



FCC RF EXPOSURE REPORT

For

Station A (version 4)

MODEL NUMBER: NSA3-BK V2

REPORT NUMBER: 4791561379-1-RF-5

FCC ID: 2ADLI-NSA3-BK-WF

ISSUE DATE: December 19, 2024

Prepared for

KODA ELECTRONICS (HK) CO., LTD. 2/F Mandarin Commercial House, 38 Morrison Hill Road, WanChai, HK

Prepared by

UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd, Song Shan Lake Branch

Building 10, Innovation Technology Park, No. 1, Li Bin Road, Song Shan Lake Hi-Tech Development Zone Dongguan, 523808, People's Republic of China

> Tel: +86 769 22038881 Fax: +86 769 33244054 Website: www.ul.com



REPORT NO.: 4791561379-1-RF-5 Page 2 of 8

Revision History

| Rev. | Issue Date | Revisions | Revised By |
|------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| V0 | December 19, 2024 | Initial Issue | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS | . 4 |
|----|------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | TEST METHODOLOGY | . 5 |
| | FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION | _ |
| | REQUIREMENT | |
| 4 | KEUUIKENIENI | |



1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

Applicant Information

Company Name: KODA ELECTRONICS (HK) CO., LTD.

Address: 2/F Mandarin Commercial House, 38 Morrison Hill Road,

WanChai, HK

Manufacturer Information

Company Name: Rich Glory Electronics Co.,Ltd.

Address: NO.10 Xiling Road, Fengcheng Street, Xinfeng County,

Shaoquan City, China.

EUT Information

EUT Name: Station A (version 4)

Model: NSA3-BK V2

Series Model: NSA3-WFB V2, NSA3-WF V2, NSA3i-BK V2, NSA3i-WFB V2,

NSA3i-WF V2

Model difference: Declare the Circuit, PCB layout and Electrical parts of the

products are identical to the basic model except the color.

Brand: Nonstop

Sample Received Date: December 2, 2024

Sample Status: Normal Sample ID: 7865209

Date of Tested: December 2, 2024 to December 13, 2024

| APPLICABLE STANDARDS | | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| STANDARD | TEST RESULTS | | |
| 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01 | PASS | | |

| Prepared By: | Checked By: |
|--------------|-------------|
| ville drei | kelo. zhanz |

Wite Chen Kebo Zhang

Engineer Project Associate Senior Project Engineer

Approved By:

Stephen Guo

Operations Manager



2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with 47 CFR FCC Part 1 Subpart I, section 1.1307 and KDB 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01.

3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

| | A2LA (Certificate No.: 4102.01) | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. | | | | |
| | has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with A2LA. | | | | |
| | FCC (FCC Designation No.: CN1187) | | | | |
| | UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. | | | | |
| | Has been recognized to perform compliance testing on equipment subject | | | | |
| | to the Commission's Declaration of Conformity (DoC) and Certification rules | | | | |
| | ISED (Company No.: 21320) | | | | |
| Accreditation | UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. | | | | |
| Certificate | has been registered and fully described in a report filed with ISED. | | | | |
| Cortinoato | The Company Number is 21320 and the test lab Conformity Assessment | | | | |
| | Body Identifier (CABID) is CN0046. | | | | |
| | VCCI (Registration No.: G-20192, C-20153, T-20155 and R-20202) | | | | |
| | UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. | | | | |
| | has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with VCCI, the | | | | |
| | Membership No. is 3793. | | | | |
| | Facility Name: | | | | |
| | Chamber D, the VCCI registration No. is G-20192 and R-20202 | | | | |
| | Shielding Room B, the VCCI registration No. is C-20153 and T-20155 | | | | |

Note 1: All tests measurement facilities use to collect the measurement data are located at Building 10, Innovation Technology Park, Song Shan Lake Hi tech Development Zone, Dongguan, 523808, China

Note 2: The test anechoic chamber in UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch had been calibrated and compared to the open field sites and the test anechoic chamber is shown to be equivalent to or worst case from the open field site.

Note 3: For below 30MHz, lab had performed measurements at test anechoic chamber and comparing to measurements obtained on an open field site. And these measurements below 30MHz had been correlated to measurements performed on an OFS.



4. REQUIREMENT

LIMIT AND CALCULATION METHOD

According to 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01,

2.1.4 MPE-Based Exemption

An alternative to the SAR-based exemption is provided in § 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(C), for a much wider frequency range, from 300 kHz to 100 GHz, applicable for separation distances greater or equal to $\lambda/2\pi$, where λ is the free-space operating wavelength in meters. The MPE-based test exemption condition is in terms of ERP, defined as the product of the maximum antenna gain and the delivered maximum time-averaged power.10 For this case, a RF source is an RF exempt device if its ERP (watts) is no more than a frequency-dependent value, as detailed tabular form in Appendix B. These limits have been derived based on the basic specifications on Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) considered for the FCC rules in § 1.1310(e)(1).

B.4 SAR-based Exemption

SAR-based thresholds are derived based on frequency, power, and separation distance of the RF source. The formula defines the thresholds in general for either available maximum time-averaged power or maximum time-averaged ERP, whichever is greater.

If the ERP of a device is not easily determined, such as for a portable device with a small form factor, the applicant may use the available maximum time-averaged power exclusively if the device antenna or radiating structure does not exceed an electrical length of $\lambda/4$.

As for devices with antennas of length greater than $\lambda/4$ where the gain is not well defined, but always less than that of a half-wave dipole (length $\lambda/2$), the available maximum time-averaged power generated by the device may be used in place of the maximum time-averaged ERP, where that value is not known. The separation distance is the smallest distance from any part of the antenna or radiating structure for all persons, during operation at the applicable ERP. In the case of mobile or portable devices, the separation distance is from the outer housing of the device where it is closest to the antenna.

The SAR-based exemption formula of § 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(B), repeated here as Formula (B.2), applies for single fixed, mobile, and portable RF sources with available maximum time-averaged power or effective radiated power (ERP), whichever is greater, of less than or equal to the threshold Pth (mW).

This method shall only be used at separation distances from 0.5 cm to 40 cm and at frequencies from 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz (inclusive). Pth is given by Formula (B.2).



MPE-based Exemption

$$P_{\text{th}} (\text{mW}) = ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (\text{mW}) = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \le f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \le f \le 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$$
(B. 1)

$$P_{\text{th (mW)}} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \le 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \le 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(B. 2)

where

$$x = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}\sqrt{f}}\right)$$

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and ERP_{20cm} is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Distance (mm) Frequency (MHz)

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

Fixed RF sources operating in the same time-averaging period- § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)

Either SAR-based or MPE-based exemption may be considered for test exemption for fixed, mobile, or portable device exposure conditions; therefore, the contributions from each exemption in conjunction with the measured SAR (Evaluatedk term) shall be used to determine exemption for simultaneous transmission according to Formula (C.1) [repeated from § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)].

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{P_i}{P_{\text{th},i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{ERP_j}{ERP_{\text{th},j}} + \sum_{k=1}^{c} \frac{Evaluated_k}{Exposure\ Limit_k} \le 1$$
 (C. 1)

REPORT NO.: 4791561379-1-RF-5 Page 8 of 8

CALCULATED RESULTS

For Single RF Source

| Operating Mode | Max. Tune up Power | Antenna Gain | EIRP | ERP | ERP | Distance | Limit Threshold |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|
| iviode | (dBm) | (dBi) | (dBm) | (dBm) | (mW) | (cm) | (mW) |
| BT | 1 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.75 | 1.189 | 20 | 3060 |
| BLE | -1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | -1.25 | 0.750 | 20 | 2060 |

Note:

- 1. The Power comes from report operation description.
- 2. The EUT cannot support simultaneous emission.
- 3. The minimum separation distance of the device is greater than 20 cm, and 20cm separation distance was set for calculation.
 - 4. Calculate by WORST-CASE mode.

END OF REPORT