000000.	45.8030	18.9195
5800000000.	45.8366	19.0043
5850000000.	45.5616	18.9783
5900000000.	45.5432	19.1262
5950000000.	45.4121	19.0481
6000000000.	45.3334	19.2382

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$
 $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 5800 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 45%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Simulating Liquid			Parameters			Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)							
5800	23	15	e'	46.0733	Relative Permittivity (ε _r):	46.0733	48.2	-4.41	± 5
			e''	18.7771	Conductivity (σ):	6.05865	6.00	0.98	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 24.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 23.0 deg C

June 28, 2006 09:54 AM

Frequency	e'	e''
4600000000.	48.3011	17.2112
4650000000.	48.2396	17.3858
4700000000.	48.1570	17.3462
4750000000.	47.9857	17.5330
4800000000.	47.9754	17.5474
4850000000.	47.7704	17.6103
4900000000.	47.7545	17.6765
4950000000.	47.6116	17.7330
5000000000.	47.4984	17.8525
5050000000.	47.4113	17.8252
5100000000.	47.2491	18.0061
5150000000.	47.2447	17.9681
5200000000.	47.0521	18.0997
5250000000.	47.0352	18.1272
5300000000.	46.8806	18.1746
5350000000.	46.8339	18.2617
5400000000.	46.7026	18.2370
5450000000.	46.6091	18.3715
5500000000.	46.5667	18.3552
5550000000.	46.4637	18.5486
5600000000.	46.3767	18.5268
5650000000.	46.2259	18.6403
5700000000.	46.2520	18.6119
5750000000.	46.0449	18.6912
5800000000.	46.0733	18.7771
5850000000.	45.8014	18.7542
5900000000.	45.7909	18.8921
5950000000.	45.6615	18.8223
6000000000.	45.5804	19.0213

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$
 $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$

5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E-Field Probe EX3DV3-SN: 3531 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and 15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole.
For 5 GHz band - The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5 x 5 x 7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=7.5mm; dz=5mm).
For 5 GHz band - Special 8x8x8 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=4.3mm; dz=3mm)
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 4 mm.
For 5 GHz band - Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.0mm
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW $\pm 3\%$.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

Reference SAR Values for body-tissue

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain method and the geometry parameters.

Dipole Type	Distance (mm)	Frequency (MHz)	SAR (1g) [W/kg]	SAR (10g) [W/kg]	SAR (peak) [W/kg]
D450V2	15	450	5.01	3.36	7.22
D835V2	15	835	9.71	6.38	14.1
D900V2	15	900	11.1	7.17	16.3
D1450V2	10	1450	29.6	16.6	49.8
D1800V2	10	1800	38.5	20.3	67.5
D1900V2	10	1900	39.8	20.8	69.6
D2000V2	10	2000	40.9	21.2	71.5
D2450V2	10	2450	51.2	23.7	97.6

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

Reference SAR Values for body-tissue

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using finite-difference time-domain FDTD method (feed point-impedance set to 50 ohms) and the mechanical dimensions of the D5GHzV2 dipole (manufactured by SPEAG).

f (MHz)	Head Tissue		Body Tissue		
	SAR _{1g}	SAR _{10g}	SAR _{1g}	SAR _{10g}	SAR _{Peak}
5000	72.9	20.7	68.1	19.2	260.3
5100	74.6	21.1	78.8	19.6	272.3
5200	76.5	21.6	71.8	20.1	284.7
5800	78.0	21.9	74.1	20.5	324.7

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

5.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS**System Validation Dipole: D2450V2 SN: 706**

Date: June 27, 2006

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 50%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			SAR (mW/g)		Normalized to 1 W	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
2450	22	15	1g	12.90	51.6	51.2	0.78	± 10
			10g	5.89	23.56	23.7	-0.59	± 10

System Validation Dipole: D5GHzV2 SN 1003

Date: June 26, 2006

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 40%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			SAR (mW/g)		Normalized to 1 W	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
5200	23	15	1g	17.50	70	71.8	-2.51	± 10
			10g	4.91	19.64	20.1	-2.29	± 10

Date: June 28, 2006

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 45%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			SAR (mW/g)		Normalized to 1 W	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
5800	23	15	1g	17.10	68.4	74.1	-7.69	± 10
			10g	4.77	19.08	20.5	-6.93	± 10

6 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

For 5 GHz band - The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

- c) Around this point, a volume of X=Y= 30 and Z=21 mm is assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

For 5 GHz band - Around this point, a volume of X=Y=Z=30 mm is assessed by measuring 8 x 8 x 8 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

- (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
- (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
- (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
- (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

6.1 DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.1 mm. This distance cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 1.2 mm for an EX3DV3 probe type).

Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE Standard 1528, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

For 5 GHz band – Same as above except the Zoom Scan measures 8 x 8 x 8 points.

Step 4: Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

Step 5: Z-Scan

The Z Scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. In order to get a reasonable extrapolation, the extrapolated distance should not be larger than the step size in Z-direction.

7 PROCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

The client provided batch files to control the frequency and output power of the module.

Each chain is measured separately and in those modes in which both chains can transmit simultaneously the total power is calculated using:

$$\text{Total Power} = 10 \log (10^{\text{Chain 0 Power} / 10} + 10^{\text{Chain 2 Power} / 10})$$

The cable assembly insertion loss of 21.50dB (including 20.55 dB attenuator and 0.95dB connectors) was entered as an offset in the power meter to allow for direct reading of average power.

Conducted average power:

802.11b (1 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)
Low	2412	18.3	18.3
Middle	2437	19.2	19.6
High	2462	16.2	16.5

802.11g (6 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	2412	17.0	17.2	20.11
Middle	2437	18.0	17.9	20.96
High	2462	15.8	15.8	18.81

802.11n HT20 (6.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	2412	14.6	14.4	17.51
Middle	2437	16.0	15.8	18.92
High	2462	13.9	13.7	16.81

802.11n HT40 (13.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	2422	12.8	12.7	15.76
Middle	2437	13.5	13.3	16.41
High	2452	12.5	12.4	15.46

The cable assembly insertion loss of 21.22dB (including 19.72 dB attenuator and 1.5dB connectors) was entered as an offset in the power meter to allow for direct reading of average power.

Conducted average power:

802.11a (6 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5180	14.3	14.5	17.41
Middle	5260	18.0	17.1	20.58
High	5320	15.1	14.8	17.96

802.11n HT20 (6.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5180	14.0	14.3	17.16
Middle	5260	16.4	16.3	19.36
High	5320	13.4	13.5	16.46

802.11n HT40 (13.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5190	13.1	12.8	15.96
Middle	5260	16.1	15.9	19.01
High	5310	12.8	12.3	15.57

The cable assembly insertion loss of 19.24dB (including 19.14 dB attenuator and 0.1dB connectors) was entered as an offset in the power meter to allow for direct reading of average power.

Conducted average power:

802.11a (6 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5745	17.9	18.0	20.96
Middle	5785	17.9	17.4	20.67
High	5825	17.8	17.3	20.57

802.11n HT20 (6.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5745	15.6	15.6	18.61
Middle	5785	15.6	15.5	18.56
High	5825	15.5	15.5	18.51

802.11n HT40 (13.5 Mbs)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Main (dBm)	AUX (dBm)	Total (dBm)
Low	5755	15.3	15.4	18.36
High	5795	15.3	15.4	18.36

8 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS**8.1 2.4GHZ****8.1.1 802.11B****802.11b (1Mbps)-Main Antenna**

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
1	2412	0.424	0.000	0.424
6	2437	0.779	0.000	0.779
11	2462	0.340	-0.177	0.354

802.11b (1Mbps)-AUX Antenna

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
1	2412			
6	2437	0.408	0.000	0.408
11	2462			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) EUT transmits on Main or AUX only for any given time in b mode.

8.1.2 802.11AN**802.11g (6Mbps)**

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
1	2412	0.436	0.000	0.436
6	2437	0.509	0.000	0.509
11	2462	0.308	0.000	0.308

802.11n HT20 (6.5Mbps)


Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
1	2412	0.295	0.000	0.295
6	2437			
11	2462			

802.11n HT40 (13.5Mbps)

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
3	2422	0.170	-0.294	0.182
6	2437			
9	2452			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) EUT is capable of Cyclic Delay Diversity in g mode. Both Main and AUX antennas are radiating in these modes.

8.2 5GHZ**8.2.1 5.2 GHZ BAND****802.11a 5.2 GHz (6 Mbps)**

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
36	5180	0.124	-0.169	0.129
52	5260	0.324	0.000	0.324
64	5320	0.203	-0.199	0.213

802.11n 5.2 GHz HT20 (6.5 Mbps)

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
36	5180	0.249	-0.117	0.256
52	5260			
64	5320			

802.11n 5.2 GHz HT40 (13.5 Mbps)

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
38	5190	0.222	-0.181	0.231
54	5270			
62	5310			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) EUT is capable of Cyclic Delay Diversity in a mode. Both Main and AUX antennas are radiating in all modes.

8.2.2 5.8 GHZ BAND**802.11a 5.8 GHz (6 Mbps)**

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
149	5745	0.325	0.000	0.325
157	5785	0.294	0.000	0.294
165	5825	0.196	-0.165	0.204

802.11n 5.8 GHz HT20 (6.5 Mbps)

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
149	5745	0.156	-0.182	0.163
157	5785			
165	5825			

802.11n 5.8 GHz HT40 (13.5 Mbps)

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
151	5755	0.135	-0.108	0.138
159	5795	0.126	0.000	0.126

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) EUT is capable of Cyclic Delay Diversity in a mode. Both Main and AUX antennas are radiating in all modes.

9 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

9.1 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHz – 3000 MHz



Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Unc.(±%)	
						Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	1.59	R	1.732	1	1	0.92	0.92
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	0.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.00	0.00
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	N	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	N	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty	RSS					11.44	10.49
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)	K=2					22.87	20.98
Notesfor table							
1. Tol. - tolerance in influence quaity							
2. N - Nomal							
3. R - Rectangular							
4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty							
5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient							

9.2 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 3 GHz – 6 GHz



Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Unc.(±%)	
						Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	3.00	R	1.732	1	1	1.73	1.73
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.00	R	1.732	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	N	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	N	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty	RSS					11.66	10.73
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)	K=2					23.32	21.46
Notesfor table							
1. Tol. - tolerance in influence quaity							
2. N - Nomal							
3. R - Rectangular							
4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty							
5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient							

10 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION


<u>Name of Equipment</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Type/Model</u>	<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Cal. Due date</u>
Robot - Six Axes	Stäubli	RX90BL	N/A	N/A
Robot Remote Control	Stäubli	CS7MB	3403-91535	N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	SPEAG	SEUMS001BA	1041	N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261	N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	US39173569	2/9/07
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070C	N/A	N/A
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3552	5/30/07
Thermometer	ERTCO	639-1S	1718	1/11/07
SAM Phantom (SAM1)	SPEAG	TP-1185	QD000P40CA	N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM2)	SPEAG	TP-1015	N/A	N/A
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	558	1/20/07
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	706	4/27/08
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1003	11/22/07
Power Meter	Giga-tronics	8651A	8651404	12/27/06
Power Sensor	Giga-tronics	80701A	1834588	12/27/07
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	0360	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5	N/A
Radio Communication Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU 200	838114/032	3/21/07
Signal Generator	HP	83732B	US34490599	10/5/2006
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M2450	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquid	SPEAG	M5200-5800	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test

11 PHOTOS**BROADCOM 802.11AG/DRAFT 802.11N WIRELESS LAN PCI-E**

BROADCOM BCM4321MC/DRAFT 802.11N WIRELESS LAN PCI-E (FOR 5.8 GHZ)



Apple Macbook Pro



12 ATTACHMENTS

No.	Contents	No. Of Pages
1	System Performance Check Plots	6
2-1	SAR Test Plots-2.4GHz	12
2-2	SAR Test Plots-5GHz	13
3	Certificate of E-Field Probe - EXDV4SN3552	10
4	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D2450 SN:706	9
5	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D5GHzV2 SN:1003	10
6	Material Specification Data Sheet of Body Simulating Liquid (5GHz)	3

End of Report