

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



# **HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY**

**Applicant Name:** 

LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States Date of Testing: 12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019 Test Site/Location:

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

Test Report Serial No.: 1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Certification
FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset **Model:** LM-Q850QM

Additional Model(s): LMQ850QM, Q850QM, LM-Q850QM5, LMQ850QM5, Q850QM5,

LM-Q850QM6, LMQ850QM6, Q850QM6

**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 00307]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 1 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 1 01 00

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	25
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	28
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	30
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	41
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	42
12.	TEST DATA	43
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	74
14.	CONCLUSION	81
15.	REFERENCES	82
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	84

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 2 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 2 01 00

# 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

# **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 3 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 3 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

# 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM

Applicant: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

1000 Sylvan Avenue

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

**United States** 

Model: LM-Q850QM

Additional Model(s): LMQ850QM, Q850QM, LM-Q850QM5, LMQ850QM5,

Q850QM5, LM-Q850QM6, LMQ850QM6, Q850QM6

Serial Number: 00307 HW Version: Rev.1.0

SW Version: Q850QM09a
Antenna: Internal Antenna
DUT Type: Portable Handset

# I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pair of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B2 & B25, B4 & B66, B5 & B26, and B12 & B17. These pairs of LTE bands have the same target power and share the same transmission path. Since the supported frequency span for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B66, B25, B26 and B12) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 4 01 00

# Table 2-1 ZNFQ850QM HAC Air Interfaces

Zivi Qoodqiii ii too viii ii teridoco						
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
	835	110	,,	V 14451 DT	Chapter 1	51/00
CDMA	1900	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EVRC
	EvDO	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EFR
GSM	1900	V0	res	res. Wiri Oi Bi	CIVINS VOICE	EFR
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	NB AMR
OWITS	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo²	OPUS
	700 (B12)					
	700 (B17)					
780 (B13)						
	850 (B5)	]			VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, Google Duo: OPUS
LTE (FDD)	850 (B26)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT		
	1700 (B4)				000816 2401 01 05	
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, Google Duo: OPUS
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					V 14/15/ 110 1140 14/0 14/0
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	VoWIFI², Google Duo²	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR, Google Duo: OPUS
	5500 (U-NII 2C)				Coogle 240. 0. 05	Google Duo. Of GS
	5800 (U-NII 3)					
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A	N/A
	Type Transport  Notes:  1. Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation.  2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02					
UP CMPC and ID Value was Date Transport						

VD = CMRS and IP Voice over Data Transport

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 5 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 3 01 00

# 3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

# I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

## **Axial and Radial Field Intensity**

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be  $\geq$  -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

# **Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds
-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 0 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

# **Signal Quality**

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters		
outogory	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1  Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage / 0100

# 4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

# I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 8 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 0 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

# II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size:

0.1 mm

Maximum speed
6.1 cm/sec

Line Voltage:
115 VAC

Line Frequency:
60 Hz

Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

# III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer: ITU-T


Active Frequency 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Range:


Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample Duration: 20.96 seconds

Activity Level: 100%




Spectral Characteristic of full P.50



**Figure 4-5**Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 9 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 3 01 00



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

# IV. Test Procedure

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
  - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
  - b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
  - c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation(See Figure 4-1)
  - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
  - b. ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H<sub>c</sub> = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.13m; R=10.193Ω and using V=29mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.029}{10.193})}{0.13 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 29mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$  in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$ . This was verified to be within  $\pm 0.5 \, dB$  of the  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$  value (see Page 39).

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Frequency Response Validation
 The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

## d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 11 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 110100







Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 12 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M





Figure 4-10
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

- 3. Measurement Test Setup
  - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
    - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator

**Measurement Distance** 

i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN <sup>TM</sup>	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 13 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

(5.2,5.2)

**Measurement Grid** 

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
- c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
  - i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
- d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
  - i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for CDMA and UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7.)
  - ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.
- 4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
  - a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
    - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
  - b. Frequency Response
    - i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 - 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
    - ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
    - iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
  - c. Signal Quality Index
    - i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, nominal volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz -10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
    - ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
    - This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 14 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 14 01 00

# V. Test Setup




Figure 4-13 Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

# VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

# VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEEMS LANGESTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 15 01 00

# VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

### 1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes since circuit-switched voice modes were worst-case.

> Table 4-3 Center Channels and Frequencies

Center Chainleis and Frequencies				
Test frequencies & associated channels				
Frequency (MHz)				
20				
820.10				
Cellular 850				
836.52				
836.60				
836.60				
1730.40				
PCS 1900				
1880				
1880				
1880				

## 2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Table 7-6, and 7-7 was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-5 to 9-11 and Tables 9-19 and 9-20 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

### 3. WIFI

The middle channel for each 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-12 to 9-15 and Table 9-21 to 9-24 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 00

# IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 17 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

# 5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

# 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1
Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

# 2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level\*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

\* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new\_interpretations.htm

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

#### II. **DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing**

# 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

> Table 5-1 Vol TE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

	VOLTE OVER INIS SINING BY RAUTO CONTINUE AUTOM												
Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	0	-9.05	-51.14	42.09					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	50	-9.12	-50.68	41.56					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	99	-8.85	-50.75	41.90					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	0	-9.04	-50.81	41.77					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	25	-9.16	-51.12	41.96					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	50	-9.17	-50.90	41.73					
1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	100	0	-8.91	-51.14	42.23					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	-9.11	-46.41	37.30					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	50	-9.07	-47.93	38.86					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	99	-9.30	-47.44	38.14					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	0	-9.16	-50.11	40.95					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	25	-9.11	-50.61	41.50					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	50	-9.17	-50.40	41.23					
1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	100	0	-8.96	-49.98	41.02					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	0	-9.21	-48.47	39.26					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	50	-9.12	-49.08	39.96					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	99	-8.96	-48.79	39.83					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	0	-8.74	-48.73	39.99					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	25	-9.19	-51.36	42.17					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	50	-9.32	-51.25	41.93					
1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	100	0	-9.19	-50.82	41.63					


# 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 5-2 AMR Codec Investigation - Vol TF over IMS

7 time Codoo in rootigation - roz 12 ovor imo												
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel					
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-8.96	-9.32	-7.53	-7.44								
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.45	-47.08	-47.85	-47.76	Axial	B66 20MHz BW	132322					
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai							
S+N/N (dB)	38.49	37.76	40.32	40.32								

- Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 19 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 19 01 00

# 3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length  $T_{\rm f}$  = 307200  $\cdot$   $T_{\rm s}$  = 10 ms, where  $T_{\rm s}$  is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length 30720  $\cdot$   $T_{\rm s}$  = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192  $\cdot$  Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-3
Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

Opinik Bowninik Configurations for Typo 2 Frame Caractaree												
Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number										Calculated Transmission
configuration		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

## a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-4
Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

	: 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0											
Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	-8.75	-38.05	29.30			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	-8.44	-37.64	29.20			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	-8.76	-38.15	29.39			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	-8.83	-40.86	32.03			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	-8.69	-40.66	31.97			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	-8.44	-40.77	32.33			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	-8.61	-37.82	29.21			

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 20 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 00

# b. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 2 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5
Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Frequency	Channel	Bandwidth	Modulation	ulation RB Size RB Offset UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2	SNNR					
[MHz]	Onamier	[MHz]	Modulation	IND OIZE	IND Officer	TED Glicet GE BE Gollingurundin		[dB(A/m)]	[dB]			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	-8.44	-33.61	25.17			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	-8.85	-33.89	25.04			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	-8.51	-38.93	30.42			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	-8.70	-38.53	29.83			
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	-8.56	-38.94	30.38			

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

# c. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 1 was used to evaluate Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS and UL-DL Configuration 2 was used to evaluate Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 21 01 00

# 6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

## 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

## 2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level<sup>2</sup>. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

<sup>2</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 22 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

#### **DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing** II.

# 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each 802.11 standard:

> Table 6-1 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-6.35	-50.82	44.47
802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-6.55	-50.96	44.41
802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-6.13	-50.01	43.88
802.11b	6	CCK	11	-6.42	-50.04	43.62

Table 6-2 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-6.49	-50.36	43.87
802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-6.39	-51.22	44.83
802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-6.57	-51.14	44.57
802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-6.50	-51.92	45.42
802.11g	6	16-QAM	24	-6.91	-51.10	44.19
802.11g	6	16-QAM	36	-6.43	-51.65	45.22
802.11g	6	64-QAM	48	-6.50	-50.98	44.48
802.11g	6	64-QAM	54	-6.50	-51.91	45.41

Table 6-3 802.11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

	602.1 III/ac ZUMHZ BW SNINK by Kaulo Colliguration								
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
802.11n	20	40	BPSK	6.5	-6.11	-48.35	42.24		
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	13	-6.06	-49.63	43.57		
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	19.5	-6.58	-50.67	44.09		
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	26	-6.56	-50.58	44.02		
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	39	-6.56	-50.51	43.95		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	52	-6.52	-49.93	43.41		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	58.5	-6.87	-49.91	43.04		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	65	-6.82	-49.82	43.00		
802.11ac	20	40	256-QAM	78	-6.70	-50.52	43.82		

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEEMS LANGESTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 23 01 60

Table 6-4 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

002.1 m/ac 40/m/12 BW Older By Radio Configuration							
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11n	40	38	BPSK	13.5	-6.60	-48.79	42.19
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	27	-6.61	-49.53	42.92
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	40.5	-6.64	-50.31	43.67
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	54	-6.65	-49.72	43.07
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	81	-6.60	-50.77	44.17
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	108	-6.42	-50.52	44.10
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	121.5	-6.56	-50.31	43.75
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	135	-6.61	-50.04	43.43
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	162	-6.66	-50.93	44.27
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	180	-6.67	-51.06	44.39


# 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 6-5 AMR Codec Investigation - VoWIFI over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-5.46	-6.61	-5.30	-5.45				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-50.44	-49.93	-50.43	-50.48	Avial	Axial 2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	44.98	43.32	45.13	45.03				

Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEEMS LANGESTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 24 01 00

#### OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION 7.

#### I. Test System Setup for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing

## 1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 64kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

## 2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

## 3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation3. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

#### II. **DUT Configuration for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing**

## 1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The 64kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

> Table 7-1 Codec Investigation - OTT VolP (EvDO)

Ocacc				
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.67	8.48		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-55.31	-55.72	Axial	600
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	000
S+N/N (dB)	63.98	64.20		

<sup>3</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 23 01 00

Table 7-2 Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)

	vootigati			
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.99	8.55		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-34.74	-34.65	Axial	190
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	
S+N/N (dB)	42.73	43.20		

Table 7-3 Codec Investigation - OTT VolP (HSPA)

Codec investigation – OTT voil (1101 A)								
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel				
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.03	8.14						
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-55.50	-55.41	Axial	9400				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	9400				
S+N/N (dB)	63.53	63.55						

Table 7-4 Codec Investigation - OTT VolD (LTE)

Codec investigation – OTT voir (LTE)										
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel					
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.34	8.19	3.19							
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-53.34	-53.75	Axial	Band 25 /	26365					
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axidi	20MHz	20303					
S+N/N (dB)	61.68	61.94								

Table 7-5 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (WIFI)

could invodigation of the time,								
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.53	8.74				6		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.13	-47.70	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.40112				
S+N/N (dB)	55.66	56.44						

- Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.H




Figure 7-1 **Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST TELEVISION OF THE	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 00

# 2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 13 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE FDD bands:

Table 7-6
OTT VoIP (LTE) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	8.37	-49.05	57.42
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	8.39	-46.03	54.42
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	8.29	-48.57	56.86
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	8.37	-46.81	55.18
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	8.27	-43.83	52.10

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE TDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE TDD bands:

Table 7-7
OTT VoIP (LTE) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41(PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	8.48	-37.87	46.35
41(PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	8.49	-31.38	39.87

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 27 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 21 01 00

# 8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

# I. CDMA Test Configurations

Radio Configuration 1, Service Option 3 (thick, green data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset due to vocoder gating from the EVRC logic. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between operational field service options and radio configurations for a CDMA2000 handset:





Figure 8-1
CDMA Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1 FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFQ850QM (CDMA)

Configuration:	RC1/SO3	RC3/SO3	RC4/SO3	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-8.25	-7.64	-7.75		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-49.32	-54.24	-53.49	Axial	384
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	304
S+N/N (dB)	41.07	46.60	45.74		

- Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast
- Power Control Bits = "All Up"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 20 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

# **II.** UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:





Figure 8-3
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-2 Codec Investigation - UMTS

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	MR 7.95kbps AMR 4.75kbps		Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-7.42	-7.45	-7.54		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-56.14	-56.35	-56.56	Axial	9400
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	3400
S+N/N (dB)	48.72	48.90	49.02		

- Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast
- · TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 29 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 29 01 00

Table 9-1 Consolidated Tabled Results

Consolidated Tabled Results												
		-	esponse rgin	•	netic / Verdict		SNNR	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011			
000.46		8.3	3.2	8.3	3.1	8.3	3.4	(dB)	Rating			
C63.18	9 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial					
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
CDMA	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-11.23	T4			
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
EvDO (OTT VoIP)	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-34.83	T4			
(011 7011 )	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
0014	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	0.40	т.			
GSM	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-2.19	Т3			
EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	40.00				
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.93	T4			
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-27.31	T4			
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-33.83	T4			
(611 1611)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
LTE FDD	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-11.71	T4			
	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	B25	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-26.97	Т4			
1.75.700	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	5.45	To			
LTE TDD	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-5.45	Т3			
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-15.98	Т4			
	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
WLAN	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.20	Т3			
WEAR	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.20	13			
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
WLAN	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-18.17	T4			
(OTT VoIP)	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-10.17	14			
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
U-NII	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-9.27	Т3			
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
	802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					
U-NII (OTT VoIP)	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-20.26	T4			
, , ,	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS					

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 30 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

#### I. **Raw Handset Data**

Table 9-2 **Raw Data Results for CDMA** 

			1.74	utt Du	a ixesu	101					
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		476	-7.40	-49.44		2.00	42.04	20.00	-22.04	T4	
	Axial	564	-7.73	-49.67	-63.19	2.00	41.94	20.00	-21.94	T4	2.4, 3.0
Secondary		684	-7.73	-50.07		2.00	42.34	20.00	-22.34	T4	
Cellular		476	-14.56	-47.55			32.99	20.00	-12.99	T4	
	Radial	564	-14.70	-47.82	-62.60	N/A	33.12	20.00	-13.12	T4	2.4, 2.2
		684	-14.53	-47.97			33.44	20.00	-13.44	T4	
		1013	-7.30	-49.69	-63.19	2.00	42.39	20.00	-22.39	T4	
	Axial	384	-7.35	-48.88		2.00	41.53	20.00	-21.53	T4	2.4, 3.0
Cellular		777	-7.15	-48.37		2.00	41.22	20.00	-21.22	T4	
Celiulai		1013	-14.62	-47.34			32.72	20.00	-12.72	T4	
	Radial	384	-14.17	-47.64	-62.60	-62.60 N/A	33.47	20.00	-13.47	T4	2.4, 2.2
		777	-14.26	-45.49			31.23	20.00	-11.23	T4	
		25	-7.45	-49.20		2.00	41.75	20.00	-21.75	T4	
	Axial	600	-7.81	-50.58	-63.19	2.00	42.77	20.00	-22.77	T4	2.4, 3.0
PCS		1175	-7.56	-50.17		2.00	42.61	20.00	-22.61	T4	
F 03		25	-14.82	-47.09			32.27	20.00	-12.27	T4	
	Radial	600	-14.62	-48.13	-62.60	N/A	33.51	20.00	-13.51	T4	2.4, 2.2
		1175	-14.81	-48.40			33.59	20.00	-13.59	T4	

Table 9-3 **Raw Data Results for GSM** 

					ita ixes	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	-6.07	-31.76		1.29	25.69	20.00	-5.69	T3	
	Axial	190	-6.14	-30.50	-63.55	1.30	24.36	20.00	-4.36	Т3	2.4, 3.0
GSM850		251	-6.01	-28.20		1.21	22.19	20.00	-2.19	T3	
GSIVIOSU	Radial	128	-6.46	-32.27		N/A	25.81	20.00	-5.81	Т3	
		190	-6.38	-30.66	-62.60		24.28	20.00	-4.28	T3	2.4, 2.2
		251	-6.29	-28.69			22.40	20.00	-2.40	Т3	
		512	-5.98	-35.71		1.41	29.73	20.00	-9.73	T3	
	Axial	661	-6.07	-33.84	-63.55	1.30	27.77	20.00	-7.77	Т3	2.4, 3.0
GSM1900		810	-6.03	-31.72		1.32	25.69	20.00	-5.69	T3	
G3W1900		512	-6.27	-40.23			33.96	20.00	-13.96	T4	
	Radial	661	-6.25	-39.97	-62.60	N/A	33.72	20.00	-13.72	T4	2.4, 2.2
		810	-6.17	-39.65			33.48	20.00	-13.48	T4	İ '

Table 9-4 **Raw Data Results for UMTS** 

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		4132	-7.50	-56.30		2.00	48.80	20.00	-28.80	T4	
	Axial	4183	-7.47	-57.24	-63.55	2.00	49.77	20.00	-29.77	T4	2.4, 3.0
UMTS V		4233	-7.45	-56.59		2.00	49.14	20.00	-29.14	T4	
Om TO V		4132	-7.60	-55.20	-62.60		47.60	20.00	-27.60	T4	
	Radial	4183	-7.59	-55.07		N/A	47.48	20.00	-27.48	T4	2.4, 2.2
		4233	-7.59	-55.09			47.50	20.00	-27.50	T4	
	Axial	1312	-7.49	-57.12		2.00	49.63	20.00	-29.63	T4	
		1412	-7.53	-56.96	-63.55	2.00	49.43	20.00	-29.43	T4	2.4, 3.0
UMTS IV		1513	-7.49	-56.81		2.00	49.32	20.00	-29.32	T4	
OWITSTV		1312	-7.63	-55.08			47.45	20.00	-27.45	T4	
	Radial	1412	-7.63	-55.02	-62.60 N/A	47.39	20.00	-27.39	T4	2.4, 2.2	
		1513	-7.62	-55.03			47.41	20.00	-27.41	T4	
		9262	-7.57	-56.79		2.00	49.22	20.00	-29.22	T4	
	Axial	9400	-7.49	-56.78	-63.55	2.00	49.29	20.00	-29.29	T4	2.4, 3.0
UMTS II		9538	-7.46	-56.80		2.00	49.34	20.00	-29.34	T4	
OWISH		9262	-7.65	-55.27			47.62	20.00	-27.62	T4	
	Radial	9400	-7.67	-54.98	-62.60	N/A	47.31	20.00	-27.31	T4	2.4, 2.2
		9538	-7.66	-55.18			47.52	20.00	-27.52	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 31 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 31 01 00

# Table 9-5 **Raw Data Results for LTE B12**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23095	-9.09	-43.08		2.00	33.99	20.00	-13.99	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	23095	-9.05	-44.72	-63.55	2.00	35.67	20.00	-15.67	T4	2.4. 3.0
	Axiai	3MHz	23095	-9.01	-46.28	-03.55	1.99	37.27	20.00	-17.27	T4	2.4, 3.0
LTE Band		1.4MHz	23095	-8.94	-47.48		2.00	38.54	20.00	-18.54	T4	
12		10MHz	23095	-9.03	-47.78			38.75	20.00	-18.75	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23095	-9.11	-47.68	-62.60	N/A	38.57	20.00	-18.57	T4	2.4. 2.2
	radial	3MHz	23095	-9.12	-49.04	-02.00	IV/A	39.92	20.00	-19.92	T4	2.4, 2.2
		1.4MHz	23095	-9.19	-50.16			40.97	20.00	-20.97	T4	

# Table 9-6 **Raw Data Results for LTE B13**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates	
	Axial	10MHz	23230	-8.81	-40.52	-63.55	2.00	31.71	20.00	-11.71	T4	2.4. 3.0	
LTE Band	Axiai	5MHz	23230	-9.14	-43.02	-03.33	2.00	33.88	20.00	-13.88	T4	2.4, 3.0	
13	Radial	10MHz	23230	-9.09	-46.03	-62.60	N/A	36.94	20.00	-16.94	T4	2.4. 2.2	
	Radiai	5MHz	23230	-9.13	-47.39	-02.00	IN/A	38.26	20.00	-18.26	T4	2.4, 2.2	

# Table 9-7 Raw Data Results for LTE B26

			• •			Juito i	·					
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		15MHz	26865	-9.00	-45.42		2.00	36.42	20.00	-16.42	T4	
		10MHz	26865	-9.24	-46.06		2.00	36.82	20.00	-16.82	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	26865	-8.93	-45.21	-63.55	2.00	36.28	20.00	-16.28	T4	2.4, 3.0
		3MHz	26865	-8.89	-45.45		2.00	36.56	20.00	-16.56	T4	
LTE Band		1.4MHz	26865	-9.18	-43.65		2.00	34.47	20.00	-14.47	T4	
26		15MHz	26865	-9.05	-48.59			39.54	20.00	-19.54	T4	
		10MHz	26865	-9.07	-49.47			40.40	20.00	-20.40	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	26865	-9.15	-47.76	-62.60	N/A	38.61	20.00	-18.61	T4	2.4, 2.2
		3MHz	26865	-9.03	-48.59			39.56	20.00	-19.56	T4	
		1.4MHz	26865	-8.92	-46.77			37.85	20.00	-17.85	T4	

# Table 9-8 **Raw Data Results for LTE B66**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	132322	-9.07	-46.09		2.00	37.02	20.00	-17.02	T4	
		15MHz	132322	-8.99	-47.76		2.00	38.77	20.00	-18.77	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	132322	-9.05	-48.12	-63.55	1.98	39.07	20.00	-19.07	T4	2.4, 3.0
	Axiai	5MHz	132322	-8.99	-48.19	-03.55	2.00	39.20	20.00	-19.20	T4	2.4, 3.0
		3MHz	132322	-9.12	-48.05		2.00	38.93	20.00	-18.93	T4	
LTE Band		1.4MHz	132322	-8.86	-48.92		2.00	40.06	20.00	-20.06	T4	
66		20MHz	132322	-9.09	-50.64			41.55	20.00	-21.55	T4	
		15MHz	132322	-9.24	-48.96			39.72	20.00	-19.72	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	132322	-9.25	-51.12	-62.60	N/A	41.87	20.00	-21.87	T4	2.4. 2.2
	Naulai	5MHz	132322	-9.50	-51.34	-02.00	INA	41.84	20.00	-21.84	T4	2.4, 2.2
		3MHz	132322	-9.04	-51.25			42.21	20.00	-22.21	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	-9.37	-50.46			41.09	20.00	-21.09	T4	

# Table 9-9 **Raw Data Results for LTE B25**

			• •	D	4tu . to	Juita i	V. – . –					
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	26365	-9.09	-42.96		2.00	33.87	20.00	-13.87	T4	
		15MHz	26365	-8.77	-42.95		2.00	34.18	20.00	-14.18	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	26365	-9.07	-44.53	-63.55	2.00	35.46	20.00	-15.46	T4	2.4, 3.0
	Axiai	5MHz	26365	-9.20	-44.38	-03.55	2.00	35.18	20.00	-15.18	T4	2.4, 3.0
		3MHz	26365	-8.77	-44.75		2.00	35.98	20.00	-15.98	T4	
LTE Band		1.4MHz	26365	-9.18	-45.10		2.00	35.92	20.00	-15.92	T4	
25		20MHz	26365	-9.53	-49.24			39.71	20.00	-19.71	T4	
		15MHz	26365	-9.12	-49.47			40.35	20.00	-20.35	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	26365	-9.24	-48.60	-62.60	N/A	39.36	20.00	-19.36	T4	2.4. 2.2
	Radiai	5MHz	26365	-9.15	-49.03	-02.00	N/A	39.88	20.00	-19.88	T4	2.4, 2.2
		3MHz	26365	-9.33	-49.10			39.77	20.00	-19.77	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	-9.16	-49.90			40.74	20.00	-20.74	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 32 01 60

# **Table 9-10** Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

				a	<b>4110 10</b>			J				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	-8.22	-38.14		2.00	29.92	20.00	-9.92	Т3	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	-8.25	-38.53	-63.19	2.00	30.28	20.00	-10.28	T4	2.4. 3.0
	Axiai	10MHz	40620	-8.41	-38.55	-03.19	2.00	30.14	20.00	-10.14	T4	2.4, 3.0
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	-8.51	-38.77		2.00	30.26	20.00	-10.26	T4	
41		20MHz	40620	-8.96	-42.03			33.07	20.00	-13.07	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	-9.19	-43.17	-62.60	N/A	33.98	20.00	-13.98	T4	2.4. 2.2
	Radiai	10MHz	40620	-9.42	-43.32	-02.00	IN/A	33.90	20.00	-13.90	T4	2.4, 2.2
		5MHz	40620	-9.11	-43.48			34.37	20.00	-14.37	T4	İ

# **Table 9-11** Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	41490	-8.45	-35.58		2.00	27.13	20.00	-7.13	T3	
		20MHz	41055	-8.44	-37.26		1.90	28.82	20.00	-8.82	T3	
		20MHz	40620	-8.73	-34.18		2.00	25.45	20.00	-5.45	T3	
	Axial	20MHz	40185	-8.39	-37.04	-63.19	2.00	28.65	20.00	-8.65	T3	2.4, 3.0
	Andi	20MHz	39750	-8.47	-35.46	-03.19	2.00	26.99	20.00	-6.99	T3	2.4, 3.0
		15MHz	40620	-8.63	-36.97		2.00	28.34	20.00	-8.34	T3	1
		10MHz	40620	-8.72	-34.98		1.93	26.26	20.00	-6.26	T3	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	-8.60	-35.12		2.00	26.52	20.00	-6.52	T3	1
41		20MHz	40620	-8.98	-36.84			27.86	20.00	-7.86	T3	
		15MHz	40620	-9.19	-37.24			28.05	20.00	-8.05	T3	
		10MHz	41490	-9.25	-40.49			31.24	20.00	-11.24	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	41055	-9.27	-42.86	-62.60	N/A	33.59	20.00	-13.59	T4	2.4, 2.2
	Radiai	10MHz	40620	-9.27	-37.09	-02.00	N/A	27.82	20.00	-7.82	T3	2.4, 2.2
		10MHz	40185	-8.94	-41.37			32.43	20.00	-12.43	T4	
		10MHz	39750	-9.01	-38.53			29.52	20.00	-9.52	T3	1
		5MHz	40620	-9.32	-37.81			28.49	20.00	-8.49	T3	1

**Table 9-12** Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

	RAW DAIA RESUITS FOR LAND Margin from													
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates			
	Axial	6	-6.67	-50.34	-63.19	2.00	43.67	20.00	-23.67	T4	2.4, 3.0			
IEEE		1	-12.96	-40.67			27.71	20.00	-7.71	Т3				
802.11b	Radial	6	-13.02	-40.22	-64.63	N/A	27.20	20.00	-7.20	T3	2.4, 2.2			
		11	-13.07	-41.92			28.85	20.00	-8.85	Т3				
		1	-6.58	-50.01		2.00	43.43	20.00	-23.43	T4				
IEEE	Axial	6	-6.62	-50.11	-63.19	2.00	43.49	20.00	-23.49	T4	2.4, 3.0			
802.11g		11	-6.71	-50.48		2.00	43.77	20.00	-23.77	T4				
	Radial	6	-12.95	-44.46	-64.63	N/A	31.51	20.00	-11.51	T4	2.4, 2.2			
IEEE	Axial	6	-6.96	-50.54	-63.19	2.00	43.58	20.00	-23.58	T4	2.4, 3.0			
802.11n	Radial	6	-13.39	-43.26	-64.63	N/A	29.87	20.00	-9.87	T3	2.4, 2.2			
IEEE	Axial	6	-6.63	-50.70	-63.19	2.00	44.07	20.00	-24.07	T4	2.4, 3.0			
802.11ac	Radial	6	-14.24	-45.41	-64.63	N/A	31.17	20.00	-11.17	T4	2.4, 2.2			

# **Table 9-13** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a

										-			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	1	36	-6.66	-49.29		2.00	42.63	20.00	-22.63	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	-6.88	-49.35		2.00	42.47	20.00	-22.47	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	1	48	-6.67	-50.04	-63.19	2.00	43.37	20.00	-23.37	T4	2.4, 3.0
	ANdi	20MHz	2A	56	-6.65	-49.75	-03.19	2.00	43.10	20.00	-23.10	T4	2.4, 3.0
		20MHz	2C	120	-6.66	-49.87		2.00	43.21	20.00	-23.21	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	3	157	-6.68	-49.89		2.00	43.21	20.00	-23.21	T4	
802.11a													
002.110		20MHz	1	40	-13.10	-44.94			31.84	20.00	-11.84	T4	
		20MHz	2A	56	-13.14	-44.25			31.11	20.00	-11.11	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	2C	100	-13.19	-45.06	-64.63	N/A	31.87	20.00	-11.87	T4	2.4. 2.2
	Natidi	20MHz	2C	120	-13.22	-42.49	-04.03	INA	29.27	20.00	-9.27	Т3	2.4, 2.2
		20MHz	2C	144	-13.21	-45.06			31.85	20.00	-11.85	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	-13.22	-44.84			31.62	20.00	-11.62	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VINITURE LABORATOR, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 33 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 00

# Table 9-14 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Avial	40MHz	1	38	-6.54	-49.61	-63.19	2.00	43.07	20.00	-23.07	T4	2.4. 3.0
	ICCC	Axial -	20MHz	1	40	-6.45	-49.96	-03.19	2.00	43.51	20.00	-23.51	T4	2.4, 5.0
١														
	002.1111		40MHz	1	38	-13.06	-45.42	-64.63	N/A	32.36	20.00	-12.36	T4	2.4. 2.2
			20MHz	1	40	-13.06	-45.16	-04.03	IVA	32.10	20.00	-12.10	T4	2.4, 2.2

# Table 9-15 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	IEEE 802.11ac	Axial	40MHz	1	38	-6.65	-49.90	-63.19	2.00	43.25	20.00	-23.25	T4	2.4. 3.0
		Avai	20MHz	1	40	-6.70	-49.70	-00.19	2.00	43.00	20.00	-23.00	T4	2.4, 5.0
١														
		Radial	40MHz	1	38	-13.15	-46.10	-64.63	N/A	32.95	20.00	-12.95	T4	2.4.2.2
ı		Naulai	20MHz	1	40	-13.09	-45.22	-04.03	INA	32.13	20.00	-12.13	T4	2.4, 2.2

# Table 9-16 Raw Data Results for EvDO (OTT VoIP)

		-					, Ο	,					
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates		
Secondary Cellular	Axial	564	8.76	-55.40	-63.19	1.56	64.16	20.00	-44.16	T4	2.4, 3.0		
EvDO	Radial	564	1.51	-53.87	-64.63	N/A	55.38	20.00	-35.38	T4	2.4, 2.2		
Cellular	Axial	384	8.65	-54.91	-63.19	1.56	63.56	20.00	-43.56	T4	2.4, 3.0		
EvDO	Radial	384	1.67	-53.16	-64.63	N/A	54.83	20.00	-34.83	T4	2.4, 2.2		
PCS	Axial	600	8.30	-55.55	-63.19	1.54	63.85	20.00	-43.85	T4	2.4, 3.0		
EvDO	Radial	600	1.49	-53.53	-64.63	N/A	55.02	20.00	-35.02	T4	2.4, 2.2		

# Table 9-17 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

			-					—	,			
	Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	EDCESSO	Axial	190	8.84	-35.77	-63.19	1.60	44.61	20.00	-24.61	T4	2.4, 3.0
	EDGE850	Radial	190	1.63	-32.30	-64.63	N/A	33.93	20.00	-13.93	T4	2.4,2.2
Ī	EDGE1900	Axial	661	8.04	-37.62	-63.19	1.50	45.66	20.00	-25.66	T4	2.4, 3.0
	LDGL1900	Radial	661	1.51	-34.24	-64.63	N/A	35.75	20.00	-15.75	T4	2.4,2.2

# Table 9-18 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

	Naw Data Nesalts for Hot A (OTT Voil )													
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates			
HSPA V	Axial	4183	8.19	-55.03	-63.19	1.49	63.22	20.00	-43.22	T4	2.4, 3.0			
погач	Radial	4183	1.33	-52.85	-64.63	N/A	54.18	20.00	-34.18	T4	2.4,2.2			
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	8.28	-55.66	-63.19	1.56	63.94	20.00	-43.94	T4	2.4, 3.0			
HOFAIV	Radial	1412	1.39	-53.16	-64.63	N/A	54.55	20.00	-34.55	T4	2.4,2.2			
HSPAII	Axial	9400	8.02	-55.76	-63.19	1.56	63.78	20.00	-43.78	T4	2.4, 3.0			
HSPAII	Radial	9400	1.49	-52.34	-64.63	N/A	53.83	20.00	-33.83	T4	2.4,2.2			

# Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for LTE B13 (OTT VoIP)

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
			10MHz	23230	8.21	-43.92		1.54	52.13	20.00	-32.13	T4	
		Axial	5MHz	23255	8.12	-44.86	-63.19	1.56	52.98	20.00	-32.98	T4	2.4. 3.0
LT	LTE Band 13	Axidi	5MHz	23230	8.19	-43.65	-03.19	1.60	51.84	20.00	-31.84	T4	2.4, 3.0
			5MHz	23005	8.40	-44.54		1.55	52.94	20.00	-32.94	T4	
		Radial	10MHz	23230	1.37	-45.60	-64.63	N/A	46.97	20.00	-26.97	T4	2.4.2.2
		Naulai	5MHz	23230	1.46	-46.78	-04.03	N/A	48.24	20.00	-28.24	T4	2.4,2.2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEEMS LANGESTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 34 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 34 01 60

**Table 9-20** Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2 (OTT VoIP)

		AVV Dat	u				<del>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • </del>		. , •			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	8.75	-31.76		1.58	40.51	20.00	-20.51	T4	
		15MHz	41490	8.59	-34.27		1.59	42.86	20.00	-22.86	T4	
		15MHz	41055	8.40	-36.86		1.55	45.26	20.00	-25.26	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	8.18	-32.26	-63.19	1.50	40.44	20.00	-20.44	T4	2.4, 3.0
	Axiai	15MHz	40185	8.47	-35.85	-03.19	1.57	44.32	20.00	-24.32	T4	2.4, 3.0
		15MHz	39750	8.23	-32.54		1.56	40.77	20.00	-20.77	T4	
		10MHz	40620	8.20	-32.78		1.55	40.98	20.00	-20.98	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	8.49	-32.82		1.59	41.31	20.00	-21.31	T4	
41		20MHz	40620	1.49	-34.77			36.26	20.00	-16.26	T4	
		15MHz	41490	1.64	-37.32			38.96	20.00	-18.96	T4	
		15MHz	41055	1.63	-39.90			41.53	20.00	-21.53	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	1.53	-34.45	64.60	N/A	35.98	20.00	-15.98	T4	2.4,2.2
	radiai	15MHz	40185	1.65	-39.08	-64.63	N/A	40.73	20.00	-20.73	T4	2.4,2.2
		15MHz	39750	1.68	-35.36			37.04	20.00	-17.04	T4	
		10MHz	40620	1.43	-35.42			36.85	20.00	-16.85	T4	
		5MHz	40620	1.46	-35.64			37.10	20.00	-17.10	T4	

**Table 9-21** Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VolP)

		IXUV	Data	<b>Count</b>	5 IUI 2.	70112 V	• • • • • •		" <i>)</i>		
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	6	8.33	-47.30	-63.19	1.58	55.63	20.00	-35.63	T4	2.4, 3.0
IEEE		1	1.42	-39.05			40.47	20.00	-20.47	T4	
802.11b	Radial	6	1.66	-36.51	-64.63	N/A	38.17	20.00	-18.17	T4	2.4, 2.2
		11	1.50	-38.16			39.66	20.00	-19.66	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	8.77	-47.48	-63.19	1.56	56.25	20.00	-36.25	T4	2.4, 3.0
802.11g	Radial	6	1.27	-38.49	-64.63	N/A	39.76	20.00	-19.76	T4	2.4, 2.2
		1	8.53	-45.50		1.57	54.03	20.00	-34.03	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	8.60	-46.15	-63.19	1.49	54.75	20.00	-34.75	T4	2.4, 3.0
802.11n		11	8.58	-46.44		1.55	55.02	20.00	-35.02	T4	
	Radial	6	1.43	-37.81	-64.63	N/A	39.24	20.00	-19.24	T4	2.4, 2.2
IEEE	Axial	6	8.43	-47.35	-63.19	1.57	55.78	20.00	-35.78	T4	2.4, 3.0
802.11ac	Radial	6	1.55	-44.08	-64.63	N/A	45.63	20.00	-25.63	T4	2.4, 2.2

**Table 9-22** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	1	40	8.81	-43.52		1.50	52.33	20.00	-32.33	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	8.36	-43.71		1.58	52.07	20.00	-32.07	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	2A	56	8.37	-43.31	-63.19	1.55	51.68	20.00	-31.68	T4	2.4. 3.0
IEEE	Avai	20MHz	2A	64	8.76	-44.10	-03.13	1.59	52.86	20.00	-32.86	T4	2.4, 5.0
802.11a		20MHz	2C	120	8.77	-43.63		1.57	52.40	20.00	-32.40	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	8.75	-43.34		1.56	52.09	20.00	-32.09	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	1	40	1.53	-40.12	-64.63	N/A	41.65	20.00	-21.65	T4	2.4, 2.2

**Table 9-23** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n (OTT VolP)

		IXUV	Date	111036	1113 10		12 TTII	002.			JII <i>j</i>		
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	8.58	-44.39	-63.19	1.57	52.97	20.00	-32.97	T4	2.4. 3.0
	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	8.77	-44.07	-03.19	1.58	52.84	20.00	-32.84	T4	2.4, 3.0
		40MHz	1	38	1.52	-40.15			41.67	20.00	-21.67	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	1.47	-39.85			41.32	20.00	-21.32	T4	
IEEE		40MHz	2A	54	1.47	-39.08			40.55	20.00	-20.55	T4	
802.11n		20MHz	2A	56	1.58	-38.78			40.36	20.00	-20.36	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	2C	118	1.48	-38.92	-64.63	N/A	40.40	20.00	-20.40	T4	2.4, 2.2
		20MHz	2C	120	1.41	-39.14			40.55	20.00	-20.55	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	1.45	-38.81			40.26	20.00	-20.26	T4	
		40MHz	3	159	1.45	-39.03			40.48	20.00	-20.48	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	1.53	-38.98			40.51	20.00	-20.51	T4	

**Table 9-24** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

											<del>•</del> /		
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	8.78	-46.39	-63.19	1.60	55.17	20.00	-35.17	T4	2.4. 3.0
.ccc	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	8.77	-45.56	-03.19	1.60	54.33	20.00	-34.33	T4	2.4, 3.0
802.11ac													
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	1.48	-43.22	-64.63	N/A	44.70	20.00	-24.70	T4	2.4. 2.2
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	1.44	-43.33	-04.03	INA	44.77	20.00	-24.77	T4	2.4, 2.2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST TELEVISION OF THE	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

## II. Test Notes

### A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Nominal Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled for 2G/3G/4G modes while testing.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled for WIFI modes while testing.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).

### B. CDMA

- 1. Power Configuration: Power Control Bits = "All Up"
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: RC1/SO3 (CDMA EVRC)

### C. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

## D. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

## E. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 13 at 10MHz is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations but only supports 1 channel. Therefore, no additional tests were performed.

### F. LTE TDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
- 4. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
- 5. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 6. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, mid-high and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 20MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 10MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 86	
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset			

#### G. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
  - a. 802.11b: CCK, 11Mbps
  - b. 802.11g/a: BPSK, 6Mbps
  - c. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
  - d. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.6kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11g is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11b is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11a (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11a (U-NII 2C) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.


#### H. OTT VolP

- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 64kbps
- 2. EvDO Configuration
  - a. Revision: A
- 3. EDGE Configuration
  - a. MCS Index: 7
  - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 4. HSPA Configuration:
  - a. Release: 6
  - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 5. LTE FDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. LTE Band 13 was the worst-case band from Table 7-6 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 13 at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 13 at 10MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation, but only supports 1 channel. Therefore, no additional tests were performed.
- 6. LTE TDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
  - d. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) was the worst-case band from Table 7-7 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Powers Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 7. WIFI Configuration:
  - a. Radio Configuration
    - i. 802.11b: CCK, 11Mbps
    - ii. 802.11q/a: BPSK. 6Mbps
    - iii. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps iv. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps


FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 37 01 60

- b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels, 802.11n is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11b is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- c. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11a (U-NII 2A) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11n 40MHz (U-NII 3) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.


#### III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -18 dBm0 for CDMA. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 9-25
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 12/31/2018

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.799	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.55	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS

Table 9-26
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 1/14/2019


Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.758	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.19	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.032	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.60	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

Table 9-27
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 1/21/2019

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.994	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.63	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 39 01 00

#### **ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays** ٧.



Axial Radial (Transverse)

Figure 9-1 T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

## Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 40 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 40 01 60

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# 10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 10-1
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

#### Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 41 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 41 01 00

# 11. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 11-1 Equipment List

=4						
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	9/6/2018	Annual	9/6/2020	0899-PS150
Listen	SoundCheck	Acoustic Analyzer System - Audio Interface	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	23792992
Listen	SoundCheck	Acoustic Analyzer System - Laptop	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	2655082910
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	1/19/2018	Annual	1/19/2019	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	8/3/2018	Annual	8/3/2019	140144
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	4/20/2018	Annual	4/20/2019	128635
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	5/10/2018	Biennial	5/10/2020	21053
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Annual	9/19/2020	TEM-1123
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Annual	9/19/2020	TEM-1129
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	10/10/2018	Annual	10/10/2020	SBI 1052
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 42 01 00

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 43 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 43 01 60




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

## Noise Spectrum



#### Frequency Response



#### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-9.799 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.55 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 44 01 00




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

#### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



# Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-9.758 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.19 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 45 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 43 01 00




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

#### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



## Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.032 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-62.6 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 40 01 00




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

#### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



## Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-9.994 dB	<b>✓</b>	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.63 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 47 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA Secondary Cellular

Channel: 564

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 40 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA Cellular

Channel: 777

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 49 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA PCS

Channel: 25

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 50 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM 850Channel: 251

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 51 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 31 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM 1900Channel: 810

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band V

Channel: 4132

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band IV

Channel: 1513

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEE LADERTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 34 01 60



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band II

Channel: 9262

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**


Mode: LTE FDD Band 13

Aligned Response - P.50

Bandwidth: 10MHz

Channel: 23230

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



#### PCTEST 2019

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 00

2 dB

Tolerance curves

Aligned Data



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 20MHz

Channel: 40620

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST'	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>LG</b>	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 37 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11g

Channel: 1

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WIFI


Standard: IEEE 802.11a (U-NII 1)


Bandwidth: 20MHz


Noise Spectrum

Channel: 40

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice







ABM1	-6.88	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-49.35	dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0
SNNR	42.47	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20
Aligned Response - P.50	2	dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 39 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

VolP Application: Google Duo
Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Aligned Response - P.50

Bandwidth: 15MHzChannel: 40620

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

#### Noise Spectrum 10.0 0.0 -10.0 -20.0 -20.0 --30.0 --40.0 --40.0 --60.0 -70.0 -80.0 -90.0 -[Hz] Frequency Response 10.0 7.5 5.0 2.5 [dB re 1 A/m] 0.0 -2.5 -5.0 -7.5 -10.0 -12.5 -15.0 11 [Hz] Results ABM1 8.18 dB Minimum -18.0 ABM2 0.0 -32.26 dB Maximum SNNR 40.44 dB 20.0

#### PCTEST 2019

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00

1.5 dB

Tolerance curves Aligned Data



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA Secondary Cellular

Channel: 476



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 01 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA Cellular

· Channel: 777



FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEE LADERTON, INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 62 01 66



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: CDMA PCS • Channel: 25





#### Results

ABM1	-14.82 dB	Minimum	-18.0	
ABM2	-47.09 dB	Maximum	0.0	
SNNR	32.27 dB	Minimum	20.0	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 03 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM 850Channel: 251



ABM1	-6.29 dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-28.69 dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	22.4 dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEE LADERTON, INC.	HAC (I-COIL) IEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 04 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

SNNR

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM 1900Channel: 810

#### Noise Spectrum -10.0 -15.0 -20.0 -25.0 -30.0 --35.0--40.0 -40.0--45.0-1 -50.0-8B -55.0--60.0 -65.0--70.0--75.0 --80.0 -85.0--90.0 -100 1k [Hz] Results ABM1 -6.17 dB Minimum -18.0 ABM2 -39.64 dB Maximum 0.0

33.48 dB

Minimum

20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEE LADERTON, INC.	HAC (I-CUIL) IEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 65 01 66



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

ABM2

SNNR

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band V
Channel: 4183

#### Noise Spectrum -10.0 -15.0 -20.0 -25.0 -30.0 --35.0--40.0 -40.0--45.0--50.0--60.0--65.0--70.0 -75.0--80.0 -85.0--90.0 -100 1k [Hz] Results ABM1 -7.59 dB Minimum -18.0

-55.07 dB

47.48 dB

Maximum

Minimum

0.0

20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: UMTS Band IV Channel: 1412





#### Results

ABM1	-7.63 dB	Minimum	-18.0	
ABM2	-55.02 dB	Maximum	0.0	
SNNR	47.39 dB	Minimum	20.0	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 07 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band IIChannel: 9400



#### Results

ABM1	-7.67 dB	Minimum	-18.0	
ABM2	-54.98 dB	Maximum	0.0	
SNNR	47.31 dB	Minimum	20.0	

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST VANISHEE LADERTON, INC.	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 68 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE FDD Band 13
Bandwidth: 10MHz
Channel: 23230



#### Results

ABM1	-9.09	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-46.03	dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	36.94	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		rage 09 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:


SNNR

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 10MHzChannel: 40620



27.82 dB

Minimum

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 70 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 6

## Noise Spectrum



# Results

ABM1	-13.02	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-40.22	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	27.2	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page / 1 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WIFI

• Standard: IEEE 802.11a (U-NII 2C)

Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 120



**SNNR** 



29.27 dB

Minimum

20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 12 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00307

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

# Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

#### **Test Configuration:**

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE 850 Channel: 190

# Noise Spectrum



#### Results

,	ABM1	1.63	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
,	ABM2	-32.3	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
5	SNNR	33.93	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 73 01 00

# 13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 74 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 14 01 00



# **Certificate of Calibration**

for

#### AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING LP AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1123

Calibration Recall No:

29156

Submitted By:

Customer:

Andrew Harwell

Company: Address:

PCTest Engineering Lab 6660-B Dobbin Road

Columbia

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

AXIAL T C TEM C

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

12/4/2019

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Certificate Page 1 of 1

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by: Fc.

Calibration Date:

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

19-Sep-18

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Certificate No:

29156 -2

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

West Caldwell Calibration

uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

ACCREDITED

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM

Filename:

1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF

Test Dates:

12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019

Portable Handset

Approved by:
Quality Manager

Page 75 of 86

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe **Company: PCTest Enginering Lab** 

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1123

I. D. No.: XXXX

Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmholi	tz Coil			
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:	<b>X</b> .:.	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.08	Α	Ambient Temperature:	22.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	52.1	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.95	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	99.326	kPa
			Calibration Date:	19-Sep-2018	;
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:		
was	-59.89	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	2915	6 -2
	1.013	mV/A/m	Control Number:	2915	6


Probe resistance The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

683/284413-14

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, IŞØ)17025

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Measurements performed by: ......

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

James Zhu

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

## Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 76 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 70 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# HCATEMC\_TEM-1123\_Sep-19-2018

# West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Enginering Lab

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1123

Test	Function	Tolera	Measured values			
~				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-59.89		
		-1144	dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
•			-6	-6.03		
			-12	-12.05		
		***************************************	Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-15.9		
			200	-13.9		1
			251	-11.9		
			316	-9.9		
			398	-7.9		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	5.9		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
•			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.1		

Instruments used for o	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceablity No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.


Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

## Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 77 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 11 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Calibration Date: 19-Sep-18 Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Certificate No: 29156 -1

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01 Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration

uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc. 1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Approved by: FC



Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM

Filename:

1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF

Test Dates:

12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019

Portable Handset

Approved by:
Quality Manager

Page 78 of 86

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

#### HCRTEMC\_TEM-1129\_Sep-19-2018



1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564



# REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe ,Company: PCTest Engineering Lab

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1129

I. D. No.: XXXX

Calibration results: Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil Helmholtz Coil; Before & after data same: ... X ... the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 m Laboratory Environment: the current in the coils, in amperes,: 0.08 Ambient Temperature: °С Α 22.7 Ambient Humidity: Helmholtz Coil Constant; 7.09 A/m/V 52.1 % RH Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.95 A/m Ambient Pressure: 99.326 Calibration Date: 19-Sep-2018 Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. Re-calibration Due: -60.37 dBV/A/m Report Number: was 29156 -1 0.958 mV/A/m Control Number: 29156

Probe resistance 886 Ohms


The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

683/284413-14

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018
Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by: ......

James Zhu

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

# Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 79 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 79 01 00

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# HCRTEMC\_TEM-1129\_Sep-19-2018

# West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Lab

for Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1129

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values		
	white control of the			Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.03		
			-12	-12.05		
			Hz			
.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-20.0		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-15.9		
			200	-14.0		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	6.0		
		2512	7.9			
			3162	9.9		
		3981	11.9			
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.1		

nstruments used for o	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
' HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

# Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PETEST'	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>LG</b>	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 80 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		raye ou oi oo

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# 14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 81 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 01 01 00

# 15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- 3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify
  Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- 6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May,
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- 9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 82 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 00

- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFQ850QM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 83 of 86
1M1812060222-12-R4.ZNF	12/31/2018 - 01/24/2019	Portable Handset		Page 63 01 60