

Appendix**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	49.4 Ω - 7.9 j Ω
Return Loss	- 22.0 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.408 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	July 4, 2001

DASY4 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 20.04.2005 14:11:07

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:122

Communication System: CW-900; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 900 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(5.95, 5.95, 5.95); Calibrated: 26.10.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.01.2005
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.91 mW/g**Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 57.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.037 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.96 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.69 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.74 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.92 mW/g



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions


Dipole BCL-049 received from customer, with the attribute of having a repair to one radial arm, in good condition for re-calibration. SMA connector cleaned prior to calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C
Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.



Stuart Nicol
Director Product Development



D. Brooks
Member of Engineering Staff
(Calibration Engineer)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 72.0 mm
Height: 41.7 mm

Electrical Specification

SWR: 1.09 U
Return Loss: -26.9 dB
Impedance: 50.9 Ω

System Validation Results

Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
1800 MHz	38.81	20.01	71.56



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole BCL-049. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

Conditions

Dipole BCL-049 received from customer in good condition, with the attribute of a repair of one radial arm.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C
Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

4

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results**Mechanical Verification**

APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
72.0 mm	41.7 mm	71.6 mm	41.7 mm

Tissue Validation

Head Tissue 1800 MHz	Measured
Dielectric constant, ϵ_r	40.0
Conductivity, σ [S/m]	1.40

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

5


NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Electrical Calibration

Test	Result
S11 R/L	-26.9 dB
SWR	1.09 U
Impedance	50.9 Ω

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss**S22 REVERSE REFLECTION**

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

NCL Calibration Laboratories
Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR

S22 REVERSE REFLECTION



CH 4 - S22
REFERENCE PLANE
0.0000 mm

MARKER 1
1.799500 GHz
1.895 U

MARKER TO MAX
MARKER TO MIN


MARKER READOUT
FUNCTIONS

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

7

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


8

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

System Validation Results Using the Electrically Calibrated Dipole

Head Tissue Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak Above Feed Point
1800 MHz	38.81	20.01	71.56



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

9

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2004

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

10

APPENDIX D - TEST SYSTEM VERIFICATIONS SCANS

Liquid Measurement Result

2005-06-18

Simulant	Freq [MHz]	Parameters	Liquid Temp [°C]	Target Value	Measured Value	Deviation	Limits [%]
Body	835	ϵ_r	22.0	55.2	55.9	1.27	± 5
		σ	22.0	0.97	0.96	-1.03	± 5
		1g SAR	22.0	8.872	8.71	-1.83	± 10
Head	835	ϵ_r	22.0	41.5	41.5	0.00	± 5
		σ	22.0	0.90	0.89	-1.11	± 5
		1g SAR	22.0	9.5	9.65	1.58	± 10

ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho=1000\text{kg/m}^3$

2005-06-17

Simulant	Freq [MHz]	Parameters	Liquid Temp [°C]	Target Value	Measured Value	Deviation	Limits [%]
Body	1880	ϵ_r	22.0	53.3	54.0	1.31	± 5
		σ	22.0	1.52	1.53	0.66	± 5
		1g SAR	22.0	24.97	23.8	-4.69	± 10
Head	1880	ϵ_r	22.0	40.0	39.8	-0.50	± 5
		σ	22.0	1.4	1.45	3.57	± 5
		1g SAR	22.0	39.7	38.7	-2.52	± 10

ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho=1000\text{kg/m}^3$

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 9:40:48 AM ; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 9:48:28 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050608_ET_1604_SystemValidationCheck_D835_Body.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D900 V2-122 - SN:122****Program Name: System Performance Check at 835 MHz**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.96 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

d=15mm, Pin=1W/Area Scan (61x121x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 8.16 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan : Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 97.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.71 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.91 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.34 mW/g



Date/Time: 6/18/2005 10:52:04 AM ; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 10:59:04 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050608_ET_1604_SystemValidationCheck_D835_Head.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D900 V2-122 - SN:122****Program Name: System Performance Check at 835 MHz**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.62, 6.62, 6.62); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

d=15mm, Pin=1W 4/Area Scan (61x121x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 10.3 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=1W 4/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 112.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.010 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.65 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.13 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.5 mW/g



0 dB = 10.5mW/g

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 9:30:31 PM ; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 9:37:51 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.**System Validation for Body****DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800; Serial: D1800 - SN:xxx**

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

d=10mm, Power Input=1W/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 27.6 mW/g


d=10mm, Power Input=1W/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 140.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.147 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 38.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 23.8 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 12.1 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 25.6 mW/g



0 dB = 25.6mW/g

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:05:07 AM; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:12:27 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050615_ET_1604_SystemValidationCheck_D1900_Head.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN:5122****Program Name: System Performance Check at 1900 MHz**

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(5.19, 5.19, 5.19); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

d=10mm, Pin=1W 2 2/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 51.7 mW/g

d=10mm, Pin=1W 2 2/Z Scan (1x1x16): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 9.13 mW/g


d=10mm, Pin=1W 2 2/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 185.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.047 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 69.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 38.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 19.8 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 44.0 mW/g



0 dB = 44.0mW/g

APPENDIX E - EUT SCANS

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 9:59:39 PM ; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 10:06:59 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050531_ZTE A12_Body.da4](#)

DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12

Communication System: GSM 835; Frequency: 836.41 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.41$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

1.5cm Body position - Middle 2/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.319 mW/g


1.5cm Body position - Middle 2/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 18.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.024 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.412 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.302 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.214 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.322 mW/g



Plot #1

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 11:29:35 AM ; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 11:36:55 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Left Head.da4](#)**DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12**

Communication System: GSM 835; Frequency: 836.41 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.41$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.62, 6.62, 6.62); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.348 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.017 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.452 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.328 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.224 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.348 mW/g

**Plot #2**

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 11:43:35 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Left Head.da4](#)**DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12**

Communication System: GSM 835; Frequency: 836.41 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.41$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.62, 6.62, 6.62); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (41x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.178 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan 1 (7.5mmx5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.078 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.276 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.162 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.104 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.177 mW/g

**Plot #3**

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 1:02:12 PM ; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 1:08:32 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Right Head.da4](#)**DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12**

Communication System: GSM 835; Frequency: 836.41 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.41$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.62, 6.62, 6.62); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.365 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.127 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.468 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.334 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.226 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.357 mW/g

**Plot #4**

Date/Time: 6/18/2005 1:25:32 PM; Date/Time: 6/18/2005 1:32:52 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Right Head.da4](#)**DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12**

Communication System: GSM 835; Frequency: 836.41 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.41$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(6.62, 6.62, 6.62); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.170 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 13.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.259 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.160 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.104 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.174 mW/g

**Plot #5**

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 10:01:51 AM ; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 10:09:11 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050531_ZTE A12_Body.da4](#)**DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12**

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.57 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

1.5cm Body position - Middle/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.299 mW/g


1.5cm Body position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 12.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.217 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.439 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.260 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.153 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.280 mW/g

**Plot #6**

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:24:48 AM ; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:32:08 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Left Head.da4](#)

DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(5.19, 5.19, 5.19); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.539 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.143 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.860 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.489 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.264 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.551 mW/g



Plot #7

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:50:41 AM ; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 11:58:01 AM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050527_ZTE A12_Left Head.da4](#)

DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(5.19, 5.19, 5.19); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (41x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.656 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 20.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.031 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.03 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.600 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.321 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.671 mW/g



Plot #8

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 1:05:35 PM Date/Time: 6/17/2005 1:12:55 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050615_ZTE A12_Right Head.da4](#)

DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12 DUAL BAND GSM

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(5.19, 5.19, 5.19); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.390 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 15.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.015 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.495 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.328 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.201 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.357 mW/g



Plot #9

Date/Time: 6/17/2005 1:30:29 PM ; Date/Time: 6/17/2005 1:37:49 PM

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp.

File Name: [050615_ZTE A12_Right Head.da4](#)

DUT: DUT; Type: Sample; Serial: Program Name: ZTE A12 DUAL BAND GSM

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1604; ConvF(5.19, 5.19, 5.19); Calibrated: 3/18/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn456; Calibrated: 6/1/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: Twin SAM; Serial: TP-1032
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (41x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.498 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 18.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.095 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.672 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.440 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.248 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.493 mW/g



Plot #10

APPENDIX F – CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer through sufficient attenuation.

Test equipment

Hewlett Packard HP8564E Spectrum Analyzer, Calibration Due Date: 2005-10-04.

Hewlett Packard HP 7470A Plotter, Calibration not required.

A.H. Systems SAS200 Horn Antenna, Calibration Due Date: 2006-04-20.

Com-Power AB-100 Dipole Antenna, Calibration Due Date: 2005-09-05.

Test Results


GSM 850:


Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power in dBm	Output Power in W	Limit in W
MIDDLE	836.65	32.27	1.687	7

GSM 1900:

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power in dBm	Output Power in W	Limit in W
MIDDLE	1880	28.58	0.721	2

Please refer to the following plots.








APPENDIX G – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS



Body



Left Head Touch



Left Head Tile 1**Left Head Tile 2**

Right Head Touch**Right Head Tile 1**

Right Head Tile 2




APPENDIX H – EUT & ACCESSORIES PHOTOS



EUT Front View





EUT Rear View



EUT Port View**EUT Battery Cover Off View**

EUT Cover Off View**EUT Component with Shielding View**

EUT Component without Shielding View**EUT Displayer and Keyboard View**

Battery



Adapter



Earphone

APPENDIX I - INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, "Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, "Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, Office of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, "Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM '97, Dubrovnik, October 15-17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23-25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard Kuhn, and Niels Kuster, "The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, "The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, "The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, "Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.