

## PCTEST

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



# HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

### **Applicant Name:**

LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States Date of Testing: 9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020 Test Site/Location: PCTEST, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 1M2007230115-20.ZNF Date of Issue: 10/9/2020

# FCC ID:

# ZNFF100TM

**APPLICANT:** 

### LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Application Type: FCC Rule Part(s): HAC Standard:

DUT Type: Model: Additional Model(s): Test Device Serial No.: Class II Permissive Change(s): RF Emissions Testing Class II Permissive Change CFR §20.19(b) ANSI C63.19-2011 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05 285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03 Portable Handset LM-F100TM LMF100TM, F100TM *Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: 03775] See FCC Change Document

### C63.19-2011 HAC Category:

# M4 (RF EMISSIONS CATEGORY)

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. North America bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Randy Ortanez President



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 1 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 1 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI/IEEE C63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	7
5.	TEST PROCEDURE	12
6.	SYSTEM CHECK	14
7.	MODULATION INTERFERENCE FACTOR	17
8.	RF CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS	27
9.	JUSTIFICATION OF HELD TO EAR MODES TESTED	37
10.	LTE TDD UPLINK-DOWNLINK CONFIGURATION	39
11.	OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY	41
12.	EQUIPMENT LIST	44
13.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	45
14.	TEST DATA	46
15.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	59
16.	CONCLUSION	90
17.	REFERENCES	91
18.	TEST PHOTOGRAPHS	93

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 2 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 2 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	·			REV 3.5.M

# 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658<sup>1</sup> to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide suffer from hearing loss.

### **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu* 

### <sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the internet	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 2 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 3 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

# 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: Manufacturer:

Additional Model(s):

Antenna Configurations:

Serial Number:

DUT Type:

Model:

ZNFF100TM LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States LM-F100TM LMF100TM, F100TM 03775 Internal Antenna Portable Handset

### I. Power Reduction for WIFI

This device uses an independent fixed level power reduction mechanism for all 2.4GHz and 5GHz 20MHz WIFI operations during voice or VoIP held to ear scenarios. Reduced powers were used to evaluate for low-power exemption in Section 9.II for WIFI. Detailed descriptions of the power reduction mechanism are included in the operational description.

### II. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B12 & B17 and B66 & B4. These pairs of LTE bands have the same target powers and share the same transmission paths. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B12 & B66) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance. LTE B5 and B2 are LTE anchor bands for dual connectivity (EN-DC) scenarios between LTE and NR so they were additionally evaluated as independent LTE bands.

### **III. Mechanical Configuration Evaluation**

This device supports four different mechanical modes. Per FCC guidance, the use conditions of mechanical mode 1 ("Normal") and mechanical mode 3 ("Swivel") were considered for HAC testing. Full HAC testing was performed with Normal mode and the worst-case configuration for each band and mode was additionally evaluated with Swivel mode. See Section 11 for results from this testing.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of @ elecuer	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 4 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	-			REV 3.5.M

		Ζ		M HAC Air Interfaces	
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service
	835	VO	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice
CDMA	1900		105		
	EvDO	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice
GSM	1900				
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo
	850				
UMTS	1700	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice
00	1900				
	HSPA	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo
	680 (B71)		No <sup>1 2</sup>		
	700 (B12)				
	700 (B17)				VoLTE, Google Duo
	780 (B13)		No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	
LTE (FDD)	850 (B5)	VD			
	850 (B26)	, ve			
	1700 (B4)				
	1700 (B66)				
	1900 (B2)				
	1900 (B25)				
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE, Google Duo
	3600 (B48)		105		
	680 (n71)		No <sup>1 2</sup>		
NR (FDD)	1700 (n66)	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo
	1900 (n25)				
NR (TDD)	2600 (n41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo
	2450				
	5200 (U-NII 1)				
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	No <sup>1</sup>	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	VoWIFI, Google Duo
	5500 (U-NII 2C)				
	5800 (U-NII 3)				
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	N/A
-			2. LTE B71 and	or MIF and low-power exemption. I NR n71, while outside the scope of ANSI C63.19 ested according to the existing HAC procedures v	•

 Table 2-1

 ZNFF100TM HAC Air Interfaces

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga E of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 5 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

#### **ANSI/IEEE C63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES** 3.

# I. RF EMISSIONS

The ANSI Standard presents performance requirements for acceptable interoperability of hearing aids with wireless communications devices. When these parameters are met, a hearing aid operates acceptably in close proximity to a wireless communications device.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters				
Near field Category	E-field emissions CW dB(V/m)				
	f < 960 MHz				
M1	50 to 55				
M2	45 to 50				
M3	40 to 45				
M4	< 40				
	f > 960 MHz				
M1	40 to 45				
M2	35 to 40				
M3	30 to 35				
M4	< 30				
Table 3-1           WD near-field categories as defined in ANSI C63.19-2011					

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dere 6 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 6 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.N

6/22/2020

# 4. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

### EF3DV3 E-Field Probe Description

Construction:	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis
Calibration:	Built-in shielding against static charges In air from 30 MHz to 6.0 GHz (absolute accuracy ±5.1%, k=2)
Frequency:	30 MHz to > 6 GHz;
	Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis)
	± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to > 1000 V/m
	(M3 or better device readings fall well below diode
	compression point)
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm)
	Tip diameter: 4.0 mm (Body: 12 mm)
	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.5 mm




**Figure 4-1** E-field Free-space Probe

### **Probe Tip Description**

HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

The electric field probes have an irregular internal geometry because it is physically not possible to have the 3 orthogonal sensors situated with the same center. The effect of the different sensor centers is accounted for in the HAC uncertainty budget ("sensor displacement").



The antistatic shielding inside the probe is connected to the probe connector case.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Thead to be part of the interest	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga Z of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 7 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

### **Instrumentation Chain**


### Equation 1 Conversion of Connector Voltage $u_i$ to E-Field $E_i$

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{u_i + (u_i^2 \cdot CF)/(DCP)}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

whereby

Ei:	electric field in V/m
Uj.	voltage of channel i at the connector in μV
Norm	sensitivity of channel i in μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>
ConvF:	enhancement factor in liquid (ConvF=1 for Air)
DCP:	diode compression point in µV
CF:	signal crest factor (peak power/average power)

### **Conditions of Calibration**



a lower input impedance of the amplifier will result in different sensitivity factors Norm, and DCP

larger bias currents will cause higher offset

### **Probe Response to Frequency**

The E-field sensors have inherently a very flat frequency response. They are calibrated with a number of frequencies resulting in a common calibration factor, with the frequency behavior documented in the calibration certificate (See also below).




Figure 4-2 E-Field Probe Frequency Response

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 0 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020 Portable Handset			Page 8 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	·			REV 3.5.M

### SPEAG Robotic System

E-field measurements are performed using the DASY5 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY5 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Intel CORE i7 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the HAC phantom. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF).



Figure 4-3 SPEAG Robotic System


### System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the computer with operating system and RF Measurement Software DASY5 v52.8 (with HAC Extension), A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 0 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 9 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.N

### System Electronics

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



SPEAG Robotic System Diagram

### **DASY5** Instrumentation Chain

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with	$V_i$	= compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$U_i$	= input signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	cf	= crest factor of exciting field	(DASY parameter)
	$dcp_i$	= diode compression point	(DASY parameter)

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Next to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 10 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	10/1/2020 Portable Handset		Page 10 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.N

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E – fieldprobes : 
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

with	$V_i$	= compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$Norm_i$	= sensor sensitivity of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
		$\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field Probes	
	ConvF	= sensitivity enhancement in solution	
	$E_i$	= electric field strength of channel i in V/m	

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

The measurement/integration time per point, as specified by the system manufacturer is >500ms.

The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/off switch of the power source with an integration time of 500ms and a probe response time of <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY5 waits longer than 100ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization. The tolerances for the different systems had the worst-case of 2.6%.

### **Environmental Conditions**

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Roud to be part of @ elecutor	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 11 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	0/1/2020 Portable Handset		Page 11 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

# 5. TEST PROCEDURE


### I. RF EMISSIONS


**Test Instructions Confirm proper operation of** ≻ probes and instrumentation Position WD  $\succ$ **Configure WD TX operation** ≻ Per 5.5.1.2 (a-c) Initialize field probe ≻ Scan Area ≻ Per 5.5.1.2 (d-f) Identify exclusion area.  $\geq$  $\geq$ Rescan or reanalyze open area to determine maximum Indirect method: Add the MIF  $\geq$ to the maximum steady state rms field strength and record **RF** Audio Interference Level, in dB(V/m) Per 5.5.1.2 (g-h) & 5.5.1.3 Identify and record the ≻ category Per 5.5.1.2 (i-j)

Figure 5-1 RF Emissions Flow Chart

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be part of @ electred	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 12 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	- 10/1/2020 Portable Handset		Page 12 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

### Test Setup





HAC Phantom

# E-Field Emissions Test Setup Diagram (See Test Photographs for actual WD scan grid overlay)

### **RF Emissions Test Procedure:**

The following illustrate a typical RF emissions test scan over a wireless communications device:

- 1. Proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, other instrumentation, and the positioning system was confirmed.
- 2. WD is positioned in its intended test position, acoustic output point of the device perpendicular to the field probe.
- 3. The WD operation for maximum rated RF output power was configured and confirmed with the base station simulator, at the test channel and other normal operating parameters as intended for the test. The battery was ensured to be fully charged before each test.
- 4. The center sub-grid was centered over the center of the acoustic output (also audio band magnetic output, if applicable). The WD audio output was positioned tangent (as physically possible) to the measurement plane.
- 5. A surface calibration was performed before each setup change to ensure repeatable spacing and proper maintenance of the measurement plane using the HAC Phantom.
- 6. The measurement system measured the field strength at the reference location.
- 7. Measurements at 2mm or 5mm increments in the 5 x 5 cm region were performed at a distance 15 mm from the center point of the probe measurement element to the WD. Of the 9 subgrids (see Figure 5-2), 3 contiguous subgrids may be excluded from the measurement in order to account for localized areas of higher field intensities. The center subgrid containing the acoustic output or audio band magnetic output may not excluded. A 360° rotation about the azimuth axis at the maximum interpolated position was measured. For the worst-case condition, the peak reading from this rotation was used in re-evaluating the HAC category.
- 8. The system performed a drift evaluation by measuring the field at the reference location. If the power drift deviated by more than 5%, the HAC test and drift measurements were repeated.


FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of @ elected?	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 12 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	0/1/2020 Portable Handset		Page 13 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

#### SYSTEM CHECK 6.

#### System Check Parameters I.

The input signal was an un-modulated continuous wave. The following points were taken into consideration in performing this check:

- Average Input Power P = 100mW RMS (20dBm RMS) after adjustment for return loss
- The test fixture must meet the 2 wavelength separation criterion
- The proper measurement of the 15 mm probe to dipole separation, which is measured from top surface of the dipole to the calibration reference point of the sensor, defined by the probe manufacturer is shown in the following diagram:



Separation Distance from Dipole to Field Probe

RF power was recorded using both an average reading meter and a peak reading meter. Readings of the probe are provided by the measurement system.

To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the dipole shall be commensurate with the full rated output power of the wireless device [e.g. - for a cellular phone wireless device the average peak antenna input power will be on the order of 100mW (20dBm) RMS] after adjustment for any mismatch.

### II. Validation Procedure

A dipole antenna meeting the requirements given in C63.19 was placed in the position normally occupied by the WD.


The length of the dipole was scanned, and the average peak value was recorded.

### Measurement of CW

Using the near-field measurement system, scan the antenna over the radiating dipole and record the greatest field reading observed. Due to the nature of E-fields about free-space dipoles, the two E-field peaks measured over the dipole are averaged to compensate for non-parallelity of the setup (see manufacturer method on dipole calibration certificates, page 2). Field strength measurements shall be made only when the probe is stationary.


FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prod to be pest of @ skenned	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 44 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 14 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

RF power was recorded using both an average and a peak power reading meter.



Using this setup configuration, the signal generator was adjusted for the desired output power (100mW) at a specified frequency. The reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler is recorded. Next, the output cable is connected to the reference dipole, as shown in Figure 6-3.


The input signal level was adjusted until the reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler was the same as previously recorded, to compensate for the impedance mismatch between the output cable and the reference dipole. To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the reference dipole was verified to the full rated output power of the wireless device. The dipole was secured in a holder in a manner to meet the 20 dB reflection. The near-field measurement probe was positioned over the dipole. The antenna was scanned over the appropriate sized area to cover the dipole from end to end. SPEAG uses 2D interpolation algorithms between the measured points. Please see below two dimensional plots showing that the interpolated values interpolate smoothly between 5mm steps for a free-space RF dipole:



2-D Raw Data from scan along dipole axis



2-D Raw Data from scan along transverse axis



2-D Interpolated points from scan along dipole axis

					***				
-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-		
1	1							~	
-									N
	-								
									II.


**Figure 6-7** 2-D Interpolated points from scan along transverse axis

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Novel to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 15 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 15 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

# **III. System Check Results**

### Validation Results

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Probe S/N	DAE S/N	Dipole S/N	Input Power (dBm)	E-field Result (V/m)	Target Field (V/m)	% Deviation
9/14/2020	835	4035	665	1003	20.0	104.9	105.2	-0.3%
9/14/2020	1880			1137	20.0	90.2	87.8	2.7%
0/28/2020	2600			1012	20.0	86.3	85.2	1.2%
9/28/2020	3500			1005	20.0	86.4	84.1	2.8%



System Check Setup

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Novel to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 40 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 16 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.N

# 7. MODULATION INTERFERENCE FACTOR

## I. Measuring Modulation Interference Factors

For any specific fixed and repeatable modulated signal, a modulation interference factor (MIF, expressed in dB) may be determined that relates its interference potential to its steady-state RMS signal level or average power level. This factor is a function only of the audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristics of the signal and is the same for field-strength and conducted power measurements. The MIF is valid only for a specific repeatable audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristic; any change in modulation characteristic requires determination and application of a new MIF.

The MIF may be determined using a radiated RF field or a conducted RF signal:

- a. Using RF illumination or conducted coupling, apply the specific modulated signal in question to the measurement system at a level within its confirmed operating dynamic range.
- b. Measure the steady-state RMS level at the output of the fast probe or sensor.
- c. Measure the steady-state average level at the weighting output.
- d. Without changing the square-law detector or weighting system, and using RF illumination or conducted coupling, substitute for the specific modulated signal a 1 kHz, 80% amplitude modulated carrier at the same frequency and adjust its strength until the level at the weighting output equals the step c) measurement.
- e. Without changing the carrier level from step d), remove the 1 kHz modulation and again measure the steady-state RMS level indicated at the output of the fast probe or sensor.
- f. The MIF for the specific modulation characteristic is provided by the ratio of the step e) measurement to the step b) measurement, expressed in dB (20 × log[(step e)/(step b)]).

The following procedure was used to measure the MIF using the SPEAG Audio Interference Analyzer (AIA), Type No: SE UMS 170 CB, Serial No.: 1010:

- 1. The device was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator or set to transmit using test software for a given mode.
- 2. The device was then set to continuously transmit at maximum power.
- 3. Using a coupler if needed, the device output signal was connected to the RF In port of the AIA, which was connected to a desktop computer. Alternatively, a radiated RF signal may be used with the AIA's built-in antenna.
- 4. The MIF measurement procedure in the DASY software was run, and the resulting MIF value was recorded.
- 5. Steps 1-4 were repeated for all CMRS air interfaces, frequency bands, and modulations.


The modulation interference factors obtained were applied to readings taken of the actual wireless device in order to obtain an accurate audio interference level reading using the formula:

### Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)] = 20 \* log[Raw Field Value (V/m)] + MIF (dB)

Because the MIF value is output power independent, MIF values for a given mode should be constant across all devices; however, per C63.19-2011 §D.7, MIF values should be measured for each device being evaluated. The voice modes for this device have been investigated in this section of the report.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Note to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 17 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 17 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

# **II. MIF Measurement Block Diagrams**



### **III. Measured Modulation Interference Factors:**

	Table 7-1										
CDMA Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1</sup>											
			C	ell			PCS				
Mc	ode	90S	22H	22H	22H	24E	24E	24E			
	-	564	1013	384	777	25	600	1175			
	RC1/SO3	3.08	3.05	3.02	3.03	3.02	3.03	3.06			
CDMA	RC3/SO3	-19.92	-19.65	-19.71	-19.76	-19.62	-19.78	-19.87			
	EvDO	-19.31	-19.34	-19.20	-19.20	-19.34	-19.30	-19.37			

	Table 7-2           GSM Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1</sup>									
Ma	de		GSM850		GSM1900					
IVIC	Jue	128	190	251	512	661	810			
GSM	Voice	3.56	3.57	3.57	3.55	3.55	3.54			

3.73

3.73

EDGE

 Table 7-3

 UMTS Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1</sup>

3.74

3.65

3.65

3.63

N.	odo	UMTS V			UMTS IV			UMTS II		
Mode		4132	4183	4233	1312	1412	1513	9262	9400	9538
	12.2 kbps RMC	-23.76	-24.30	-24.13	-24.34	-24.44	-24.22	-25.07	-24.73	-24.40
UMTS	12.2 kbps AMR	-23.85	-24.41	-23.98	-24.28	-24.75	-24.72	-24.48	-24.56	-25.27
	HSUPA Subtest1	-23.47	-22.96	-23.03	-23.58	-23.68	-23.87	-23.72	-23.21	-23.46

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 19 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 18 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

LTE Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	0	-9.60
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	-10.06
2	1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	-10.07
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	-9.64
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	-9.75
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	-9.69
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	-10.88
5	836.5	20525	10	16QAM	1	0	-9.93
30	2310.0	27710	10	16QAM	1	0	-9.78
71	680.5	133297	20	64QAM	1	0	-9.70
71	680.5	133297	20	QPSK	1	0	-14.85
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	50	-9.68
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	99	-9.64
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	50	0	-16.68
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	100	0	-17.96
71	680.5	133297	15	16QAM	1	0	-9.62
71	680.5	133297	10	16QAM	1	0	-9.69
71	680.5	133297	5	16QAM	1	0	-9.51
71	665.5	133147	5	16QAM	1	0	-9.50
71	695.5	133447	5	16QAM	1	0	-10.81

 Table 7-4

 LTE EDD Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

# Table 7-5 LTE FDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation Modulation Interference Factor<sup>1,3</sup>

				PCC							SCC				
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL) Channel	PCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL) Channel	SCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	MIF (dB)
CA_2A-12A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	-11.39
CA_2A-12A	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	-9.81
CA_12A-66A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	-11.29
CA_12A-66A	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	-11.63

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: All FDD LTE bands were found to have substantially similar MIF values given similar RB, BW, and modulation configurations.

<sup>3</sup> Note: LTE FDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE FDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-5 were determined from Table 7-4 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 10 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 19 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

LTE Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
41	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3.39
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	0	3.41
41	2593.0	40620	20	64QAM	1	0	3.36
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	50	3.43
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	99	3.40
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	50	0	3.41
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	100	0	3.42
41	2593.0	40620	15	QPSK	1	36	3.41
41	2593.0	40620	10	QPSK	1	25	3.44
41	2593.0	40620	5	QPSK	1	12	3.41
41	2506.0	39750	10	QPSK	1	25	3.41
41	2549.5	40185	10	QPSK	1	25	3.45
41	2636.5	41055	10	QPSK	1	25	3.43
41	2680.0	41490	10	QPSK	1	25	3.45

 Table 7-6

 LTE TDD B41 Power Class 3 Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

 Table 7-7

 LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

LTE Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
41	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3.52
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	0	3.56
41	2593.0	40620	20	64QAM	1	0	3.50
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	50	3.55
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	1	99	3.53
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	50	0	3.53
41	2593.0	40620	20	QPSK	100	0	3.53
41	2593.0	40620	15	QPSK	1	0	3.58
41	2593.0	40620	10	QPSK	1	0	3.57
41	2593.0	40620	5	QPSK	1	0	3.55
41	2506.0	39750	15	QPSK	1	0	3.55
41	2549.5	40185	15	QPSK	1	0	3.58
41	2636.5	41055	15	QPSK	1	0	3.53
41	2680.0	41490	15	QPSK	1	0	3.57

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: LTE TDD MIFs were taken using UL-DL Configuration 5 for Power Class 3 and for Power Class 2. More information about the chosen UL-DL Configuration can be found in Section 10.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 20 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · ·		REV 3.5.M

LTE Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
48	3625.0	55990	20	16QAM	1	0	3.11
48	3625.0	55990	20	QPSK	1	0	3.22
48	3625.0	55990	20	64QAM	1	0	3.05
48	3625.0	55990	20	QPSK	1	50	3.19
48	3625.0	55990	20	QPSK	1	99	3.18
48	3625.0	55990	20	QPSK	50	0	3.13
48	3625.0	55990	20	QPSK	100	0	3.12
48	3625.0	55990	15	QPSK	1	0	3.24
48	3625.0	55990	10	QPSK	1	0	3.27
48	3625.0	55990	5	QPSK	1	0	3.19
48	3555.0	55290	10	QPSK	1	0	3.33
48	3695.0	56690	10	QPSK	1	0	3.36

 Table 7-8

 LTE TDD B48 Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

# Table 7-9 LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation Modulation Interference Factor<sup>1,3</sup>

	PCC							SCC							í
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL/DL) Channel	PCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]		PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL/DL) Channel	SCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]		SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	MIF (dB)
CA_41C (PC3)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	3.61
CA_41C (PC2)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	3.57

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: LTE TDD MIFs were taken using UL-DL Configuration 5 for Power Class 3. More information about the chosen UL-DL Configuration can be found in Section 10.

<sup>3</sup> Note: LTE TDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE TDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-9 were determined from Tables 7-6 and 7-7 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101. These MIFs were evaluated with UL-DL Configuration 5 for Power Class 3 and Power Class 2 LTE TDD.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prout to be part of @ decued	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 21 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 21 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

NR Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
n25	1882.5	376500	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	-11.26
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	-11.04
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	-9.89
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	-10.91
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	-10.37
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	1	-16.49
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	-14.63
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	-10.91
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	-8.77
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	-9.03
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	-10.68
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	-8.92
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	-10.75
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	50	0	-18.26
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	100	0	-19.40
n71	680.5	136100	15	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	-10.91
n71	680.5	136100	10	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	-11.07
n71	680.5	136100	5	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	-10.94

 Table 7-10

 NR FDD Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

 Table 7-11

 NR TDD Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,3</sup>

NR Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	MIF [dB]
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	1.35
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	1	1.36
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	1.34
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.44
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	1.26
n41	2593.0	518598	100	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	1.33
n41	2593.0	518598	100	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	1.18
n41	2593.0	518598	100	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.31
n41	2593.0	518598	100	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	1.29
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	137	1.37
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	271	1.38
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	135	0	1.30
n41	2593.0	518598	100	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	270	0	1.32
n41	2593.0	518598	90	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.43
n41	2593.0	518598	80	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.37
n41	2593.0	518598	60	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.32
n41	2593.0	518598	50	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.34
n41	2593.0	518598	40	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.32
n41	2593.0	518598	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	1.31

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: All FDD NR bands were found to have substantially similar MIF values given similar RB, BW, and modulation configurations.

<sup>3</sup> Note: Since NR Band n41 at 100MHz bandwidth is the overall worst-case NR TDD MIF and does not support 3 nonoverlapping channels, MIF measurements were made only on the middle channel.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of @vierner	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 22 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 22 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

Table 7-12									
802.11b (2.4GHz, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>									
	802.11b MIF Measurements [dB]								
	Mode								
		1	2	5.5	11				
	802.11b	-10.14	-14.10	-11.63	-10.95				

802.11b	-10.14	-14.10	-11.63	-10.95

### Table 7-13

802.11b (2.4GHz, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

	802.11b MIF Measurements [dB]							
Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]							
	2	4	11	22				
802.11b	-10.16	-14.09	-11.66	-10.96				

Т	able	e 7·	-14
---	------	------	-----

802.11g (2.4GHz, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

	802.11g MIF Measurements [dB]									
Mode		Data Rate [Mbps]								
	6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54		
802.11g	-12.23	-11.45	-11.06	-10.16	-9.65	-9.25	-9.30	-9.34		

Т	abl	e 7	<b>'-15</b>
	an	C /	-13

802.11g (2.4GHz, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

	802.11g MIF Measurements [dB]									
Mode		Data Rate [Mbps]								
	12	18	24	36	48	72	92	108		
802.11g	-12.02	-11.35	-10.94	-10.07	-9.48	-9.10	-9.19	-9.27		

#### Table 7-16

802 11n (2 4GHz\_SISO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

	802.11n (2.4GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]								
Mode		MCS Index							
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
802.11n	-12.41	-11.09	-10.20	-9.86	-9.32	-9.46	-9.51	-9.63	

	Table 7-17								
802.11n (2.4GHz, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>									
	802.11n (2.4GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]								
Mode MCS Index									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
802.11n	-9.28	-10.31	-9.50	-9.09	-8.72	-8.90	-8.89	-9.11	

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup>Note: WIFI MIF values were found to be independent of the transmit channel.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Novel to be part of the element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 02 af 00	
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 23 of 96	
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M	

	802.11ac (2.4GHz, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>										
	20MHz BW 802.11ac (2.4GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode	MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
802.11ac	-12.40	-11.10	-10.35	-9.73	-9.34	-9.37	-9.46	-9.65	-9.92		

Table 7-18
802.11ac (2.4GHz, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>
20MHz BW 802.11ac (2.4GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]

902 11aa (2 4CHz MIMO) Modulation Interference Easters 1.2	Table 7-19
802.1 Tac (2.4GHz, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors	802.11ac (2.4GHz, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>

		20MHz BW 802.11ac (2.4GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode		MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
802.11ac	-11.57	-10.36	-9.59	-8.99	-8.77	-8.84	-8.95	-9.15	-9.45			

	l able 7-20									
802.11a (5GHz, 20MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>										
802.11a MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]									
	6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54		
802.11a	-12.30	-11.51	-11.15	-10.25	-9.77	-9.47	-9.54	-9.56		

T-LL- 7 00

80	Table 7-21           802.11a (5GHz, 20MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>									
Mode	802.11a MIF Measurements [dB] Data Rate [Mbps]									
	12	18	24	36	48	72	92	108		
802.11a	-12.19	-11.39	-11.07	-10.09	-9.59	-9.26	-9.34	-9.40		

### **Table 7-22**

802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

20MHz BW 802.11n (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]								
Mode		MCS Index						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
802.11n	-12.55	-11.16	-10.28	-9.95	-9.54	-9.69	-9.71	-9.85

Table 7-23 802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

	20MHz BW 802.11n (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]											
Mode		MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
802.11n	-11.98	-10.67	-9.83	-9.42	-9.09	-9.24	-9.28	-9.54				

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: WIFI MIF values were found to be independent of the transmit channel.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 24 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 24 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

_	802.11ac (5GHz, 20MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>												
			20MHz BW 802.11ac (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
	Mode		MCS Index										
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
	802.11ac	c -12.51 -11.25 -10.43 -9.84 -9.57 -9.59 -9.64 -9.84 -10.10											

Table 7-24	
802.11ac (5GHz, 20MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>	2

Table 7-25
802.11ac (5GHz, 20MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>
20MHz BW 802.11ac (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]

Mode		MCS Index											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
802.11ac	-11.93	-10.76	-9.93	-9.41	-9.15	-9.23	-9.32	-9.52	-9.79				

Table 7-2	6
SISO) Ma	dulat

8	802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>											
		40MHz BW 802.11n (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode		MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
802.11n	-10.85	-9.67	-9.26	-8.93	-9.24	-9.73	-10.16	-10.47				

	Table 7-27										
802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>											
	40MHz BW 802.11n (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode	MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
802.11n	-10.75	-9.57	-9.15	-8.87	-9.20	-9.62	-10.01	-10.36			

### Table 7-28

802.11ac (5GHz, 40MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

		40MHz BW 802.11ac (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]										
Mode		MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
802.11ac	-10.79	-9.67	-9.19	-8.92	-9.19	-9.72	-10.01	-10.33	N/A	-10.98		

 Table 7-29

 802.11ac (5GHz, 40MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

			40MH	z BW 802.	11ac (5GH	z) MIF Mea	surement	s [dB]				
Mode		MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
802.11ac	-10.74	-9.56	-9.15	-8.91	-9.18	-9.66	-9.98	-10.25	N/A	-10.92		

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: WIFI MIF values were found to be independent of the transmit channel.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 25 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 25 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

	802.11ac (5GHz, 80MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors <sup>1,2</sup>												
		80MHz BW 802.11ac (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]											
Mode		MCS Index											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
802.11ac	-11.11	-9.91	-9.37	-9.15	-9.55	-10.08	-10.43	-10.60	-11.04	-11.40			

# Table 7-30 802.11ac (5GHz, 80MHz BW, SISO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

# Table 7-31 802.11ac (5GHz, 80MHz BW, MIMO) Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2</sup>

		80MHz BW 802.11ac (5GHz) MIF Measurements [dB]									
Mode	MCS Index										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
802.11ac	-10.93	-9.71	-9.23	-8.97	-9.37	-9.93	-10.27	-10.48	-11.02	-11.31	

#### Table 7-32

Simultaneous 2.4GHz and 5GHz WIFI Modulation Interference Factors<sup>1,2,3</sup>

# T~		z WIFI 3m]	2.4 GH [dE		_Measured MIF (dB)	
Тх	Ant1	Ant2	Ant1	Ant2		
2	-	х	x	-	-9.40	

<sup>1</sup> Note: Measured MIF values may be lower than sample MIF values provided in ANSI C63.19-2011 Annex D.7 Table D.5 due to manufacturing variations for each device, however per Annex D.7, the sample MIF values of Table D.5 are not intended to substitute for measurements of actual devices under test and their respective operating modes.

<sup>2</sup> Note: WLAN MIF values were found to be independent of the transmit channel.

<sup>3</sup>Note: The configuration for each scenario (e.g. bandwidth, data rate, etc.) was determined using the worst-case configuration from SISO MIF measurements.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Neud to be part of @ elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 00 of 00	
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 26 of 96	
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M	

# 8. RF CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS

# I. Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for HAC Testing

The handset was configured to transmit the required air interface in a shielded chamber. Measurements were taken with a fully charged battery.

## **II. HAC Measurement Conditions**

### **Output Power Verification**

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels for all applicable air interfaces for which full testing scans are required. Modes which are exempted from full testing according to Section 9 of this report have only their conducted power targets listed below, not measured values. See Table 8-1 for air interface specific settings of transmit power parameters. See Table 9-1 for more information regarding which modes required full testing and had conducted power measurements taken.


Power Control Parameters and Settings by Air Interface								
Air Interface:	Parameter Name:	Parameter Set To:						
CDMA	Power Control Bits	"All Up"						
GSM	PCL	GSM850: "5"; GSM1900: "0"						
UMTS	TPC	"All 1's"						
LTE	TPC	"Max Power"						
NR	PLS	Mfr Specified						
WIFI	N/A	Mfr Configured						

 Table 8-1

 Power Control Parameters and Settings by Air Interface

### III. Setup Used to Measure RF Conducted Powers

The general setup for conducted power is shown in Figure 8-1 below. The power measurement equipment could be a base station simulator, signal analyzer, or power meter depending on the applicable air interface.



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Nout to be part of @ decuser	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 27 of 06	
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 27 of 96	
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M	

Band	Channel	Rule Part	Frequency	SO2 [dBm]	SO2 [dBm]	SO2 [dBm]	SO55 [dBm]	SO55 [dBm]	SO75 [dBm]	SO9 [dBm]	SO9 [dBm]	SO3 [dBm]	SO3 [dBm]	SO3 [dBm]	1x EvDO Rev. A [dBm]
	F-RC		MHz	RC1	RC3	RC4	RC1	RC3	RC11	RC2	RC5	RC1	RC3	RC4	(RETAP)
Cellular	564	90S	820.1	25.19	25.25	25.26	25.21	25.23	25.27	25.30	25.24	25.30	25.21	25.26	25.30
	1013	22H	824.7	25.28	25.22	25.25	25.22	25.24	25.22	25.11	25.13	25.08	25.10	25.12	25.32
Cellular	384	22H	836.52	25.18	25.19	25.20	25.20	25.20	25.19	25.22	25.20	25.21	25.20	25.17	25.30
	777	22H	848.31	25.17	25.20	25.20	25.21	25.21	25.13	25.20	25.16	25.22	25.20	25.21	25.27
	25	24E	1851.25	25.05	25.06	25.05	25.06	25.09	25.11	25.07	25.06	25.05	25.06	25.06	25.11
PCS	600	24E	1880	25.11	25.12	25.11	25.11	25.11	25.13	25.11	25.10	25.11	25.10	25.11	25.08
	1175	24E	1908.75	24.77	24.76	24.78	24.77	24.84	24.87	24.87	24.87	24.86	24.86	24.87	24.82

# **IV. CDMA Conducted Powers**

# V. GSM Conducted Powers

Band	Channel	GSM [dBm] CS (1 Slot)	EDGE [dBm] 1 Tx Slot	
	128	33.47	26.88	
GSM 850	190	33.16	26.67	
	251	33.19	26.63	
	512	30.70	25.87	
GSM 1900	661	30.57	25.83	
	810	30.28	25.29	

# **VI. UMTS Target Powers**

Table 8-2 UMTS Conducted Power Targets

		Modulated Average (dBm)			
Mode /	3GPP WCDMA Rel 99	3GPP HSDPA Rel 5	3GPP HSUPA Rel 6		
UMTS Band V (850 MHz)	Max allowed power	25.5	25.5	25.5	
UNITS BAILU V (850 MITZ)	Nominal	24.5	24.5	24.5	
LINATE Dand IV (1750 MULT)	Max allowed power		25.5	25.5	
UMTS Band IV (1750 MHz)	Nominal	24.5	24.5	24.5	
LINATE Dand II (1000 MILIT)	Max allowed power	25.5	25.5	25.5	
UMTS Band II (1900 MHz)	Nominal	24.5	24.5	24.5	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proved to be part of (*) element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename: 1M2007230115-20.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 28 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	5/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	i orabie handset		REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

# VII. LTE FDD Target Powers

LTE FDD Conducted Power Targets							
Mode / Band		Modulated Average Output Power (dBm)					
LTE FDD Band 71	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FDD Ballu / I	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 12	Max allowed power	25.5					
LTE FDD Ballu 12	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 17	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FDD Ballu 17	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 13	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FUU Ballu 15	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 5	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FDD Ballu S	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 26	Max allowed power	25.5					
LTE FDD Dallu 20	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 4	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FDD Ballu 4	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 66	Max allowed power	25.5					
LTE FDD Ballu 00	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 2	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FUU Ballu Z	Nominal	24.5					
LTE FDD Band 25	Max allowed power	25.5					
LIE FUU Ballu 25	Nominal	24.5					

### Table 8-3 LTE FDD Conducted Power Targets

	Table 8-4					
 LTE FDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation Conducted Power Targets						
		Modulated Average	Í			

Mode / Band		Modulated Average Output Power (in dBm)
LTE FDD Band 12	Max allowed power	23.5
LIE FDD Ballu 12	Nominal	22.5
LTE FDD Band 66	Max allowed power	23.5
LIE FDD Ballu 66	Nominal	22.5
LTE FDD Band 2	Max allowed power	23.5
	Nominal	22.5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Thead to be port of the electrat	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 00 af 00	
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 29 of 96	
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M	

# VIII. LTE TDD Target Powers

LIE FDD Conducted Power Targets					
Mode / Band		Modulated Average Output Power (in dBm)			
LTE TDD Band 48	Max allowed power	24.0			
LTE TOD Ballu 40	Nominal	23.0			
LTE TDD Band 41 (PC3)	Max allowed power	25.5			
LIE IDD Band 41 (PC3)	Nominal	24.5			
LTE TOD Band 41 (DC2)	Max allowed power	27.5			
LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)	Nominal	26.5			

Table 8-5 LTE FDD Conducted Power Targets<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Note: Conducted power levels were additionally measured to verify operating power levels of configurations used in Section 11

 Table 8-6

 LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation Conducted Powers

Mode / Band		Modulated Average Output Power (dBm)
LTE TDD Band 41 (PC3)	Max allowed power	25.5
LTE TOD Band 41 (PC3)	Nominal	24.5
LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)	Max allowed power	27.5
	Nominal	26.5

# **IX. NR FDD Target Powers**

Table 8-7 NR FDD Conducted Power Targets

Mode / Band		Modulated Average Output Power (in dBm)
NR FDD Band n71	Max allowed power	25.5
	Nominal	24.5
NR FDD Band n25	Max allowed power	25.5
NR FDD Band N25	Nominal	24.5
NR FDD Band n66	Max allowed power	25.5
ואר רטט שמווע ווסס	Nominal	24.5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset	Portable Handset	
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

# X. NR TDD Conducted Powers

### a. NR n41

<u>141 (2593.0</u>	JMHz) Co	nducted	Powers – 1	00MHz E	Sandw
	-		Channel		
Modulation	RB Size		518598 (2592.99 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]
	KD SIZE	RB Offset	Conducted Power [dBm]	[dB]	[ub]
	1	1	24.40		0.0
	1	137	24.59	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	271	24.47		0.0
π/2 BPSK	135	0	24.51	0-0.5	0.0
M2 DI SK	135	69	24.54	0	0.0
	135	138	24.58	0-0.5	0.0
	270	0	24.57	0-0.5	0.0
	1	1	24.44		0.0
	1	137	24.55	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	271	24.46		0.0
QPSK	135	0	24.52	0-1	0.0
	135	69	24.57	0	0.0
	135	138	24.66	0-1	0.0
	270	0	24.51	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.39	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.34	0-1.5	0.0

 Table 8-8

 NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 100MHz Bandwidth

### Table 8-9

### NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 90MHz Bandwidth

NR Band n41 90 MHz Bandwidth							
			Cha	nnel			
Modulation	RB Size RB Offset		508200 (2541 MHz)	528996 (2644.98 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]	
			Conducted	Power [dBm]	[dB]		
	1	1	24.68	24.74		0.0	
	1	123	24.39	24.53	0	0.0	
DFT-s-OFDM	1	243	24.56	24.42		0.0	
$\pi/2$ BPSK	120	0	24.44	24.56	0-0.5	0.0	
<i>k/2</i> BI 5K	120	63	24.48	24.49	0	0.0	
	120	125	24.53	24.43	0-0.5	0.0	
	243	0	24.50	24.54	0-0.5	0.0	
	1	1	24.63	24.73		0.0	
	1	123	24.58	24.65	0	0.0	
DFT-s-OFDM	1	243	24.61	24.51		0.0	
QPSK	120	0	24.49	24.52	0-1	0.0	
GIOR	120	63	24.36	24.48	0	0.0	
	120	125	24.42	24.40	0-1	0.0	
	243	0	24.44	24.50	0-1	0.0	
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.73	24.81	0-1	0.0	
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.60	24.63	0-1.5	0.0	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 21 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 31 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	*			REV 3.5.M

			Cha	nnel		
Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	507204 (2536.02 MHz)	529998 (2649.99 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]
			Conducted	Power [dBm]	[dB]	
	1	1	24.59	24.69		0.0
	1	109	24.48	24.55	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	215	24.62	24.43		0.0
π/2 BPSK	108	0	24.41	24.60	0-0.5	0.0
W2 DF 5K	108	55	24.49	24.54	0	0.0
	108	109	24.53	24.44	0-0.5	0.0
	216	0	24.48	24.48	0-0.5	0.0
	1	1	24.65	24.67		0.0
	1	109	24.43	24.53	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	215	24.57	24.47		0.0
QPSK	108	0	24.42	24.50	0-1	0.0
QFSK	108	55	24.40	24.45	0	0.0
	108	109	24.52	24.46	0-1	0.0
	216	0	24.43	24.52	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.37	24.45	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.44	24.59	0-1.5	0.0

Table 8-10 NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 80MHz Bandwidth

Table 8-11NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 60MHz Bandwidth

			Channel				
Modulation	RB Size RB Offset	505200 (2526 MHz)	518598 (2592.99 MHz)	531996 (2659.98 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]	
			Cor	nducted Power [d	Bm]	[dB]	
	1	1	24.66	24.50	24.55		0.0
	1	81	24.51	24.68	24.43	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	160	24.58	24.65	24.48		0.0
$\pi/2$ BPSK	81	0	24.54	24.62	24.44	0-0.5	0.0
W2 DI SK	81	41	24.49	24.68	24.36	0	0.0
	81	81	24.50	24.72	24.47	0-0.5	0.0
	162	0	24.50	24.69	24.41		0.0
	1	1	24.57	24.48	24.53		0.0
	1	81	24.45	24.60	24.42	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	160	24.42	24.52	24.50		0.0
QPSK	81	0	24.51	24.58	24.43	0-1	0.0
QFOR	81	41	24.46	24.64	24.49	0	0.0
	81	81	24.43	24.67	24.50	0-1	0.0
	162	0	24.48	24.65	24.42	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.31	24.35	24.10	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.44	24.34	24.36	0-1.5	0.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of the element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset	Portable Handset	
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

			Channel				
Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	504204 (2521.02 MHz)	518598 (2592.99 MHz)	532998 (2664.99 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]
			Cor	ducted Power [dl	Bm]	[dB]	
	1	1	24.57	24.66	24.51		0.0
	1	67	24.41	24.70	24.36	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	131	24.48	24.64	24.44		0.0
$\pi/2$ BPSK	64	0	24.43	24.63	24.37	0-0.5	0.0
<i>n/2</i> BI 3K	64	35	24.37	24.65	24.33	0-0.5	0.0
	64	69	24.36	24.69	24.42		0.0
	128	0	24.35	24.64	24.40		0.0
	1	1	24.61	24.53	24.53		0.0
	1	67	24.39	24.69	24.37	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	131	24.36	24.60	24.46		0.0
QPSK	64	0	24.40	24.64	24.32	0-1	0.0
Gron	64	35	24.33	24.62	24.35	0	0.0
	64	69	24.31	24.69	24.37	0-1	0.0
	128	0	24.35	24.64	24.40	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.18	24.26	24.04	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.39	24.47	24.41	0-1.5	0.0

 Table 8-12

 NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 50MHz Bandwidth

Table 8-13NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 40MHz Bandwidth

		•						
Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	503202 (2516.01 MHz)	513468 (2567.34 MHz)	523734 (2618.67 MHz)	534000 (2670 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR Allowed per 3GPP
					[dB]	[dB]		
	1	1	24.89	24.82	24.78	24.80		0.0
	1	53	24.79	24.86	24.82	24.78	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	104	24.84	24.92	24.67	24.85	1	0.0
$\pi/2$ BPSK	50	0	24.85	24.75	24.91	24.73	0-0.5	0.0
M2 DI SK	50	28	24.78	24.84	24.88	24.71	0-0.5	0.0
	50	56	24.75	24.93	24.95	24.86		0.0
	100	0	24.86	24.85	24.96	24.80		0.0
	1	1	24.79	24.86	24.89	24.87		0.0
	1	53	24.69	24.83	24.92	24.74	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	104	24.75	24.95	24.88	24.81		0.0
QPSK	50	0	24.80	24.79	24.91	24.79	0-1	0.0
QFOR	50	28	24.82	24.77	24.86	24.73	0	0.0
	50	56	24.78	24.93	24.89	24.87	0-1	0.0
	100	0	24.84	24.83	24.95	24.85	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.24	24.32	24.76	24.59	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.83	24.76	24.80	24.73	0-1.5	0.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of @ idension	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 22 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 33 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

			<b>/</b>	oonaaotoa	Channel				
Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	501204 (2506.02 MHz)	509898 (2549.49 MHz)	518598 (2592.99 MHz)	527298 (2636.49 MHz)	535998 (2679.99 MHz)	MPR Allowed per 3GPP	MPR [dB]
				Cor	nducted Power [d	Bm]		[dB]	
	1	1	24.65	24.57	24.76	24.56	24.49		0.0
	1	26	24.61	24.62	24.67	24.44	24.35	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	49	24.73	24.65	24.74	24.48	24.46		0.0
$\pi/2$ BPSK	25	0	24.57	24.51	24.73	24.57	24.58	0-0.5	0.0
W2 DI SK	25	13	24.56	24.54	24.83	24.47	24.56	0	0.0
	25	26	24.58	24.61	24.77	24.53	24.57	0-0.5	0.0
	50	0	24.58	24.53	24.81	24.55	24.53	0-0.5	0.0
	1	1	24.77	24.64	24.75	24.59	24.50		0.0
	1	26	24.63	24.55	24.69	24.48	24.45	0	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM	1	49	24.69	24.75	24.72	24.52	24.52		0.0
QPSK	25	0	24.57	24.52	24.74	24.46	24.59	0-1	0.0
QFOR	25	13	24.54	24.59	24.82	24.50	24.51	0	0.0
	25	26	24.58	24.53	24.81	24.43	24.53	0-1	0.0
	50	0	24.60	24.56	24.84	24.54	24.56	0-1	0.0
DFT-s-OFDM 16QAM	1	1	24.12	24.10	24.67	24.49	24.48	0-1	0.0
CP-OFDM QPSK	1	1	24.55	24.50	24.76	24.52	24.56	0-1.5	0.0

 Table 8-14

 NR n41 (2593.0MHz) Conducted Powers – 20MHz Bandwidth

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proad to be part of @ elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 24 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 34 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

# XI. WIFI Target Powers (SISO/MIMO)

							,	IEEE 8	802.11	.11 (in dBm)							
					SI	SO				MIMO							
Mode	Band			Ante	nna 1	/ Antenna 2	2						IVIII	VIO			
		b		g		n		ac		b		g (CDD + S	TBC)	n (CDD+STBC,	SDM)	ac	
	mum / al Power	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.
2.4	0.45	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	21.0	20.0	21.0	20.0	21.0	20.0	21.0	20.0
GHz WIFI	2.45 GHz						16.0	ch. 1: 17.0 ch. 2: 17.0 ch. 10: 17.0	16.0						19.0	ch. 1: 20.0 ch. 2: 20.0 ch. 10: 20.0	19.0
						ch. 11: 17.0	16.0	ch. 11: 17.0	16.0					ch. 11: 20.0	19.0	ch. 11: 20.0	19.0

 Table 8-15

 IEEE 802.11b/g/n/ac (2.4GHz) Reduced Average RF Power Targets<sup>1</sup>

# Table 8-16 IEEE 802.11a/n/ac 20MHz BW (5GHz) Reduced Average RF Power Targets<sup>1</sup>

			IEEE 802.11 (in dBm)														
Mode	Band			SISO				МІМО									
Danu		Antenna 1 / Antenna 2											ac 0+STBC, SDM)				
		а		n		ac		a (CDD + STI	BC)	n (CDD+STBC,	SDM)	om. Max					
	/ Nominal wer	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.				
	5200 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0				
5 GHz WIFI	5300 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0				
(20MHz BW)	5500 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0				
,	5800 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0				

 Table 8-17

 IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz/80MHz BW (5GHz) Maximum Average RF Power Targets

				IEEE	802.1	1 (in dBm)				
Mada	David		SI	so				MO		
Mode	Band	Ante	Antenna 1 / Antenna 2							
				ac		n (CDD+STBC,	SDM)	ac (CDD+STBC,	SDM)	
	/ Nominal wer	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	
	5200 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	
		ch. 38: 14.0	13.0	ch. 38: 14.0	13.0	ch. 38: 17.0	16.0	ch. 38: 17.0	16.0	
5 GHz	5300 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	
WIFI (40MHz		ch. 62: 14.0	13.0	ch. 62: 14.0	13.0	ch. 62: 17.0	16.0	ch. 62: 17.0	16.0	
(40IVIHZ BW)	5500 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	
		ch. 102: 14.0	13.0	ch. 102: 14.0	13.0	ch. 102: 17.0	16.0	ch. 102: 17.0	16.0	
	5800 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	
	5200 MHz			13.0	12.0			16.0	15.0	
5 GHz	5300 MHz			13.0	12.0			16.0	15.0	
WIFI (80MHz	5500 MHz			14.0	14.0			18.0	17.0	
BW)				ch. 106: 13.0	12.0			ch. 106: 16.0	15.0	
,	5800 MHz			15.0	14.0			18.0	17.0	

<sup>1</sup>Note: This device utilizes independent power reduction mechanisms for the WIFI transmitter in all 2.4GHz and 5GHz 20MHz WIFI modes for held-to-ear scenarios.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename: 1M2007230115-20.ZNF	Test Dates: 9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 35 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	3/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	i orabie Handset		REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

## XII. WIFI Target Powers for Operations with Simultaneous 2.4GHz and 5GHz

502.11		uo (2.4	0112	/		1 (in dBm)	<u>je n</u>	F POW		
					SI	, ,				
Mode	Band	Antenna 1								
		b g n ac								
	mum / al Power	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	
2.4		18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	
GHz WIFI	2.45 GHz					ch. 1: 17.0 ch. 2: 17.0 ch. 10: 17.0 ch. 11: 17.0	16.0 16.0	ch. 1: 17.0 ch. 2: 17.0 ch. 10: 17.0 ch. 11: 17.0	16.0 16.0	

 Table 8-18

 IEEE 802.11b/g/n/ac (2.4GHz) Reduced Average RF Power Targets<sup>1</sup>

Table 8-19

### IEEE 802.11a/n/ac 20MHz BW (5GHz) Reduced Average RF Power Targets<sup>1</sup>

			IE	EEE 802.11 (	in dBr	n)				
Mode	Band	SISO								
wode	Danu	Antenna 2								
		a n				ac				
	/ Nominal wer	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.			
	5200 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0			
5 GHz WIFI	5300 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0			
(20MHz BW)	5500 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0			
,	5800 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0			

 Table 8-20

 IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz/80MHz BW (5GHz) Maximum Average RF Power Targets

		IEEE	802.1	1 (in dBm)					
Mode	Band	SISO							
wode	Danu	Antenna 2							
		n		ac					
	Maximum / Nominal Power		Nom.	Max	Nom.				
	5200 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0				
	0200 1011 12	ch. 38: 14.0	13.0	ch. 38: 14.0	13.0				
5 GHz	5300 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0				
WIFI (40MHz		ch. 62: 14.0	13.0	ch. 62: 14.0	13.0				
BW)	5500 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0				
		ch. 102: 14.0	13.0	ch. 102: 14.0	13.0				
	5800 MHz	16.0	15.0	16.0	15.0				
	5200 MHz			13.0	12.0				
5 GHz	5300 MHz			13.0	12.0				
WIFI (80MHz	5500 MHz			14.0	14.0				
BW)				ch. 106: 13.0	-				
	5800 MHz			15.0	14.0				

<sup>1</sup>Note: This device utilizes independent power reduction mechanisms for the WIFI transmitter in all 2.4GHz and 5GHz 20MHz WIFI modes for held-to-ear scenarios.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 26 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 36 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

#### JUSTIFICATION OF HELD TO EAR MODES TESTED 9.

## I. Analysis of RF Air Interface Technologies

An analysis was performed, following the guidance of §4.3 and §4.4 of the ANSI standard, of the RF air interface technologies being evaluated. The factors that will affect the RF interference potential were evaluated, and the worst-case operating modes were identified and used in the evaluation. A WD's interference potential is a function both of the WD's average near-field field strength and of the signal's audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristics. Per §4.4, RF air interface technologies that have low power have been found to produce sufficiently low RF interference potential, so it is possible to exempt them from the product testing specified in Clause 5 of the ANSI standard. An RF air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17dBm for all of its operating modes. RF air interface technologies exempted from testing in this manner are automatically assigned an M4 rating to be used in determining the overall rating for the WD.

The worst-case MIF plus the worst-case average antenna input power for all modes are investigated below to determine the testing requirements for this device.

Table 9-1

Max Power + MIF calculations for Low Power Exemptions											
Air Interface	Maximum Average Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Total (Power + MIF, dB)	C63.19 Testing Required							
CDMA - Full Frame Rate	25.21	-19.62	5.59	No							
CDMA - 1/8 <sup>th</sup> Frame Rate	16.27*	3.08	19.35	Yes							
CDMA - EvDO	25.32	-19.20	6.12	No							
GSM - GSM850	24.28*	3.57	27.85	Yes							
GSM - GSM1900	21.51*	3.55	25.06	Yes							
GSM - EDGE850	17.69*	3.74	21.43	Yes**							
GSM - EDGE1900	16.68*	3.65	20.33	Yes**							
UMTS - RMC	25.50	-23.76	1.74	No							
UMTS - AMR	25.50	-23.85	1.65	No							
UMTS - HSPA	25.50	-22.96	2.54	No							
LTE FDD	25.50	-9.50	16.00	No							
LTE FDD - Uplink Carrier Aggregation	23.50	-9.81	13.69	No							
LTE TDD - Band 41 (PC3)	15.79*	3.45	19.24	Yes							
LTE TDD - Band 41 (PC2)	17.79*	3.58	21.37	Yes							
LTE TDD - Band 48	14.29*	3.36	17.65	Yes							
LTE TDD - Uplink Carrier Aggregation	17.79*	3.57	21.36	Yes***							
NR FDD	25.50	-8.77	16.73	No							
NR TDD	18.94*	1.44	20.38	Yes							
WIFI - 2.4GHz	21.00	-8.72	12.28	No							
WIFI - 5GHz	19.00	-8.87	10.13	No							
Simultaneous 2.4GHz and 5GHz WIFI Operations	20.12 <del>1</del>	-9.40	10.72	No							

## II. Individual Mode Evaluations

Approved by: PCTEST FCC ID: ZNFF100TM  $\langle a \rangle$ HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT 🕞 LG Quality Manager Filename: Test Dates: DUT Type: Page 37 of 96 1M2007230115-20.ZNF 9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020 Portable Handset © 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

\* Note: ANSI C63.19-2011 Sec. 4.4 Footnote 20 indicates the use of a long averaging time for measuring the antenna input power when using this method of exclusion. Therefore, the frame averaged power was calculated for these modes in this investigation.

\*\* Note: EDGE data modes were considered but not tested as GSM voice modes were found to be the worst-case modes for the GSM air interface.

\*\*\* Note: LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation data modes were considered but not tested as LTE standalone modes were found to be the worst-case modes for the LTE air interface.

+ Note: This value is calculated as the linear sum of the worst-case power for each band and antenna combination while in simultaneous 2.4GHz and 5GHz operation. This calculation is conservative and for use in this investigation only.

## **III.** Low-Power Exemption Conclusions

Per ANSI C63.19-2011, RF Emissions testing for this device is required only for GSM/CDMA 1/8<sup>th</sup> Frame Rate voice modes as well as LTE TDD (Power Class 3 and Power Class 2) and NR TDD data modes. All other air interfaces are exempt.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 38 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	-			REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

## **10. LTE TDD UPLINK-DOWNLINK CONFIGURATION**

## I. Uplink-Downlink Configuration Additional Testing

Additional testing was performed on each supported power class for LTE TDD to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for RFE testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length  $T_f = 307200 \cdot T_s = 10$  ms, where  $T_s$  is a number of time units equal to  $1/(15000 \times 2048)$  seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length  $30720 \cdot T_s = 1$  ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192  $\cdot$  Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity				Su	bframe	e numt	per				Calculated Transmission
configuration	Switch-point periodicity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	υ	U	D	S	U	U	υ	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	υ	υ	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	υ	υ	υ	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

 Table 10-1

 Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

## II. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Additional Testing

LTE TDD was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst-case emission was used for full testing. See Table 10-2 below for results. The configuration determined in the results below was used to measure the MIF values in Tables 7-6 and 7-8.

Mode / Band	Bandwidth (MHz)	Channel	UL-DL Config.	Mod.	RB Size	RB Offset	Scan Center	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	ons														
	20	40620	0	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	9.08	19.16	-3.19	15.97	35.00	-19.03	M4	none
	20	40620	1	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.94	17.99	-1.51	16.48	35.00	-18.52	M4	none
	20	40620	2	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.36	17.34	1.38	18.72	35.00	-16.28	M4	none
LTE TDD / Band 41	20	40620	3	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.50	17.50	-1.37	16.13	35.00	-18.87	M4	none
	20	40620	4	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.27	17.23	0.63	17.86	35.00	-17.14	M4	none
	20	40620	5	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	6.91	16.79	3.39	20.18	35.00	-14.82	M4	none
	20	40620	6	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	8.36	18.44	-2.39	16.05	35.00	-18.95	M4	none

 Table 10-2

 LTE TDD Power Class 3 UL-DL Configuration Results

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 20 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 39 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	*	·		REV 3.5.M

## III. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Additional Testing

LTE TDD was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, only configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst-case emission was used for full testing. See Table 10-3 below for results. The configuration determined in the results below was used to measure the MIF values in Table 7-7.

	LTE TDD Power Class 2 UL-DL Configuration Results														
Mode / Band	Bandwidth (MHz)	Channel	UL-DL Config.	Mod.	RB Size	RB Offset	Scan Center	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	E-Field Emissions														
	20	40620	1	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	9.48	19.54	-1.52	18.02	35.00	-16.98	M4	none
	20	40620	2	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.94	17.99	1.42	19.41	35.00	-15.59	M4	none
LTE TDD / Band 41	20	40620	3	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	8.84	18.93	-1.34	17.59	35.00	-17.41	M4	none
	20	40620	4	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.81	17.85	0.68	18.53	35.00	-16.47	M4	none
	20	40620	5	16QAM	1	0	Acoustic	7.29	17.26	3.52	20.78	35.00	-14.22	M4	none

# Table 10-3 LTE TDD Power Class 2 UL-DL Configuration Results

## **IV. Conclusion**

Per the results above, UL-DL Configuration 5 was used for LTE TDD Power Class 3 and LTE TDD Power Class 2 testing.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 40 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 40 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.N

REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

## 11. OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

FCC ID:	ZNFF100TM
S/N:	03775

## I. E-FIELD EMISSIONS:

HAC Data Summary for CDMA E-field													
Mode	Channel	RC/SO	DUT Config	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissions													
	564*	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.30	7.82	17.86	3.08	20.94	45.00	-24.06	M4	none
	1013	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.08	8.36	18.44	3.05	21.49	45.00	-23.51	M4	none
Cellular CDMA	1013	RC1/SO3	Swivel	Acoustic	25.08	9.94	19.95	3.05	23.00	45.00	-22.00	M4	none
	384	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.21	6.88	16.75	3.02	19.77	45.00	-25.23	M4	none
	777	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.22	7.39	17.38	3.03	20.41	45.00	-24.59	M4	none
	25	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.05	8.14	18.21	3.02	21.23	35.00	-13.77	M4	none
PCS	25	RC1/SO3	Swivel	Acoustic	25.05	5.53	14.86	3.02	17.88	35.00	-17.12	M4	none
CDMA	600	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	25.11	6.05	15.64	3.03	18.67	35.00	-16.33	M4	none
	1175	RC1/SO3	Normal	Acoustic	24.86	6.84	16.70	3.06	19.76	35.00	-15.24	M4	none

Table 11-1 HAC Data Summary for CDMA E-field

\* Note: Cellular CDMA ch.564 is the Part 90S test channel.

Table 11-2 HAC Data Summary for GSM E-field

						innary is						
Mode	Channel	DUT Config	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	ons											
	128	Normal	Acoustic	33.47	21.33	26.58	3.56	30.14	45.00	-14.86	M4	none
GSM850	128	Swivel	Acoustic	33.47	20.78	26.35	3.56	29.91	45.00	-15.09	M4	none
0.3111030	190	Normal	Acoustic	33.16	17.21	24.72	3.57	28.29	45.00	-16.71	M4	none
	251	Normal	Acoustic	33.19	16.87	24.54	3.57	28.11	45.00	-16.89	M4	none
	512	Normal	Acoustic	30.70	11.57	21.27	3.55	24.82	35.00	-10.18	M4	none
	661	Normal	Acoustic	30.57	10.80	20.67	3.55	24.22	35.00	-10.78	M4	none
GSM1900	810	Normal	Acoustic	30.28	12.39	21.86	3.54	25.40	35.00	-9.60	M4	none
	810	Normal	T-Coil	30.28	12.95	22.25	3.54	25.79	35.00	-9.21	M4	none
	810	Swivel	T-Coil	30.28	9.97	19.97	3.54	23.51	35.00	-11.49	M4	none

 Table 11-3

 HAC Data Summary for LTE TDD Band 41 Power Class 3 E-field

Mode / Band	Bandwidth (MHz)	Channel	DUT Config	UL-DL Config.	Mod.	RB Size	RB Offset	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	ons																
	10	39750	Normal	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.15	6.80	16.65	3.41	20.06	35.00	-14.94	M4	none
	10	39750	Swivel	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.15	6.89	16.77	3.41	20.18	35.00	-14.82	M4	none
LTE TDD /	10	40185	Normal	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.17	5.67	15.07	3.45	18.52	35.00	-16.48	M4	none
Band 41 PC3	10	40620	Normal	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.22	5.45	14.72	3.44	18.16	35.00	-16.84	M4	none
	10	41055	Normal	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.22	5.34	14.54	3.43	17.97	35.00	-17.03	M4	none
	10	41490	Normal	5	QPSK	1	25	Acoustic	24.19	5.18	14.28	3.45	17.73	35.00	-17.27	M4	none

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troud to be part of @ electron	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 41 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 41 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

				ala	oui	mine	սյո		_ 100	Dana	<b>TII</b> 0		1033 L				
Mode / Band	Bandwidth (MHz)	Channel	DUT Config	UL-DL Config.	Mod.	RB Size	RB Offset	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	ons																
	15	39750	Normal	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.81	6.31	16.00	3.55	19.55	35.00	-15.45	M4	none
	15	40185	Normal	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.85	6.91	16.79	3.58	20.37	35.00	-14.63	M4	none
LTE TDD /	15	40185	Swivel	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.85	6.02	15.59	3.58	19.17	35.00	-15.83	M4	none
Band 41 PC2	15	40620	Normal	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.74	6.09	15.69	3.58	19.27	35.00	-15.73	M4	none
	15	41055	Normal	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.92	5.71	15.14	3.53	18.67	35.00	-16.33	M4	none
	15	41490	Normal	5	QPSK	1	0	Acoustic	26.72	5.88	15.38	3.57	18.95	35.00	-16.05	M4	none

 Table 11-4

 HAC Data Summary for LTE TDD Band 41 Power Class 2 E-field

Table 11-5 HAC Data Summary for LTE TDD Band 48 E-field Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)] Conducted Time Avg. Field (V/m) Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)] Excl Blocks per 5.5 UL-DL FCC Limit (dBV/m) FCC Margin (dB) RB Offse Bandwid (MHz) DUT Config MIF (dB) Mod. Result /lode / Ban Channe ower at B (dBm) E-Field Em 10 55290 Normal 5 QPSK 1 0 Acoustic 23.78 10.66 20.56 3.33 23.89 35.00 M4 none 10 55290 Swivel 5 QPSK 1 0 Acoustic 23.78 12.28 21.78 3.33 25.11 35.00 M4 none LTE TDD / Band 48 10 55990 Normal 5 QPSK 1 0 Acoustic 23.82 10.24 20.21 3.27 23.48 35.00 M4 none

Table 11-6 HAC Data Summary for NR TDD n41 E-field

8.80

18.88

3.36

22.24

35.00

M4

none

23.72

Acoustic

10

56690

Normal

5 QPSK 1 0

Mode / Band	Bandwidth (MHz)	Channel	Configuration	Waveform	Mod.	RB Size	RB Offset	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5
E-Field Emissio	ons																
NR TDD / n41	100	518598	Normal	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	Acoustic	24.28	5.46	14.74	1.44	16.18	35.00	-18.82	M4	none
NR 1007 h41	100	518598	Swivel	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	Acoustic	24.28	4.28	12.64	1.44	14.08	35.00	-20.92	M4	none



## Figure 11-1

Sample E-field Scan Overlay

(T-Coil centered scan area pictured. See Test Setup Photographs for acoustic centered scan area and actual WD overlay)


FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 42 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 42 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	*			REV 3.5.M

FCC ID:	ZNFF100TM
S/N:	03775

## II. Worst-case Configuration Evaluation

	Peak Reading 360° Probe Rotation at Azimuth axis											
Mode	Channel	DUT Config	Scan Center	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Time Avg. Field [dB(V/m)]	MIF (dB)	Audio Interference Level [dB(V/m)]	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC Margin (dB)	Result	Excl Blocks per 5.5	
Probe Rot	Probe Rotation at Worst-Case											
GSM19	<b>o</b> 810	Normal	T-Coil	13.44	22.57	3.54	26.11	35.00	-8.89	M4	none	

Table 11-7



\* Note: Locations of probe rotation (with and without exclusions) are shown in Figure 11-1 denoted by the green square markers.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 40 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 43 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.N

6/22/2020

## 12. EQUIPMENT LIST

### Table 12-1 Equipment List

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/11/2019	Annual	3/11/2021	MY45090700
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	2/19/2020	Annual	2/19/2021	MY47420651
Keysight Technologies	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	12/19/2019	Annual	12/19/2020	MY48010233
Amplifier Research	15S1G6	Amplifier	N/A	CBT*	N/A	433978
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	2/27/2020	Annual	2/27/2021	1244524
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	6/8/2020	Annual	6/8/2021	1344555
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	12/4/2019	Annual	12/4/2020	1126066
Anritsu	ML2496A	Power Meter	11/6/2019	Annual	11/6/2020	1405003
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	10/9/2018	Biennial	10/9/2020	181647812
Mini-Circuits	NLP-1200+	Low Pass Filter DC to 1000 MHz	N/A	CBT*	N/A	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	N/A	CBT*	N/A	N/A
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5	Power Attenuator	N/A	CBT*	N/A	1226
Pasternack	PE2237-20	Bidirectional Coupler	N/A	CBT*	N/A	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	6/23/2020	Annual	6/23/2021	161662
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	5/21/2020	Annual	5/21/2021	128635
SPEAG	AIA	Audio Interference Analzyer	N/A	CBT*	N/A	1010
SPEAG	EF3DV3	Freespace E-field Probe	1/16/2019	Biennial	1/16/2021	4035
SPEAG	CD835V3	Freespace 835 MHz Dipole	2/19/2019	Biennial	2/19/2021	1003
SPEAG	CD1880V3	Freespace 1880 MHz Dipole	2/19/2019	Biennial	2/19/2021	1137
SPEAG	CD2600V3	Freespace 2600MHz Dipole	2/19/2019	Biennial	2/19/2021	1012
SPEAG	CD3500V3	Freespace 3500 MHz Dipole	1/15/2019	Biennial	1/15/2021	1005
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	2/12/2020	Annual	2/12/2021	665

Calibration traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

\*Note: CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prout to be part of & elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 11 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 44 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	-			REV 3.5.N

## **13. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**

### Table 13-1

Uncertainty Estimation Table

	Wireless C	communication			asurement		
		Uncer	tainty Estima	ation			
Uncertainty Component	Data (dB)	Data Type	Prob. Dist.	Divisor	Ci (E)	Unc. (dB)	Notes/Comments
Measurement System	-					-	-
RF System Reflections	0.50	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.50	* Refl. < -20 dB
Field Probe Calibration	0.21	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.21	
Field Probe Isotropy	0.01	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.01	
Field Probe Frequency Response	0.135	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.14	
Field Probe Linearity	0.013	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.01	
Modulation Interference Factor	0.20	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.12	Applicable for M-rating testing
Boundary Effects	0.105	Accuracy	R	1.73	1	0.06	*
Probe Positioning Accuracy	0.20	Accuracy	R	1.73	1	0.12	*
Probe Positioner	0.050	Accuracy	R	1.73	1	0.03	*
Extrapolation/Interpolation	0.045	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.03	*
Resolution to 2mm error	0.21	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.21	
System Detection Limit	0.05	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.03	*
Readout Electronics	0.015	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.02	*
Integration Time	0.11	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.06	*
Response Time	0.033	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.02	*
Phantom Thickness	0.10	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.06	*
System Repeatability (Field x 2=power)	0.17	Tolerance	Ν	1.00	1	0.17	*
Test Sample Related							-
Device Positioning Vertical	0.2	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.12	*
Device Positioning Lateral	0.045	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.03	*
Device Holder and Phantom	0.1	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.06	*
Power Drift	0.21	Tolerance	R	1.73	1	0.12	
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)		-	-			0.66	16.3%
Expanded Uncertainty [95% confidence]		1.31	32.6%				
Expanded Uncertainty [95% confidence]		0.66	16.3%				

Notes:

1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

2. \* Uncertainty specifications from Schmidt & Partner Engineering AG (not site specific)

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid immunity tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 45 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 45 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

## 14. TEST DATA

See following Attached Pages for Test Data.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be post of @ decrared	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 46 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 46 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

Date: 9/14/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

### DUT: CD835V3 - SN1003

Type: CD835V3 Serial: 1003


#### Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);
- ...

### 835 MHz / 100mW HAC Dipole Validation at 15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):



#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prod to be post of () element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 47 of 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 47 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

Date: 9/14/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

### DUT: CD1880V3 - SN1137

Type: CD1880V3 Serial: 1137


#### Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
  Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

### 1880 MHz / 100mW HAC Dipole Validation at 15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):



#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Tread to be port of @ elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 49 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 48 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · ·		REV 3.5.M



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

### DUT: CD2600V3 - SN1012

Type: CD2600V3 Serial: 1012


#### Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2600 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### 2600 MHz / 100mW HAC Dipole Validation at 15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):



0 dB = 86.46 V/m = 38.74 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Noul to be part of @ skenner	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 40 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 49 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

### DUT: CD3500V3 - SN1005

Type: CD3500V3 Serial: 1005


#### Communication System: CW; Frequency: 3500 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### 3500 MHz / 100mW HAC Dipole Validation at 15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):



#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 50 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 50 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8

#### Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 824.7 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### Cellular CDMA Low Channel, Swivel Open, Acoustic Centered Scan Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 11.22 V/m; Power Drift = -0.18 dB Applied MIF = 3.05 dB RF audio interference level = 23.00 dBV/m Emission category: M4

### MIF scaled E-field

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
22.64 dBV/m	23 dBV/m	22.66 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
21.55 dBV/m	21.93 dBV/m	21.81 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
21.3 dBV/m	20.65 dBV/m	20.49 dBV/m



## PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troud to be part of (*) element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 51 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 51 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8

#### Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### PCS CDMA Low Channel, Swivel Closed, Acoustic Centered Scan Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 7.298 V/m; Power Drift = -0.11 dB Applied MIF = 3.02 dB RF audio interference level = 21.23 dBV/m Emission category: M4

#### MIF scaled E-field

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
21.23 dBV/m	18.73 dBV/m	14.49 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
20.77 dBV/m	16.4 dBV/m	15.91 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
20.08 dBV/m	18.79 dBV/m	18.41 dBV/m



### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 52 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 52 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 *Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8.3* 

#### Communication System: GSM; Frequency: 824.2 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### GSM850 Low Channel, Swivel Closed, Acoustic Centered Scan Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 23.01 V/m; Power Drift = 0.17 dB Applied MIF = 3.56 dB RF audio interference level = 30.14 dBV/m Emission category: M4

MIF scaled E-field			
Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4	

27.96 dBV/m	30.14 dBV/m	30.11 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
26.52 dBV/m	29.48 dBV/m	29.49 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
24.39 dBV/m	28.79 dBV/m	28.81 dBV/m



0 dB = 32.14 V/m = 30.14 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 52 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 53 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

#### Communication System: GSM; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### GSM1900 High Channel, Swivel Closed, T-Coil Centered Scan Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 10.71 V/m; Power Drift = -0.12 dB Applied MIF = 3.54 dB RF audio interference level = 25.79 dBV/m Emission category: M4

MIF Scaled E-lield	MIF	scaled	E-field	
--------------------	-----	--------	---------	--

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
25.12 dBV/m	24.54 dBV/m	23.2 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
22.34 dBV/m	21.12 dBV/m	22.72 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
20.26 dBV/m	25.36 dBV/m	25.79 dBV/m



#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proad to be part of @ elecuted	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 54 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 54 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

Date: 10/1/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

### DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:9.35

#### Communication System: LTE TDD41; Frequency: 2506 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### Power Class 3 TDD LTE Band 41 Low Channel, 10MHz, UL-DL 5, QPSK, 1RB, 25RB Offset Swivel Open, Acoustic Centered Scan, Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 5.394 V/m; Power Drift = -0.10 dB Applied MIF = 3.41 dB RF audio interference level = 20.18 dBV/m Emission category: M4

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
20.18 dBV/m	17.64 dBV/m	16.77 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
17.65 dBV/m	16.32 dBV/m	14.92 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
17.44 dBV/m	15 dD\//m	15.21 dBV/m



0 dB = 10.21 V/m = 20.18 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage EE of OC
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 55 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

Date: 10/1/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:9.35

#### Communication System: LTE TDD41; Frequency: 2549.5 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### Power Class 2 TDD LTE Band 41 Low Mid Channel, 15MHz, UL-DL 5, QPSK, 1RB, 0RB Offset Swivel Closed, Acoustic Centered Scan, Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 5.938 V/m; Power Drift = -0.14 dB Applied MIF = 3.58 dB RF audio interference level = 20.37 dBV/m Emission category: M4

MIF sca	MIF scaled E-field						
Grid 1		Grid 2 M		Grid 3			
20.37	dBV/m	18.64 d	BV/m	16.1	dBV/m		
Grid 4	M4	Grid 5 M	4	Grid 6	5 M4		

Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 MI4
18.05 dBV/m	16.47 dBV/m	16.11 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
17.19 dBV/m	15.85 dBV/m	15.33 dBV/m



0 dB = 10.44 V/m = 20.37 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prod to be part of @ storage	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga E6 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 56 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

Date: 10/1/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:9.35

#### Communication System: LTE Band 48; Frequency: 3555 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### TDD LTE Band 48 Low Channel, 10MHz, UL-DL 5, QPSK, 1RB, 0RB Offset Swivel Open, Acoustic Centered Scan, Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Reference Value = 13.57 V/m; Power Drift = -0.10 dB Applied MIF = 3.33 dB RF audio interference level = 25.11 dBV/m Emission category: M4

MIE	scaled	E-field
IVIIF	scaled	E-field

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
21.17 dBV/m	22.67 dBV/m	21.8 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
22.84 dBV/m	23.67 dBV/m	22.98 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
24.82 dBV/m	25.11 dBV/m	23.51 dBV/m



0 dB = 18.01 V/m = 25.11 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Thead to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga EZ af 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 57 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020



**PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility** 

## DUT: ZNFF100TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03775 Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:4.24

#### Communication System: n41; Frequency: 2593 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4035; Calibrated: 1/16/2019;
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/12/2020
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (0);

#### NR n41 Mid Channel, 100MHz, DFT-s-OFDM, 64QAM, 1RB, 1RB Offset Swivel Closed, Acoustic Centered Scan, Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 4.899 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB Applied MIF = 1.44 dB RF audio interference level = 16.18 dBV/m Emission category: M4

MIF scaled E-field	MIF	scaled	E-field	
--------------------	-----	--------	---------	--

Grid 1 M4	Grid 2 M4	Grid 3 M4
15.51 dBV/m	13.67 dBV/m	10.8 dBV/m
Grid 4 M4	Grid 5 M4	Grid 6 M4
14.84 dBV/m	14.08 dBV/m	12.61 dBV/m
Grid 7 M4	Grid 8 M4	Grid 9 M4
16.18 dBV/m	15.08 dBV/m	15.86 dBV/m



0 dB = 6.445 V/m = 16.18 dBV/m

#### PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the internet	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 59 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 58 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

## **15. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES**

The following pages include the probe calibration used to evaluate HAC for the DUT.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troud to be part of @ electron	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 50 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 59 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 6/22/2020

#### Calibration Laboratory of

PC Test

Client

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Issued: January 17, 2019

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

SETERATION PRODUCTS	2000-000 med at	· · · · · ·	19 10 10 10 10 V	States and the
Certificate I	NO: EF	69 E C 1 U A	1.0 (10) 3 4	计正常标识器
		Contraction of the	shipt south	anse and and

S

С

S

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE** Object EF3DV3-SN:4035 Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-02.v9, QA CAL-25.v7 Calibration procedure for E-field probes optimized for close near field evaluations in air /neft Calibration date: January 16, 2019 2/11/2019 This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate. All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%. Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration) Primary Standards ID Cal Date (Certificate No.) Scheduled Calibration Power meter NRP SN: 104778 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672/02673) Apr-19 Power sensor NRP-Z91 SN: 103244 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672) Apr-19 Power sensor NRP-Z91 SN: 103245 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02673) Apr-19 Reference 20 dB Attenuator SN: S5277 (20x) 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02682) Apr-19 DAE4 SN: 789 14-Jan-19 (No. DAE4-789\_Jan19) Jan-20 Reference Probe ER3DV6 SN: 2328 09-Oct-18 (No. ER3-2328\_Oct18) Oct-19 Secondary Standards ID Check Date (in house) Scheduled Check Power meter E4419B SN: GB41293874 06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18) In house check: Jun-20 Power sensor E4412A SN: MY41498087 06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18) In house check: Jun-20 Power sensor E4412A SN: 000110210 06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18) In house check: Jun-20 RF generator HP 8648C SN: US3642U01700 04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-18) In house check: Jun-20 Network Analyzer E8358A SN: US41080477 31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18) In house check: Oct-19 Name Function Signature Calibrated by: Manu Seitz Laboratory Technician Approved by: Katia Pokovic Technical Manager

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 1 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the electrate	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 60 01 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.N

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

S

С

s

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
DCP	
	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
En	incident E-field orientation normal to probe axis
Ep	incident E-field orientation parallel to probe axis
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization 9	9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),
	i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

## Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1309-2005, "IEEE Standard for calibration of electromagnetic field sensors and probes, excluding antennas, from 9 kHz to 40 GHz", December 2005
- b) CTIA Test Plan for Hearing Aid Compatibility, Rev 3.1.1, May 2017

## Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx,y,z: Assessed for E-field polarization θ = 0 for XY sensors and θ = 90 for Z sensor (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart).
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a locally homogeneous field realized using an open waveguide setup.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORMx (no
  uncertainty required).

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 2 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be post of @ detaurd	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 61 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 61 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EF3DV3 - SN:4035

### **Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm (μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup> )	0.90	0.74	1.20	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	96.8	98.5	95.3	

### Calibration results for Frequency Response (30 MHz - 6 GHz)

Frequency MHz	Target E-Field V/m	Measured E-field (En) V/m	Deviation E-normal in %	Measured E-field (Ep) V/m	Deviation E-normal in %	Unc (k=2) %
30	77.3	76.8	-0.6%	77.3	0.1%	± 5.1 %
100	77.3	78.2	1.2%	77.8	0.7%	± 5.1 %
450	77.1	78.2	1.5%	77.8	0.9%	± 5.1 %
600	77.1	77.8	0.9%	77.5	0.5%	± 5.1 %
750	77.3	77.7	0.5%	77.2	-0.1%	± 5.1 %
1800	140.3	136.9	-2.4%	137.2	-2.2%	± 5.1 %
2000	133.0	129.4	-2.8%	129.4	-2.7%	± 5.1 %
2200	124.8	121.5	-2.7%	122.7	-1.7%	± 5.1 %
2500	123.7	120.7	-2.4%	121.9	-1.5%	± 5.1 %
3000	78.8	74.8	-5.0%	76.1	-3.5%	± 5.1 %
3500	256.3	248.1	-3.2%	246.0	-4.0%	± 5.1 %
3700	249.7	239.2	-4.2%	239.0	-4.3%	± 5.1 %
5200	50.7	50.7	-0.1%	51.2	0.9%	± 5.1 %
5500	49.6	48.9	-1.5%	48.7	-1.9%	± 5.1 %
5800	48.9	49.1	0.4%	49.3	0.8%	± 5.1 %

### **Calibration Results for Modulation Response**

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dBõV	С	D dB	VR mV	Max dev.	Unc <sup>⊨</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	141.5	+ 3.3 %	±4.7 %
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		125.6		
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		125.1		

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.
<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 3 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of @ sitemater	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 62 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 62 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

EF3DV3 - SN:4035

# DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EF3DV3 - SN:4035

## **Sensor Frequency Model Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z
Frequency Corr. (LF)	0.28	0.21	5.68
Frequency Corr. (HF)	2.82	2.82	2.82


## **Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Rectangular
Connector Angle (°)	57.9
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	335 mm
Probe Body Diameter	12 mm
Tip Length	25 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1.5 mm

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19


Page 4 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 62 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 63 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M



Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$ 

## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta$ = 90°




Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 5 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Read to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 64 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 64 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020


January 16, 2019



# Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$



Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\vartheta = 90^{\circ}$ 



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)


Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 6 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 65 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 65 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

EF3DV3 - SN:4035

#### January 16, 2019



## Dynamic Range f(E-field) (TEM cell, f = 900 MHz)

Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19


Page 7 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Tread to be part of @ elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 66 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 66 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

EF3DV3 - SN:4035

January 16, 2019



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: EF3-4035\_Jan19

Page 8 of 8

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 67 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 67 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

**Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S С S

Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client PC Test

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Object	CD835V3 - SN:	1003	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-20.v7 Calibration Proc	edure for Validation Sources in a	ir Va41 3/19/2014
Calibration date:	February 19, 20	19	
The measurements and the unce	ertainties with confidence p Icted in the closed laborato	ional standards, which realize the physical un probability are given on the following pages ar pry facility: environment temperature (22 $\pm$ 3)°(	nd are part of the certificate.
Calibration Equipment used (M& Primary Standards			
Power meter NRP	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
ower sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 104778	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672/02673)	Apr-19
ower sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672)	Apr-19
eference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 103245	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02673)	Apr-19
pe-N mismatch combination	SN: 5058 (20k)	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02682)	Apr-19
	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02683)	Apr-19
	SN: 4013	03-Jan-19 (No. EF3-4013_Jan19)	Jan-20
	011 701		
	SN: 781	09-Jan-19 (No. DAE4-781_Jan19)	Jan-20
AE4	SN: 781	,	
AE4 acondary Standards		Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
AE4 condary Standards wer meter Agilent 4419B	ID #	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	Scheduled Check In house check; Oct-20
AE4 econdary Standards wer meter Agilent 4419B wer sensor HP E4412A	ID # SN: GB42420191	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17)	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20
AE4 econdary Standards ower meter Agilent 4419B ower sensor HP E4412A ower sensor HP 8482A	ID # SN: GB42420191 SN: US38485102	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20
AE4 econdary Standards ower meter Agilent 4419B ower sensor HP E4412A ower sensor HP 8482A F generator R&S SMT-06	ID # SN: GB42420191 SN: US38485102 SN: US37295597	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20
robe EF3DV3 AE4 econdary Standards ower meter Agilent 4419B ower sensor HP E4412A ower sensor HP 8482A F generator R&S SMT-06 etwork Analyzer HP 8358A	ID # SN: GB42420191 SN: US38485102 SN: US37295597 SN: 832283/011 SN: US41080477 Name	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17)	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20
AE4 econdary Standards ower meter Agilent 4419B ower sensor HP E4412A ower sensor HP 8482A F generator R&S SMT-06	ID # SN: GB42420191 SN: US38485102 SN: US37295597 SN: 832283/011 SN: US41080477	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17) 31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18)	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-19
AE4 econdary Standards ower meter Agilent 4419B ower sensor HP E4412A ower sensor HP 8482A F generator R&S SMT-06 atwork Analyzer HP 8358A	ID # SN: GB42420191 SN: US38485102 SN: US37295597 SN: 832283/011 SN: US41080477 Name	Check Date (in house) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17) 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) 27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17) 31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18) Function	Scheduled Check In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-19

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Page 1 of 5

Approved by: PCTEST FCC ID: ZNFF100TM <u>a</u> HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT 🕒 LG Quality Manager DUT Type: Filename: Test Dates: Page 68 of 96 1M2007230115-20.ZNF 9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020 Portable Handset © 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

<sup>6/22/2020</sup> 

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
 Service suisse d'étalonnage
 Servizio svizzero di taratura
 Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA

Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### References

[1]

ANSI-C63.19-2011

American National Standard, Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with the standards [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 15 mm above the top metal edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All
  figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector
  is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a
  directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY5 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E-field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 15 mm (in z) above the metal top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, in the plane above the dipole surface.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Page 2 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of @ electer	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama (0. af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 69 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

#### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.2
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	15 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz ± 1 MHz	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

#### Maximum Field values at 835 MHz

E-field 15 mm above dipole surface	condition	Interpolated maximum
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW input power	105.2 V/m = 40.44 dBV/m
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW input power	105.1 V/m = 40.43 dBV/m
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW input power	105.2 V/m ± 12.8 % (k=2)

## Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

### **Antenna Parameters**

Frequency	Return Loss	Impedance	
800 MHz	17.6 dB	40.4 Ω - 7.2 jΩ	
835 MHz	25.8 dB	52.2 Ω + 4.7 jΩ	
880 MHz	16.9 dB	62.1 Ω - 10.5 jΩ	
900 MHz	16.9 dB	52.2 Ω - 14.6 ϳΩ	
945 MHz	21.6 dB	51.8 Ω + 8.3 jΩ	

### 3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Page 3 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Nout to be part of the element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 70 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

## Impedance Measurement Plot

	allbration <u>Trace</u> <u>Scale</u>				
10.00 <b>(1931)</b> 5.00			1:	800.000000 MHz	-17.586 dB
			3:		25.837 dB
0.00			4	SHM 000000 MH2	-16.337 (16
-5.00			5	945 (00000 MHz	-21 641 dB
-10,00					
-15.00					1
		1 052	1/		
-20.00		-1 -1 -1			
-25.00			\$		
-30.00		Ч	r I		
-35.00					
40.00 Ch 1 Avg = 20 Ch 1: Start 335.000 MHz			l		L
				οιυμ	1.33500 GHz
		~~ <del>~</del> ~~~~	1:		
			1:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω
		Ð	1: >2:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω
	- A		>2:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω
			A.	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω
	Å		>2:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.00000 MHz 17.263 pF	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω
	Ŕ		>2:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω
	Å		>2:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900.00000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1983 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	800.000000 MHz 27.876 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900.000000 MHz 12.080 pF	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	800,000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880,000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900,000000 MHz 12.080 pF 945,000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω 51.835 Ω
	<u> </u>		>2: 3: 4:	800,000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880,000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900,000000 MHz 12.080 pF 945,000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω 51.835 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	800,000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880,000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900,000000 MHz 12.080 pF 945,000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω 51.835 Ω
Ch 1 Ava= 20			>2: 3: 4:	800,000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880,000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900,000000 MHz 12.080 pF 945,000000 MHz	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω 51.835 Ω
Ch 1 Avg = 20 Ch1: Start 335.000 MHz			>2: 3: 4:	800.000000 MHz 27.676 pF 835.000000 MHz 902.00 pH 880.000000 MHz 17.263 pF 900.000000 MHz 12.280 pF 945.000000 MHz 1.3906 nH	40.420 Ω -7.1883 Ω 52.216 Ω 4.7323 Ω 62.123 Ω -10.477 Ω 52.237 Ω -14.639 Ω 51.835 Ω

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Page 4 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 71 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 71 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

#### **DASY5 E-field Result**

#### Date: 19.02.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG Lab2

## DUT: HAC-Dipole 835 MHz; Type: CD835V3; Serial: CD835V3 - SN: 1003

Communication System: UID 0 - CW ; Frequency: 835 MHz Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\epsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: RF Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)


DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4013; ConvF(1, 1, 1) @ 835 MHz; Calibrated: 03.01.2019
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- ٠ Electronics: DAE4 Sn781; Calibrated: 09.01.2019
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1070 ٠
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Dipole E-Field measurement @ 835MHz/E-Scan - 835MHz d=15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1): Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm

Reference Value = 127.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB Applied MIF = 0.00 dBRF audio interference level = 40.44 dBV/mEmission category: M3

MIF scaled E-field				
	Grid 2 M3 40.43 dBV/m	Grid 3 M3 40.43 dBV/m		
Grid 4 <b>M4</b>		Grid 6 M4		
		Grid 9 <b>M3</b> 4 <b>0.36 dBV/m</b>		



0 dB = 105.2 V/m = 40.44 dBV/m

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003\_Feb19

Page 5 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM		HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		
© 2020 PCTEST	·	·		REV 3.5.M

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

PC Test

Client



 S
 Schweizerischer Kallbrierdienst

 C
 Service suisse d'étalonnage

 S
 Servizio svizzero di taratura

 Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

New State of the State of the

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Object	CD1880V3 - SN	: 1137	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-20.v7 Calibration Proc	edure for Validation Sources in a	ir 🗸
Calibration date:	February 19, 20	19	
This calibration certificate docum	ents the traceability to nat	ional standards, which realize the physical ur	its of massurements (SI)
The measurements and the unce	ertainties with confidence	probability are given on the following pages ar	nd are part of the certificate.
		bry facility: environment temperature (22 $\pm$ 3)%	C and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&	1		
Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672/02673)	Apr-19
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672)	Apr-19
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02673)	Apr-19
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02682)	Apr-19
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02683)	Apr-19
Probe EF3DV3	SN: 4013	03-Jan-19 (No. EF3-4013_Jan19)	Jan-20
DAE4	SN: 781	09-Jan-19 (No. DAE4-781_Jan19)	Jan-20
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter Agilent 4419B	SN: GB42420191	09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	
Power sensor HP E4412A	SN: US38485102	05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
ower sensor HP 8482A	SN: US37295597	09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 832283/011	27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20 In house check: Oct-20
Vetwork Analyzer HP 8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18)	In house check: Oct-19
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Claudio Leubler	Laboratory Technician	(TV)
pproved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	and and a second
			the aug
			- ,

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 1 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Though to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 75 01 90
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

# Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S Service suisse d'étalonnage S Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### References

[1] ANSI-C63.19-2011

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with the standards [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 15 mm above the top metal edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All
  figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector
  is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a
  directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY5 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is assential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E-field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 15 mm (in z) above the metal top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, in the plane above the dipole surface.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 2 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the electrate	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 74 af 00
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 74 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

American National Standard, Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.2
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	15 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	
Frequency	1730 MHz ± 1 MHz 1880 MHz ± 1 MHz	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

#### Maximum Field values at 1730 MHz

E-field 15 mm above dipole surface	condition	Interpolated maximum
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW input power	95.0 V/m = 39.55 dBV/m
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW input power	94.9 V/m = 39.55 dBV/m
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW input power	95.0 V/m ± 12.8 % (k=2)

#### Maximum Field values at 1880 MHz

.

E-field 15 mm above dipole surface	condition	Interpolated maximum
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW input power	88.9 V/m = 38.98 dBV/m
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW input power	86.6 V/m = 38.75 dBV/m
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW input power	87.8 V/m ± 12.8 % (k=2)

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 3 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 75 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 75 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

# Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

#### **Antenna Parameters**

**Nominal Frequencies** 

Frequency	Return Loss	Impedance
1730 MHz	22.5 dB	54.4 Ω + 6.5 jΩ
1880 MHz	21.1 dB	55.9 Ω + 7.2 jΩ
1900 MHz	21.0 dB	59.0 Ω + 3.6 jΩ
1950 MHz	27.3 dB	53.0 Ω - 3.3 jΩ
2000 MHz	20.3 dB	42.4 Ω + 4.8 jΩ

# 3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 4 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be pest of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 76 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 76 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

# Impedance Measurement Plot

	Calibration <u>Trace S</u> cale I	Window	Цер		
			1:	1.30000 GHz	-22,459 dB
2.00					21.146 dB
3.00			3:	1.900000 GHz	-21.0023B
8.00			4	1.950000 GHz	-27.332 dB
			713	2.000000.GHz	20 275 dB
13.00					
18.00 p					
23.00		40.	l l		
	I I I	7 3 13			
28,00	+				
33.00	<u> </u>	4∨			
38.00					
43.00 Ch 1 Avg = 20 Ch 1: Start 1.38000 GHz			1		
ALL OF THE PROPERTY AND A LESS AN				Stop	3 30000 CU
				Stop	2.38000 GHz
		×===>	1:	Stop : 1.730000 GHz	
	le la companya de la companya			1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω
	K		1:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω
			>2:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω
				1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω
			>2: 3:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω
	Á		>2:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900600 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω
	Ŕ		>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 24.752 pF	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω
			>2: 3:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 809.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 240.752 pF 2.000000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
	Á		>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 24.752 pF	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 809.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 240.752 pF 2.000000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 809.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 240.752 pF 2.000000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 809.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 240.752 pF 2.000000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
Ch 1 Avg = 20			>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 809.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 240.752 pF 2.000000 GHz	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
			>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 801.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 609.67 pH 1.900000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 24.752 pF 2.000000 GHz 383.29 pH	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω
Ch 1 Avg ≈ 20	C 1-Port	Avg=20 Delay	>2: 3: 4:	1.730000 GHz 601.12 pH 1.880000 GHz 303.81 pH 1.950000 GHz 24.752 pF 2.000000 GHz 383.29 pH	54.408 Ω 6.5341 Ω 55.885 Ω 7.2016 Ω 59.017 Ω 3.6269 Ω 52.957 Ω -3.2975 Ω 42.436 Ω 4.8166 Ω

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 5 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be peed of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 77 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 77 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

#### **DASY5 E-field Result**

#### Date: 19.02.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG Lab2

# DUT: HAC Dipole 1880 MHz; Type: CD1880V3; Serial: CD1880V3 - SN: 1137

Communication System: UID 0 - CW ; Frequency: 1880 MHz, Frequency: 1730 MHz Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\varepsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: RF Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4013; ConvF(1, 1, 1) @ 1880 MHz, ConvF(1, 1, 1) @ 1730 MHz; Calibrated: 03.01.2019
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn781; Calibrated: 09.01.2019
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1070
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Dipole E-Field measurement @ 1880MHz/E-Scan - 1880MHz d=15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 151.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Applied MIF = 0.00 dB RF audio interference level = 38.98 dBV/m Emission category: M2

MIF scaled E-field

Grid 1 M2	Grid 2 <b>M2</b>	Grid 3 M2
38.55 dBV/m	38.98 dBV/m	38.93 dBV/m
Grid 4 M2	Grid 5 <b>M2</b>	Grid 6 <b>M2</b>
35.71 dBV/m	35.97 dBV/m	35.96 dBV/m
Grid 7 <b>M2</b>	Grid 8 <b>M2</b>	Grid 9 <b>M2</b>
38.31 dBV/m	38.75 dBV/m	38.73 dBV/m

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19


Page 6 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Road to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 79 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 78 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		REV 3.5.M

<sup>© 2020</sup> PCTEST All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report or assembly of contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTEST.COM.

Dipole E-Field measurement @ 1880MHz /E-Scan - 1730MHz d=15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 165.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB Applied MIF = 0.00 dB RF audio interference level = 39.55 dBV/m Emission category: M2

	Grid 2 M2 39.55 dBV/m	Grid 3 M2 39.51 dBV/m
	Grid 5 M2	
36.57 dBV/m	36.95 dBV/m	36.95 dBV/m
Grid 7 M2	Grid 8 <b>M2</b>	Grid 9 <b>M2</b>
39.05 dBV/m	39.55 dBV/m	39.53 dBV/m



0 dB = 88.87 V/m = 38.98 dBV/m

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1137\_Feb19

Page 7 of 7

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be pest of @ stement	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 79 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 79 01 90
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)



S Schweizeris C Service suis S Servizio sviz S Swiss Calibo

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client PC Test Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012 Feb19 **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE** Object CD2600V3 - SN: 1012 QA CAL-20.v7 Calibration procedure(s) Calibration Procedure for Validation Sources in air 3/19/201 Calibration date: February 19, 2019 This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate. All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%. Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration) Primary Standards ID # Cal Date (Certificate No.) Scheduled Calibration Power meter NRP SN: 104778 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672/02673) Apr-19 Power sensor NRP-Z91 SN: 103244 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672) Apr-19 Power sensor NRP-Z91 SN: 103245 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02673) Apr-19 Reference 20 dB Attenuator SN: 5058 (20k) 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02682) Apr-19 Type-N mismatch combination SN: 5047.2 / 06327 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02683) Apr-19 Probe EF3DV3 SN: 4013 03-Jan-19 (No. EF3-4013\_Jan19) Jan-20 DAF4 SN: 781 09-Jan-19 (No. DAE4-781\_Jan19) Jan-20 Secondary Standards ID # Check Date (in house) Scheduled Check Power meter Agilent 4419B SN: GB42420191 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) In house check: Oct-20 Power sensor HP E4412A SN: US38485102 05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17) In house check: Oct-20 Power sensor HP 8482A SN: US37295597 09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17) In house check: Oct-20 RF generator R&S SMT-06 SN: 832283/011 27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17) In house check: Oct-20 Network Analyzer HP 8358A SN: US41080477 31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18) In house check: Oct-19 Name Function Calibrated by: Claudio Leubler Laboratory Technician Approved by: Katja Pokovic Technical Manager Issued: February 20, 2019 This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012\_Feb19

Page 1 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be part of @ electron	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 90 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 80 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

# Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S

С

S

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### References

[1] ANSI-C63.19-2011

American National Standard, Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

# Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with the standards [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 15 mm above the top metal edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All
  figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector
  is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a
  directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY5 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E-field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 15 mm (in z) above the metal top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, in the plane above the dipole surface.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012\_Feb19

Page 2 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Thead to be port of the electrat	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 91 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 81 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		÷		REV 3.5.M

## **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.2
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	15 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	·····
Frequency	2600 MHz ± 1 MHz	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

# Maximum Field values at 2600 MHz

E-field 15 mm above dipole surface	condition	Interpolated maximum	
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW input power	85.6 V/m = 38.65 dBV/m	
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW input power	84.7 V/m = 38.56 dBV/m	
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW input power	85.2 V/m ± 12.8 % (k=2)	

# Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

#### **Antenna Parameters**

Frequency	Return Loss	Impedance	
2450 MHz	20.5 dB	42.7 Ω - 4.8 jΩ	
2550 MHz	32.1 dB	48.9 Ω + 2.2 jΩ	
2600 MHz	39.6 dB	50.3 Ω + 1.0 jΩ	
2650 MHz	30.4 dB	53.0 Ω + 0.9 jΩ	
2750 MHz	20.9 dB	48.9 Ω - 8.9 ϳΩ	

#### 3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.


After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012\_Feb19

Page 3 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be pest of (6) element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 92 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 82 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

### Impedance Measurement Plot



Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012\_Feb19

Page 4 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 92 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 83 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

#### DASY5 E-field Result

#### Date: 19.02.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG Lab2

# DUT: HAC Dipole 2600 MHz; Type: CD2600V3; Serial: CD2600V3 - SN: 1012

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2600 MHz Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\varepsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: RF Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)


DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4013; ConvF(1, 1, 1) @ 2600 MHz; Calibrated: 03.01.2019
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn781; Calibrated: 09.01.2019
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1070
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Dipole E-Field measurement @ 2600MHz - with/E-Scan - 2600MHz d=15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=0.5000 mm, dy=0.5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 62.82 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB Applied MIF = 0.00 dB RF audio interference level = 38.65 dBV/m Emission category: M2

MIF scaled E-field

		Grid 3 <b>M2</b>
38.09 dBV/m	38.56 dBV/m	38.54 dBV/m
		Grid 6 <b>M2</b>
37.82 dBV/m	38.06 dBV/m	38.02 dBV/m
Grid 7 <b>M2</b>	Grid 8 <b>M2</b>	Grid 9 <b>M2</b>
38.36 dBV/m	38.65 dBV/m	38.56 dBV/m



0 dB = 85.60 V/m = 38.65 dBV/m

Certificate No: CD2600V3-1012\_Feb19

Page 5 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 94 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 84 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



 S
 Schweizerischer Kallbrierdienst

 C
 Service suisse d'étalonnage

 S
 Servizio svizzero di taratura

 Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client PC Test

Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Object	CD3500V3 - SN	1005	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-20.v7 Calibration Proce	edure for Validation Sources in ai	r /0.4 2111/2019
Calibration date:	January 15, 201	9	
The measurements and the unce	ertainties with confidence p	ional standards, which realize the physical university of the physical uni	d are part of the certificate.
Primary Standards	ID #	Col Data (Contificate No.)	
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	Cal Date (Certificate No.) 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672/02673)	Scheduled Calibration
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02072/02073)	Apr-19
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02672) 04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02673)	Apr-19
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	,	Apr-19
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02682)	Apr-19
Probe EF3DV3	SN: 4013	04-Apr-18 (No. 217-02683)	Apr-19
DAE4	SN: 781	03-Jan-19 (No. EF3-4013_Jan19) 09-Jan-19 (No. DAE4-781_Jan19)	Jan-20 Jan-20
Secondary Standards	1   ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter Agilent 4419B	SN: GB42420191	09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
Power sensor HP E4412A	SN: US38485102	05-Jan-10 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
Power sensor HP 8482A	SN: US37295597	09-Oct-09 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 832283/011	27-Aug-12 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-20
Network Analyzer HP 8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-18)	In house check: Oct-19
	Name	Function	Signature
o	Leif Klysner	Laboratory Technician	Seef Megn
Calibrated by:			V
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	<u>EUG</u>

Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Page 1 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the electronic	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 95 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 85 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

# Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
 Service suisse d'étalonnage
 Servizio svizzero di taratura
 Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### References

- [1] ANSI-C63.19-2011
  - American National Standard, Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with the standards [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 15 mm above the top metal edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All
  figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector
  is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a
  directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY5 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E-field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 15 mm (in z) above the metal top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, in the plane above the dipole surface.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Page 2 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Road to be part of @ electron	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 96 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 86 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	·		REV 3.5.M

#### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.2
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	15 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	
Frequency	3500 MHz ± 1 MHz	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

#### Maximum Field values at 3500 MHz

E-field 15 mm above dipole surface	condition	Interpolated maximum	
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW input power	85.1 V/m = 38.60 dBV/m	
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW input power	83.1 V/m = 38.39 dBV/m	
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW input power	84.1 V/m ± 12.8 % (k=2)	

### Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

#### Antenna Parameters

Frequency	Return Loss	Impedance
3300 MHz	22.2 dB	58.1 Ω + 2.1 jΩ
3400 MHz	29.7 dB	53.4 Ω - 0.3 jΩ
3500 MHz	25.4 dB	55.2 Ω - 2.4 jΩ
3600 MHz	22.1 dB	49.6 Ω - 7.8 jΩ
3700 MHz	19.7 dB	41.3 Ω - 3.6 jΩ

#### 3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.


After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Page 3 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be part of @ sitemater	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 97 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 87 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•			REV 3.5.M

### Impedance Measurement Plot



Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Page 4 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 88 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 66 01 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

### DASY5 E-field Result

#### Date: 15.01.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG Lab2

#### DUT: HAC Dipole 3500 MHz; Type: CD3500V3; Serial: CD3500V3 - SN: 1005


 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Communication System: UID 0 - CW ; Frequency: 3500 MHz} \\ \mbox{Medium parameters used: } \sigma = 0 \mbox{ S/m}, \epsilon_r = 1; \mbox{$\rho$} = 0 \mbox{ kg/m}^3 \\ \mbox{Phantom section: RF Section} \\ \mbox{Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)} \\ \end{array}$ 

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EF3DV3 SN4013; ConvF(1, 1, 1) @ 3500 MHz; Calibrated: 03.01.2019
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn781; Calibrated: 09.01.2019
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1070
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Dipole E-Field measurement @ 3500MHz/E-Scan - 3500MHz d=15mm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=0,5000 mm, dy=0,5000 mm Device Reference Point: 0, 0, -6.3 mm Reference Value = 34.54 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Applied MIF = 0.00 dB RF audio interference level = 38.60 dBV/m Emission category: M2 MIF scaled E-field

Grid 1 <b>M2</b>	Grid 2 <b>M2</b>	Grid 3 <b>M2</b>
38.08 dBV/m	38.39 dBV/m	38.38 dBV/m
Grid 4 <b>M2</b>	Grid 5 <b>M2</b>	Grid 6 <b>M2</b>
38.36 dBV/m	38.6 dBV/m	38.55 dBV/m
Grid 7 <b>M2</b>	Grid 8 <b>M2</b>	Grid 9 <b>M2</b>
38.35 dBV/m	38.60 dBV/m	38.54 dBV/m



Certificate No: CD3500V3-1005\_Jan19

Page 5 of 5

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Troad to be part of @ element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 90 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 89 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST	•	· · · ·		REV 3.5.M

# 16. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the M-rating for this equipment only represents the field interference possible against a hypothetical and typical hearing aid. The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Road to be part of the element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 00 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 90 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

6/22/2020

#### 17. REFERENCES

- 1. ANSI/IEEE C63.19-2011, "American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communication Devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, **IEEE**, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- 4. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6,2006
- FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- 6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices," IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- 9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, "U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. Decker, W. F., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Construction of a Large Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Feb. 1979.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1<sup>st</sup> part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Prout to be part of @ elected	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 91 of 96
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

- 15. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 16. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 17. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 18. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 19. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Though to be part of the element	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 02 of 06
1M2007230115-20.ZNF	9/14/2020 - 10/1/2020	Portable Handset		Page 92 of 96
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M