		-		1 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-47	72-6334	<sup>No.</sup> EUS/VR-99:55	07/REP	
Approved Checked	MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S:\douglas∖Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc

# SAR Test Report: R280d

Date of test:	November 19, 1999
Laboratory:	Electromagnetic Near Field and Radio Frequency Dosimetry Laboratory Ericsson, Inc. 7001 Development Drive, P.O. Box 13969, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA
Test Responsible:	Mark Douglas, Ph.D. Senior Staff Engineer, Antenna Development Group <u>mark.douglas@ericsson.com</u> (919) 472-6334

#### **Statement of Compliance**

Ericsson, Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that the that the product

#### Ericsson R280d

to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices.

© Ericsson, Inc. 1999 This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

ERICSSON 📁		Confidential REPORT		2 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.		
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	EUS/VR-99:55	07/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	1999-11-22	В	S:\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc
E				

## **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	3
<ul> <li>2. Device Under Test</li> <li>2.1 Antenna description</li> <li>2.2 Device description</li> </ul>	3 3 3
<ul><li>3. Test equipment</li><li>3.1 Dosimetric system</li><li>3.2 Additional equipment</li></ul>	3 3 4
4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid	4
5. System accuracy verification	4
6. Test results	4
References	5
Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification	6
Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots	10
Appendix 3: Photographs of the device under test	13
Appendix 4: Position of device on Generic Twin Phantom	15
Appendix 5: Probe calibration parameters for ET3DV5 SN:1337	16

ERICSSON 📕		REPORT		3 (16)	
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	<sup>№.</sup> EUS/VR-99:550	)7/REP		
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S∶\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc	
F					

**O** = = **f** = 1 = = **f** = 1

### **1. Introduction**

In this test report, compliance of the Ericsson R280d portable telephone with RF safety guidelines is demonstrated (applicable RF safety guidelines are given in [1]). The device was tested in accordance with the latest available test guidelines [1]. Detailed procedures of the test are described in the *Ericsson SAR Measurement Specification* [2].

### 2. Device Under Test

#### 2.1 Antenna description

Туре	Fixed stub			
Location	Left side			
D'	length	30 mm		
Dimensions	width at base 11 mm			
Configuration	Dual-band helix			

#### 2.2 Device description

Device model	R280d		
Serial number	UA20148X59		
Mode	800 AMPS	800 TDMA	1900 TDMA
Multiple Access Scheme	FDMA	TDMA	TDMA
Maximum Output Power Setting <sup>1</sup>	26 dBm	26 dBm	26 dBm
Factory Tolerance in Power Setting	± 0.25	± 0.25	± 0.25 dB
Maximum Peak Output Power <sup>2</sup>	26.25 dBm	26.25 dBm	26.25 dBm
Duty Cycle	1	1/3	1/3
Transmitting Frequency Range	824 – 849 MHz	824 – 849 MHz	1850-1910 MHz
Prototype or Production Unit <sup>3</sup>	Prototype		

### 3. Test equipment

### **3.1 Dosimetric system**

SAR measurements were made using the DASY3 professional system (software version 3.1c), manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG and installed Febuary, 1998. The total SAR assessment uncertainty (K = 1) of the system is  $\pm 16\%$  and includes a +15% offset (overestimation). The extended uncertainty (K = 2) is  $\pm 32\%$  with a +15% offset. This results in a total uncertainty range of -1% to +31% for K = 1, or -17% to +47% for K = 2. The equipment list is given below.

Description	Serial Number	Due Date
DASY3 DAE V1	345	10/00
E-field probe ETDV5	1337	3/00
Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2	049	12/00
Dipole Validation Kit, D1800V2	238	12/00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the conducted power measured at the antenna port when the device is set to its highest power setting. It is measured at the middle of the transmit frequency band. Note that the output power may be different at other frequencies.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This equals the maximum output power setting plus the factory tolerance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It shall be understood that a statement of compliance for a prototype unit also applies to production units [3].

ERICSSON 📕		REPORT		4 (16)	
Prepared (also subject responsible if other)	040 470 0004				
RI/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	L00/ VIC-33.30				
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File	
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	1999-11-22	В	S:∖douglas∖Dana Linda∖R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc	

## 3.2 Additional equipment

Description	Serial Number	Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3537A01598	9/00
Dielectric probe kit HP 85070B	US33020390	2/00
Network analyzer HP 8752C	3410A03105	7/00
Power meter HP 437B	3125U13481	12/99
Power sensor HP 8482H	3318A07097	2/00
Radio communications analyzer Anritsu MT8801B	MB12477	10/00

### 4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity,  $\varepsilon_r$ , and the conductivity,  $\sigma$ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the dielectric probe kit. These values are shown in the table below. The mass density,  $\rho$ , entered into the DASY3 program is also given. Recommended limits for maximum permittivity, minimum conductivity and maximum mass density are also shown [3]. It is seen that the measured parameters satisfy the recommendations, resulting in an overestimation of SAR.

f	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters				
(MHz)		E <sub>r</sub>	σ (S/m)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )		
	Measured	43.9	0.77	1.00		
835	Recommended Limits [3]	46.1	0.74	1.03		
	Difference	-4.8%	+4.1%	-2.9%		
	Measured	39.1	1.70	1.00		
1800	Recommended Limits [3]	43.5	1.15	1.03		
	Difference	-10.1%	+47.8%	-2.9%		

### 5. System accuracy verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY3 was performed using the dipole validation kits listed in Section 3.1. The system verification test was conducted on the same day as the measurement of the DUT. The obtained results are displayed in the table below. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within  $\pm 5\%$  of the reference values obtained from the system manufacturer [4]. The distribution of SAR also compares well with that provided by the system manufacturer (see Appendix 1).

f	Measured /	SAR (W/kg),	Dielectric Parameters			Temp.
(MHz)	Reference	1 gram	ε <sub>r</sub>	σ (S/m)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	(°C)
900	Measured	9.04	43.3	0.83	1.00	23
	Reference [4]	9.48	42.0	0.86	1.00	?
1800	Measured	40.4	39.1	1.70	1.00	23
	Reference [4]	38.9	41.0	1.70	1.00	?

### 6. Test results

The measured SAR values and conducted output powers are shown in Table 1. The device was tested on both the right-hand phantom (corresponding to the right side of the head) and the left-hand phantom. The SAR results shown are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 g of tissue.

A base station simulator was used to control the device during the SAR measurements. The phone was supplied with a fully-charged battery for the tests. The temperature of the test facility during the tests was  $23.0 \pm 1$  °C, and the depth of the tissue simulating liquid was 14.0 cm.

ERICSSON 💋		Confidential REPORT			5 (16)		
Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.					
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	EUS/VR-99:55	507/REI	Р			
Approved	Checked	Date	R	201/	File		

1999-11-22

В

0.996

B.doc

MGD

S:\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Re

1.19

mode	$f(\mathbf{MHz})$	<b>Output Power</b>		SAR, 1	g (W/kg)	
		(dBm)	left	left-hand right-han		
			measured	calculated to max. power <sup>4</sup>	measured	calculated to max. power <sup>4</sup>
800	824	26.1	1.36	1.31	1.46	1.41
AMPS	837	26.4	1.24	1.20	1.36	1.31
	849	26.4	1.17	1.13	1.27	1.23
800	824	25.9	0.436	0.484	0.475	0.527
TDMA	837	25.8	0.413	0.458	0.498	0.552
	849	25.9	0.384	0.426	0.477	0.529
1900	1850	25.2	0.589	0.701	0.852	1.01
TDMA	1880	25.5	0.633	0.753	0.962	1 14

0.661 Table 1: SAR measurement results for the Ericsson R280d telephone at highest possible output power.

0.787

#### References

EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas

Е

- [1] C. Törnevik, "Ericsson SAR measurement specifiction, part 1: Introduction and Purpose," Internal Document ERA/T/U-98:446, February, 1999.
- [2] C. Törnevik, M. Siegbahn, T. Persson, M. Douglas, and R. Plicanic, "Ericsson SAR measurement specification", Internal Document ERA/T/U-98:442, February 1999.

25.4

1910

- [3] Federal Communications Commission, "Tissue Dielectric Properties," http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/dielec.sh.
- [4] Schmid and Partner Engineering AG, "DASY Dipole Validation Kit," Type: D1800V2, S/N: 217, November, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The maximum output power setting for each mode is measured at the middle of the transmit frequency band (see footnote 1). Therefore, the measured SAR is scaled to the maximum power by multiplying it by the ratio of the measured output power in the middle of the transmit band to the maximum output power setting. The same scaling factor applies across the band, regardless of what the output power is at the other frequencies.

ERICSSON 🔰		Confidential REPORT		6 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	<sup>№.</sup> EUS/VR-99:550	)7/REP	
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S∶\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc
E				

## Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification



900 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna from system accuracy verification test.



900 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna provided by system manufacturer.



1800 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna from system accuracy verification test.



1800 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna provided by system manufacturer.

ERICSSON 📁		Confidential REPORT		10 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other)	040 470 0004			1
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	EUS/VR-99.550	JI/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	1999-11-22	В	S:dougias\Dana Linda\R280d w Thivi stub, Rev B.doc
E		•		•

## **Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots**



Distribution of maximum SAR in 800 AMPS band.



Distribution of maximum SAR in 800 TDMA band.



Distribution of maximum SAR in 1900 TDMA band.

ERICSSON 📕		Confidential REPORT		13 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	No. EUS/VR-99:550	07/REP	1
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S:\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc

E Appendix 3: Photographs of the device under test



Front view of device.

ERICSSON 📁		Confidential REPORT		14 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other)	040 470 0004			Γ
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	EU3/VR-99.50		
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File Syldewales Dans Linde D200d w TaM stub. Day
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	1999-11-22	В	B.doc
E				



Side view of device.

ERICSSON 📕		REPORT		15 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	EUS/VR-99:55	07/REP	
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S:\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc
E		-		·

# Appendix 4: Position of device on Generic Twin Phantom



ERICSSON 📁		Confidential REPORT		16 (16)
Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	919-472-6334	<sup>№.</sup> EUS/VR-99:550	7/REP	
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 1999-11-22	Rev B	File S:\douglas\Dana Linda\R280d w TnM stub, Rev B.doc

## Appendix 5: Probe calibration parameters for ET3DV5 SN:1337

ET3DV SN:1337

E

# DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV SN:1337

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	2.32	μV/(V/m)²
NormY	2.09	μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>
NormZ	2.16	$\mu$ V/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>

### **Diode Compression**

DCP X	98	mV
DCP Y	98	mV
DCP Z	98	mV

## Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

450 MHz	ConvF X	6.0	extrapolated	ε <sub>r</sub> =	48 ± 5%
	ConvF Y	6.0	extrapolated	σ=	0.50 ± 10% mho/m
	ConvF Z	6.0	extrapolated	(brain tissu	ue simulating liquid)
900 MHz	ConvF X	5.7	± 10%	ε <sub>r</sub> =	42.5 ± 5%
	ConvF Y	5.7	± 10%	σ=	0.86 ± 10% mho/m
	ConvF Z	5.7	± 10%	(brain tissu	ue simulating liquid)
1500 MHz	ConvF X	5.3	interpolated	ε <sub>r</sub> =	41 ± 5%
	ConvF Y	5.3	interpolated	σ=	1.32 ± 10% mho/m
	ConvF Z	5.3	interpolated	(brain tissu	ue simulating liquid)
1800 MHz	ConvF X	5.0	± 10%	ε <sub>r</sub> =	41 ± 5%
	ConvF Y	5.0	± 10%	σ=	1.69 ± 10% mho/m
	ConvF Z	5.0	± 10%	(brain tissu	ue simulating liquid)

## Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Surface to Probe Tip	1.9 ± 0.2	mm